

Name of Member	Birth date of Member	Call to the Bar date	Date of Matter	Particulars	Description	Discipline Disposition	Type of Member	Notes
Waddell, Robert Russell		Michaelmas 1879	1879-02-08		Convocation orders the Discipline Committee to enquire and report as to the circumstances of Waddell's connection with the facts disclosed in the case of Armstrong v. Gage. Propriety of allowing him to be examined under Special Rules for Call to the Bar.		Barrister	
unidentified			1879-06-24	Improperly removing a book from the Library	Discipline Committee reports on the case of a member charged with improperly removing a book from the Library. The report was adopted.			
Waddell, Robert Russell		Michaelmas 1879	1879-11-22		Discipline Committee reported on the case of R.R. Waddell of Hamilton. The report was adopted.		Barrister	
Hooper, Edmund John		Trinity 1861	1879-11-22		Mr. Preston's letter to the Treasurer alleging misconduct in respect of the bringing of the suit of The Albert Cheese Co. v. Leeming was read. Discipline Committee to report whether the papers show a prima facie case of misconduct. Referred to the Discipline Committee.		Barrister	
Hooper, Edmund John		Trinity 1861	1879-12-30		Report of the Discipline Committee on the letter of Mr. Preston, of Napanee, with enclosure on the case of Mr. Hooper was received and read. Report was adopted. Matter referred to Discipline Committee for enquiry and report. Referred to the Discipline Committee.		Barrister	

unidentified			1880-02-02		Letter of Mr. Hutchison, with enclosure, as to the arrangement between the London Loan Company of Canada and its Solicitor, was read, and referred to the Discipline Committee to report whether the paper disclosed a prima facie case for action on the part of Convocation.			
unidentified			1880-02-07		Letter of Mr. Holmsted, Registrar of the Court of Chancery, and the certificate of the taxing officer in reference to certain proceedings in the suit of Austin v. Terry were read at Convocation. The papers were referred to the Discipline Committee for enquiry and report.			
unidentified			1880-02-07		Report on the Discipline Committee on the letter of Mr. Hutchison was adopted.			
unidentified			1880-02-13		Convocation passes a motion that the conduct of a law student be referred to the Discipline Committee for investigation. The Discipline Committee withdraws, and later in the day reports. The report was adopted. Convocation orders that the matter be referred to the Discipline Committee to consider punishment.		Student-at-Law	
unidentified			1880-02-13		Report of the Discipline Committee on the case of a member of the Bar which had been referred to them by Convocation, was received, read and adopted.			
unidentified			1880-02-13		A second letter of the Registrar of the Court of Chancery was read and referred to the Discipline Committee.			
Hastings, Porter and Hooper			1880-05-17		Discipline Committee report on the cases of Messrs. Hastings, Porter and Hooper was received, read and adopted.			

Elliott, W.M.			1880-11-15		Articled clerk reported to be practising as an attorney and solicitor without qualifications. Case referred to the Discipline Committee for enquiry.		Articled Clerk	
Saunders, Eudo		Michaelmas 1886	1880-11-15		Articled clerk reported to be practising as an attorney and solicitor without qualifications. Case referred to the Discipline Committee for enquiry.		Articled Clerk	
unidentified			1880-11-15		The petition of William Larmour was received, read, and referred to the Discipline Committee for preliminary enquiry and report.			
unidentified			1880-12-28		The report of the Discipline Committee on the petition of William Lamour recommending further enquiry, was received, read, and adopted.			
Dumble, David William		Hilary 1863	1881-02-12		Discipline Committee report on the case of D.W. Dumble was presented to Convocation. The report was adopted.		Barrister	
unidentified			1881-05-17		The Legal Education Committee presented the letters of Mr. McDougall, Examiner, on the conduct of a law student, and two letters of the student connected with the matter. The letters were referred to the Discipline Committee for inquiry and report.		Student-at-Law	
unidentified			1881-05-21		The petition of Moore and Sawers referred to the Discipline Committee with instructions to consider and report whether it discloses a prima facie case for inquiry and action by the Society.			
unidentified			1881-05-21		Discipline Committee presented its report in the case of a student. Ordered for consideration on Friday next.		Student-at-Law	

unidentified			1881-05-27		Discipline Committee report in the case of the student whose conduct had been referred to the committee, postponing his final examination until Easter Term 1883, was adopted.		Student-at-Law	
unidentified			1881-06-04		Discipline Committee brought up the case of a student which had already been dealt with by the committee. Gave notice that a motion would be raised at the next meeting of Convocation that the case be taken up for the purpose of considering and determining the sentence.		Student-at-Law	
unidentified			1882-05-15		Petition of Zebulon Landon referred to the Discipline Committee.		Barrister	
unknown			1882-06-03		Discipline Committee report that a prima facie case had been made out in the matter of Zebulon Landon, was received, read and adopted. Ordered that the charges set out in the petition of Landon be referred to the Discipline Committee for investigation.		Barrister	
Butterfield, John		Easter 1875	1882-11-20		Letter from James B. O'Brian preferring a charge against a solicitor was read and referred to the Discipline Committee.		Barrister	
unidentified			1882-11-21		Letter of W.E. Grace complaining of the conduct of a solicitor was read, and referred to the Discipline Committee.		Solicitor	
Butterfield, John		Easter 1875	1882-12-01		Discipline Committee reported on the charge made by Mr. O'Brian against a solicitor. Prima facie case had been made for enquiry. The report was adopted. Ordered that the matter be referred to the Discipline Committee to enquire into and report.		Barrister	

unidentified			1883-02-05		The case of a solicitor who, not being a barrister, advertised himself as such, was referred to the Discipline Committee for enquiry and report.		Solicitor	
unidentified			1883-02-05		Discipline Committee presented its report on the complaint of Zebulon Landon against a barrister and solicitor. Ordered to be considered on February 6th.		Barrister	
unidentified			1883-02-06		Consideration of the Discipline Committee report on the matter of the complaint of Zebulon Landon was postponed to the next meeting of Convocation.		Barrister	
unidentified			1883-02-10		Consideration of the Discipline Committee report on the complaint of Zebulon Landon was ordered to stand till February 16th.		Barrister	
unidentified			1883-02-16		Convocation considered the Discipline Committee report on the case of Zebulon Landon against a barrister. The report was adopted. Motion passed that a copy of the report be transmitted both to the complainant and to the barrister in question by the secretary.		Barrister	
unidentified			1883-02-16		Discipline Committee reported that a prima facie case is shown against two solicitors upon the charges made by Mr. Grace, and recommended that the charges be enquired into. The report was adopted. Petition of Mr. Grace was referred to the Discipline Committee for enquiry.		Solicitor	

Butterfield, John		Easter 1875	1883-05-22		Discipline Committee report in the matter of O'Brian and Butterfield was received and read, ordered for immediate consideration and adopted. Ordered that the Secretary transmit copies of the report to both O'Brian and Butterfield and inform them that it was adopted by Convocation.		Barrister	
unidentified			1883-05-22		Discipline Committee report in the matter of John B. Wood was received and read, ordered for immediate consideration, and adopted. Ordered that the Secretary inform Mr. Wood of the conclusion arrived at.			
unidentified			1883-05-22		Discipline Committee report in the matter of W.E. Grace was received and read, ordered for immediate consideration and adopted. Ordered that the Secretary inform Messrs. Grace, Campion and Johnston of the decision in their case.			
unidentified			1884-02-15		Letters respecting a gentleman practising without authority were read, and ordered that they be referred to the Discipline Committee to make enquiries and report.			
Blake, Samuel Hume	1835-08-31	Hilary 1860	1884-05-30		Letter was read from J.A. Macdonell, accompanied by a statutory declaration made by himself making certain charges of alleged misconduct against S.H. Blake. Convocation passes a motion referring the matter to the Disc Committee to investigate and report.		Barrister	
Blake, Samuel Hume	1835-08-31	Hilary 1860	1884-06-07		Discipline Committee presented the report on the matter against the Hon. S.H. Blake. Finds that the complaint was groundless. Conovcation orders that the Secretary be authorized to furnish copies of the report to the press.	Complaint unfounded	Barrister	The text of the Discipline Committee report is printed in the PMC (7 June 1884).

Hurd, P.A.			1884-06-07		Discipline Committee reported verbally on the case of the complaint against P.A. Hurd.			
Blake, Samuel Hume	1835-08-31	Hilary 1860	1884-06-24		Convocation is read a letter from J.A. Macdonell, asking for copies of the proceedings on his charge against S.H. Blake. Motion passed that the application not be granted.		Barrister	Matter of an offensive letter from J.A. Macdonell to the Treasurer arises in September 1884. See PCM: 1 Sept. 1884 and 6 Sept. 1884.
Irvine, Charles Robert		Easter 1883	1884-09-12		Ordered that C.R. Irvine be called upon for an immediate explanation touching the advertisement inserted by him in a local newspaper (successor to practice of L.U.C. Titus). Titus therein named having been struck off the rolls.		Barrister	The text of the advertisement is printed in PCM, 12 Sept. 1884.
Irvine, Charles Robert		Easter 1883	1884-12-06		Discipline Committee presented its report on the case of C.R. Irvine. Committee recommended that he be called before Convocation to be severely censured. Report was adopted. Ordered that Irvine be called before Convocation to be severely censured. Irvine was called in and censured.	Reprimanded in Convocation	Barrister	The text of the report is printed in the PCM, 6 Dec. 1884.
Fleming, James A.			1885-11-16		Legal Education Committee reported on the case of J.A. Fleming, who employed one Owen, a high school teacher and English university graduate, to personate him at his primary examination. Matter referred to the Discipline Committee.		Student-at-Law	

Fleming, James A.			1885-11-17		Discipline Committee report presented on the case of J.A. Fleming. The report was adopted and the matter referred to the Discipline Committee to deal with in accordance with the powers in the statute contained.		Student-at-Law	
Sibley, W.H.			1885-11-27		The petition of Alan Cassels, re: W.H. Sibley, was read and referred to the Discipline Committee for report to Convocation.		Student-at-Law	
Sibley, W.H.			1885-12-05		Discipline Committee report on the case of W.H. Sibley was received. Alan Cassels was called in to ascertain if he was prepared to prosecute Sibley for the acts complained of before his case is considered by Convocation. Cassels expressed his willingness to prosecute. Ordered that the report be not now considered, but that the consideration of the complaint against him be deferred until it shall appear whether or not action is to be taken to prosecute him criminally.		Student-at-Law	
Fleming, James A.			1885-12-05		Discipline Committee report on Fleming case was adopted. Ordered that the name of James A. Fleming be erased from the roll of law students and from the report of the Legal Education Committee on the Primary Examination for Easter Term, 1885, and from the minutes of Convocation of that Term; and that the sum of \$50 paid by him to the Law Society be returned, and that he be notified of the action of Convocation by the Secretary.	Removed from roll of law students	Student-at-Law	
Sibley, W.H.			1885-12-29		Letter of Alan Cassels on the subject of Sibley was read. Ordered that the report of the Discipline Committee on the case of Sibley be considered on the second day of next Term.		Student-at-Law	

Sibley, W.H.			1886-02-02		Convocation considered the report of the Discipline Committee on the case of W.H. Sibley. Ordered that the report be adopted, and that the charge against Sibley be referred to the Discipline Committee for investigation.		Student-at-Law	
unidentified			1886-02-12		Letter of L.A. Carscallen, of Napanee, was read. Ordered that it be referred to the Discipline Committee to ascertain and report whether there is a prima facie case for enquiry.			
unidentified			1886-05-17		Letter of Andrew Clarke as to a solicitor was read. Ordered that it be referred to the Discipline Committee to enquire as to whether there is a prima facie case for action.		Solicitor	
Titus, Lorenzo U.C.			1886-05-22		Secretary laid before Convocation the petition of L.U.C. Titus to the High Court of Justice, Chancery Division, praying for his reinstalment, and that his name be restored to the list of solicitors, he having been struck off the roll of that division. Ordered that the solicitor of the Society be instructed to appear upon the motion.		Solicitor	
Ryerson			1886-06-05		The petition of John King, Barrister-at-Law, as to a solicitor, was read, and ordered for immediate consideration. Ordered that the petition be referred to the Discipline Committee to report whether a prima facie case is made by the petitioner.		Solicitor	
Titus, Lorenzo U.C.			1886-06-29		Letter of Mr. Read, the solicitor in the matter of L.U.C. Titus, was read. The petition of L.U.C. Titus was read and received. Ordered that the petition be referred to the Discipline Committee.		Solicitor	

Sibley, W.H.			1886-11-16		Legal Education Committee reported on the case of W.H. Sibley, recommending that his application be not granted, pending the disposal of the charges against him not before the Discipline Committee. Ordered for immediate consideration and adopted.		Student-at-Law	
Titus, Lorenzo U.C.			1886-11-16		Discipline Committee reported on the petition of L.U.C. Titus, recommending that the prayer of the petition be refused. Ordered for immediate consideration and adopted.	Petition refused	Solicitor	
Kean, Albert Daniel		Easter 1883	1886-11-16		Discipline Committee, in the matter of the complaint against A.D. Kean, presented their report that they are of opinion that a prima facie case has been made for enquiry, and recommending an investigation. Ordered for immediate consideration and adopted.		Barrister	
Ryerson			1886-11-16		Discipline Committee reported on the case of Mr. King's complaint against Mr. Ryerson, that in their opinion a prima facie case has been shown for investigation. The report was received. Ordered to be considered on the last day of Convocation for the Term.			
Sibley, W.H.			1886-11-16		Discipline Committee reported on the case of W.H. Sibley, finding that the charges made have been substantiated, and recommending that his name be erased from the books of the Society. The report was received and ordered to be considered at the last meeting of Convocation this Term.		Student-at-Law	

unidentified			1886-11-20		Letter from Richard Willcocks, addressed to the Secretary, read at Convocation. The Secretary was directed to reply that the matters contained in the complaint do not make out a case for action by the Law Society, the applicant's right to redress, if any, being by application or suit in the ordinary way to the courts.			
unidentified			1886-11-26		A statement by A.F. Miller, as Secretary of the Trustees of the Toronto General Hospital, relating to the conduct of a solicitor respecting the will of the late Mr. R.B. Butland was read. Motion passed that the Trustees be informed that Convocation deems it inadvisable to take action in the matter without a fuller statement of facts.		Solicitor	
unidentified			1886-12-04		Letter of the Secretary of the Hospital Trustees of 2 December 1886 relating to the conduct of a solicitor in the matter of the will of the late R.B. Butland. Ordered that the letter of complaint of 24 November 1886 and the letter of the Secretary of the Trustees of the 2nd inst., be referred to the Discipline Committee to report whether a prima facie case had been made out.		Solicitor	
W.H.S.			1886-12-04		Discipline Committee presented its report in the matter of W.H.S. A letter from W.H.S. was read. Ordered that the consideration of the report be deferred until the first day of Hilary Term next, and that the Secretary do transmit to Mr. S. a copy of the charge and complaint, and the report of the committee, in order to enable him to give an explanation, as he requests by his letter.		Student-at-Law	

Sibley, W.H.			1887-02-07		The letter and petition of W.H. Sibley were received and read. Ordered that the report of the Committee in the matter of W.H. Sibley be adopted.		Student-at-Law	
unidentified			1887-02-08		Discipline Committee presented its report with reference to the complaint of the Trustees of the General Hospital, reporting that a prima facie case had been made out. The report was received. Ordered that it be considered on the first Tuesday of Easter Term next.		Solicitor	
Sibley, W.H.			1887-02-08		Ordered that the Secretary be instructed to erase the name of W.H. Sibley from the Roll of Students-at-Law and Articled Clerks, and from such books or rolls as his name appears in of record as Student-at-Law or Articled Clerk.	Removed from roll of law students	Student-at-Law	
Kean, Albert Daniel		Easter 1883	1887-05-16		Discipline Committee reported on the case of Mr. A.D. Kean. The report was received, read and ordered to be taken into consideration tomorrow.		Barrister	
unidentified			1887-05-16		The petition of Richard Reynolds, complaining of a solicitor, was read and received. Ordered that the petition be referred to the Discipline Committee to report whether there is a prima facie case for enquiry.		Solicitor	
unidentified			1887-05-17		Discipline Committee reported on the case of a solicitor on the complaint of the Trustees of the Toronto General Hospital, that a prima facie case had been made out. The report was adopted and the matter referred to the Discipline Committee for investigation and report.		Solicitor	

Kean, Albert Daniel		Easter 1883	1887-05-17		Discipline Committee presented its report on Kean case. On motion that the report was adopted, it was ordered that consideration be postponed until June 4th; that notice of the order be given to Kean, and that a copy of the report be sent to him to the intent that he may show to Convocation any cause that he may have why the conclusion arrived at by the DC should not be adopted by Convocation.		Barrister	
unidentified			1887-06-04		A letter from Messrs. Shilton, Allan and Baird was read, and referred to the Discipline Committee.			
Kean, Albert Daniel		Easter 1883	1887-06-04		Discipline Committee report on A.D. Kean brought up for further consideration. Ordered that charge four be considered as not sustained. Kean was called upon to show cause to the report, and attended accompanied by his counsel, Mr. Lount, and Mr. E Douglas Armour. Convocation of the opinion that the circumstances do not warrant that Kean be disbarred. His conduct is censurable, and that he ought to be censured by Convocation. Kean attended and was censured by the Chairman.	Reprimanded in Convocation	Barrister	
Goodwillie			1887-06-28		Letter of Goodwillie was read in reply to the enquiry as to what he had to say to the complaint that he was advertising himself as a barrister when he was a solicitor only. Secretary directed to write to him calling for an explanation and to inform him that his communication is unsatisfactory.		Solicitor	
Dickson, Lewis Henry	1860-08-16	Hilary 1897	1887-06-28		Secretary reported that L.H. Dickson, of Exeter, who is a solicitor only, advertises himself as a barrister, and produced the advertisement. Ordered that it be referred to the Discipline Committee.		Solicitor	

Kean, Albert Daniel		Easter 1883	1887-06-28		Letter from A. Clark, of Orillia, re: A.D. Kean, was read, and also the charge of W.H. Barker against A.D. Kean. Secretary reported that he had written to Clark in reply to his letter. Ordered that the charge of W.H. Barker is too vague and indefinite for Convocation to take any notice of.		Barrister	
unidentified			1887-09-05		Letter read from Miss Ann Dolan, of Ohio, complaining of the conduct of a barrister and solicitor. Letter referred to the Discipline Committee to report whether there was a prima facie case.		Barrister	
unidentified			1887-09-06		Discipline Committee reported in the matter of the complaint of Mr. Reynolds, that a prima facie case had not been shown. The report was adopted.	Complaint unfounded	Solicitor	
Meek, Edward		Hilary 1874	1887-11-21		Discipline Committee presented its report on the case of Mr. E. Meek, which was received and ordered for consideration on Saturday, November 26th.		Barrister	
Meek, Edward		Hilary 1874	1887-11-26		Discipline Committee presented its report on the case of Mr. E. Meek, which was adopted.		Barrister	
unidentified			1887-12-02		Letter read from McColl Bros. & Co. making a complaint against a solicitor. Ordered that the Secretary reply to the letter, stating that it is not a case for Convocation to deal with.	Complaint unfounded	Solicitor	
Meek, Edward		Hilary 1874	1887-12-10		Secretary having reported that Mr. Meek had applied to him for the finding of the Discipline Committee on the complaint against him. It was ordered that the Secretary be directed to communicate the same to Mr. Meek.		Barrister	

unidentified			1888-02-06		Letters from Walter Read, referring to unlicensed practitioners, were read.			
M			1888-02-06		A letter from Elliot Traver was read, complaining that Mr. M. untruly held himself out to be a barrister. The Secretary was directed to answer the letter, stating whether or not Mr. M. had been called to the Bar.			
unidentified			1888-02-07		Two letters from Walter Read, the Solicitor of the Society, were read upon the subject of the complain of Mr. Miller against certain unlicensed practitioners, which was referred to him for report by order of Convocation of 27th December, 1887, and it appearing that it was a matter over which the Law Society has no control. Ordered that the Solicitor's letters be referred to the Discipline Committee, with the view of determining whether it is desirable to apply to the Provincial Legislature on the subject.			
Hands, John Baldwin		Trinity 1885	1888-05-21		The complaint of Miss Jemetta Craine against a solicitor was read. Ordered that it be referred to the Discipline Committee.		Barrister	
Hands, John Baldwin		Trinity 1885	1888-06-01		The Discipline Committee reported on the complaint of Miss Jemetta Craine, that a prima facie case had been made out. Ordered that the report be adopted, and that the complaint be referred to the Discipline Committee for investigation and report.		Barrister	
Hands, John Baldwin		Trinity 1885	1888-06-26		Discipline Committee presented its report on the case of J. Baldwin Hands, on the complaint of Miss Craine, which was received and read. Ordered that it be considered on the 4th of September.		Barrister	

Hands, John Baldwin		Trinity 1885	1888-09-04		Ordered that Hands be called upon to show cause why the report should not be acted upon by Convocation. Hands, accompanied by his counsel, Fullerton, were admitted to Convocation. At the conclusion of Fullerton's remarks, Hands asked whether had anything to add. Hands did not make further statement. Consideration of the report proceeded. Resolution moved that Hands found guilty of conduct unbecoming a barrister or solicitor & that the report be adopted, and that he be disbarred. Resolution passed.	Disbarred	Barrister	
unidentified			1888-11-19		The complaint of Seth Ashton and A.F. Stevenson against a solicitor was read. Ordered that the papers be referred to the Discipline Committee for due enquiry and investigation.		Solicitor	
unidentified			1888-11-19		Letters of T.A. Gorham and E. Banane, relating to certain solicitors, were read. Ordered that the papers be referred to the Discipline Committee to consider and report whether prima facie cases are made for enquiry.		Solicitor	
unidentified			1888-11-24		Discipline Committee reported on the case of E. Banane that a prima facie case has not been shown for enquiry.	Complaint unfounded		
unidentified			1888-11-24		Discipline Committee reported on the complaint of T.A. Gorham against a solicitor, that a prima facie case had been shown for enquiry and investigation. Ordered that the complaint be referred to the Discipline Committee for enquiry and investigation.		Solicitor	

unidentified			1888-12-08		Complaint of Mrs. Jane Messett against certain solicitors was referred to the Discipline Committee to report whether a prima facie case has been shown.		Solicitor	
Currie, James George	1827-11-24	Michaelmas 1853	1889-01-04		Secretary read letter to the Treasurer re: J.G. Currie, and informed Convocation that Currie's application for re-instatement on the Roll of Solicitors was enlarged until 8th inst. to give the Society an opportunity of appearing on the motion. Currie's petition for re-instatement and affidavits in support of it were laid on the table. Ordered that the matter be referred to the Discipline Committee with power to act as they deem proper.			
unidentified			1889-05-21		The Secretary read a letter of Mr. F.H. Keefer. Ordered that the letter and papers be referred to the Discipline Committee for report.			
unidentified			1889-09-02		A letter complaining of the conduct of a solicitor was referred to the Discipline Committee to report whether the complaint discloses a prima facie case.		Solicitor	
unidentified			1889-11-19		The letters of R.M. Williams were read. Ordered that the letters be referred to the Discipline Committee, in connection with the letter of 21st August already referred to the committee.			
unidentified			1889-11-19		Letter of Adam Good complaining of a solicitor was read. Ordered that it be referred to the Discipline Committee to enquire whether a prima facie case had been made out.		Solicitor	

unidentified			1889-11-29		Discipline Committee report was presented on the petition of R.M. Williams, reporting that a prima facie case had been made for enquiry. Ordered that the matter of the petition be referred to the Discipline Committee for investigation.			
unidentified			1889-12-31		Discipline Committee reported that they had considered the complaint of Mr. Keefer against a solicitor, and are of opinion that a prima facie case has not been shown, and that there is no necessity for any investigation. The report was adopted.	Complaint unfounded	Solicitor	
W.			1890-02-08		Discipline Committee reported in the case of the complaint of Adam Good against Mr. W., that this matter should be tested in the court of law, and not be investigated by this committee, and they submitted to Convocation for its consideration whether the charge, that of instigating litigation, should be proceeded with. Convocation ordered that no further action be taken, inasmuch as the charge of negligence is the only charge specifically made, and not a matter requiring the action of Convocation.	No further action taken		
W.			1890-05-19		Discipline Committee presented a report in the case of Mr. W., and a report in the case of Mr. T., with evidence. Ordered that the reports be considered tomorrow.			
W.			1890-05-20		Discipline Committee reported on the case of Thomas A. Gorham, barrister, against Mr. W., barrister, that the complaint in question had not been substantiated, and that the committee recommend a dismissal of the same. Report was adopted.	Complaint unfounded	Barrister	

T.			1890-05-20		Discipline Committee reported on the case of Mr. T., a member of the Society, at the suit of Messrs. Ashton and Stevenson. Ordered that the consideration of the report be postponed, to enable the complainants to apply to the Courts to strike Mr. T. off the rolls, and that the solicitor of the Society be instructed to watch the proceedings.		Barrister	
unidentified			1890-06-07		The petition of R.V. Riddell and E. Hunter was read and received. Ordered that the petition and papers be referred to the Discipline Committee to enquire and report whether a prima facie case has been shown for investigation.		Solicitor	
unidentified			1890-09-08		The letter of Messrs. Parkes & Gunther, complaining of the conduct of a student-at-law, was read. Ordered that it be referred to the Discipline Committee to report whether a prima facie case has been shown for enquiry.		Student-at-Law	
B.			1890-09-08		Discipline Committee reported in the matter of the complaint against Mr. B., that a prima facie case had been shown. Ordered for consideration on Saturday, 13th September.			
B.			1890-09-13		Discipline Committee reported on the case of Mr. B-e, referred to them for enquiry, and the letter of Mr. Bowes, withdrawing the complaint, also referred, recommending that under the circumstances no further action be taken, and that the order of reference be discharged. Report adopted and ordered accordingly.	Complaint withdrawn		

unidentified			1890-09-13		Discipline Committee raised the report on the complaint of Messrs. Riddell and Hunter against a solicitor, presented to Convocation on 8th September, and ordered to be taken into consideration on this date. Moved the adoption of the report to the effect that a prima facie case had been made for enquiry. Adopted. Ordered that the complaint be referred to the Discipline Committee for enquiry and report.		Solicitor	
W.			1890-09-13		Discipline Committee reported on the reference of the complaint of Messrs. Parkes & Gunther against Mr. W., that a prima facie case had been made for enquiry. Ordered that the complaint be referred to the Discipline Committee for enquiry and report.		Barrister	
F.			1890-11-17		Discipline Committee presented a report on the case of Mr. F., to the effect that a prima facie case for enquiry had been shown. Ordered that the report be considered on 29th November.			
T.			1890-11-17		Discipline Committee presented a report on the cases of Messrs. T. and B. Ordered for consideration on the 28th November.			
unidentified			1890-11-18		A letter from Mrs. M. Bennett was received and read. Ordered that it be referred to the Discipline Committee to report whether a prima facie case for enquiry is shown.			

T.			1890-11-28		Discipline Committee presented the report in the case of Mr. T. Ordered that further consideration be postponed till the last day of term, and that Mr. T. be notified through his counsel to attend before Convocation at 11 a.m. on that day. Report adopted.			
R.			1890-11-28		Discipline Committee reported in the case of Mr. R., that a prima facie case had not been shown.	Complaint unfounded		
W.			1890-11-28		Discipline Committee reported in the case of Mr. W., that the complaint had been withdrawn, and recommending that no further action be taken by the committee pending the criminal proceedings taken by the complainants.	Complaint withdrawn		
B.			1890-11-28		Discipline Committee reported in the case of Mr. B., that the complaint had been withdrawn.	Complaint withdrawn		
F.			1890-11-28		Discipline Committee reported on the case of Mr. F., that a prima facie case had been shown. Ordered that the case be referred to the Discipline Committee for investigation and report.			
T.			1890-12-06		Discipline Committee presented a report in the case of A.M.T., stating that Mr. T's counsel has been unable to find his client. Ordered that further consideration of the report be adjourned to the third sitting day of next term. Ordered that Mr. T. be notified through his counsel that Convocation will take action in his case on that day, when he will be at liberty to attend Convocation.			

Currie, James George	1827-11-24	Michaelmas 1853	1891-05-18		Secretary reported on notice of an application to reinstate J.G. Currie on the Roll of Solicitors, and that the solicitor of the Society had appeared and had the matter enlarged till after the first day of Convocation. Ordered that the matter be referred to the Discipline Committee, and that the solicitor be instructed to obtain further enlargements if necessary.			
unidentified			1891-05-18		Ordered that the consideration of Justice Rose's letter, enclosing a letter from C.W. Yomex to W.R. Riddell alleging improper conduct on the part of a solicitor, stand till the Saturday next after the appointment of the standing committees.			
Fisher, Walter George	1857-09-02	Michaelmas 1899	1891-05-18		Ordered that the Discipline Committee report on Mr. Armstrong's complaint against W.G. Fisher, a solicitor, be considered on 23 May.		Solicitor	
Mackelcan, Henry Auber		Trinity 1877	1891-05-19		Secretary presented the order of the High Court of Justice, dated 3 March 1891, ordering that Henry Auber Mackelcan be struck off all existing rolls of attorneys and solicitors, and off the roll of the Supreme Court. Ordered that Henry Auber Mackelcan be suspended from the Society, and that the Secretary do give the notice required by Rule 123.		Solicitor	

Fisher, Walter George	1857-09-02	Michaelmas 1899	1891-05-23		Ordered that the further consideration of the Discipline Committee report upon the complaint of Mr. Armstrong against W.G. Fisher be adjourned to the next meeting of Convocation, and that Mr. Fisher be notified through his solicitor that Convocation will then take action in the matter, and that he will be at liberty to attend before it.		Solicitor	
Currie, James George	1827-11-24	Michaelmas 1853	1891-05-29		Ordered that the letters of Messrs. Lount & Marsh of 18th and 27th inst., on the subject of the application of the Hon. J.G. Currie to the Supreme Court of Judicature, to be reinstated as a solicitor of the Court, be referred to the Discipline Committee with the reference in Mr. Currie's case already made to them.			
Fisher, Walter George	1857-09-02	Michaelmas 1899	1891-05-29		The Discipline Committee report on the case of Armstrong against Fisher was brought up for consideration. Ordered that Fisher should appear before Convocation with his counsel. Fisher having appeared, the said report was read to him. A resolution founded on the report was read to him. Ordered that Fisher attend before Convocation. Fisher attended and the resolution was read to him.		Solicitor	

Titus, Lorenzo U.C.			1891-06-06		Ordered that the petition of L.U.C. Titus to be restored to the Roll of Solicitors be referred to the Discipline Committee with a request that they instruct the solicitor to have the application postponed till after the Discipline Committee have an opportunity to make enquiries and report to Convocation on June 30th, or to a further day if found expedient, and that Mr. Shepley be requested to act as convener of the Discipline Committee for this purpose.		Solicitor	
Titus, Lorenzo U.C.			1891-06-30		Discipline Committee report on the case of L.U.C. Titus was presented. Ordered that instructions be given to the solicitor to appear on the petition for reinstatement, and to ask the Court to direct it to stand over until Titus has applied to Convocation with respect to the matter and has satisfied Convocation of the propriety of his application.		Solicitor	
W.			1891-09-14		The petition of Rebecca Thompson complaining of a barrister and solicitor was read. Ordered that it be referred to the Discipline Committee to search for precedents and to enquire and report as to the course to be pursued by Convocation on complaints of this nature.		Barrister	
Brydon, John K.			1891-09-14		Letters of Mr. Apjohn and Messrs. Robinson, Thibaudeau & Langford, complaining of Mr. J.K.B.'s action, was read. Ordered to stand till tomorrow.			
Titus, Lorenzo U.C.			1891-09-14		Letter from Mr. Read was read.		Solicitor	

unidentified			1891-09-14		Letter of Mr. Slater, preferring a complaint against a barrister, was read. Convocation being of opinion that no prima facie case is made for enquiry in the said letter, ordered that no action be taken thereon, and that the Secretary do so inform Slater.	Complaint unfounded	Barrister	
McMillan, J.P.			1891-09-14		In the matter of J.P. McMillan, a solicitor, the Treasurer, pursuant to Rule 122, laid before Convocation the following papers, viz.: Certificate of the Registrar, Chancery Division, and the orders referred to therein.		Solicitor	
Brydon, John K.			1891-09-15		Letters of Mr. Apjohn and Messrs. Robinson, Thibeaudeau & Langford, were received and read. Motion: That the matter of the communications ... be referred to the Discipline Committee, pursuant to the rule laid down in the Heaslip case, Easter 1890, with instructions to communicate with these gentlemen and to ascertain and report whether it is a case in which the court may be moved under the Statute. Carried.			
Fisher, Walter George	1857-09-02	Michaelmas 1899	1891-09-19		Letter of Arthur Armstrong, as to his complaint against Mr. Fisher asking for a copy of the report, and of the finding of Convocation, was read. Ordered to be referred to the Discipline Committee, to search for precedents, enquire and report to Convocation as to a general rule, and the action to be taken in the present case.			
Currie, James George	1827-11-24	Michaelmas 1853	1891-09-19		Letter of Walter Read, the solicitor of the Society, as to the case of J.G. Currie, was read. Ordered that it be referred to the Discipline Committee, with instructions to report on Currie's matter at the next meeting of Convocation.			

Currie, James George	1827-11-24	Michaelmas 1853	1891-10-03		Discipline Convocation presented report in matter of J.G. Currie's notice. Ordered to be considered forthwith. Motion that report be adopted. Carried. Ordered that counsel be instructed to appear for Law Society on Currie's application, to oppose the same on the ground of the order of Court of Chancery set forth in the report, & to communicate to the court the letter of Messrs. Lount, Marsh, Lindsay & Lindsay, & also the fact of any other applications which may have been made against Currie. Ordered direction of Convocation be ...			
Brydon, John K.			1891-11-16		Discipline Committee presented its report on the complaint made by James Robinson and others against J.K. Brydon. Brydon has not been practising in any of the courts, and therefore does not come within the provisions of the statute. Brydon advertises himself as a solicitor, conveyancer, notary public, and commissioner for taking affidavits. Secretary to communicate to Brydon, unless explanation satisfactory to Convocation, revoke his commission for taking affidavits. Report adopted.			Text of the report printed in PMC.
W.			1891-11-16		Discipline Committee presented its report on the reference as to the complaint of Rebecca Thompson against W. Committee recommends that the matter be referred to the Discipline Committee to enquire and report whether a prima facie case has been shown. Report adopted.			Text of the report printed in the PMC.

Fisher, Walter George	1857-09-02	Michaelmas 1899	1891-11-16		Discipline Committee presented its report on the reference of Mr. Armstrong's application for a copy of the report of the Discipline Committee pertaining to his complaint against Mr. F. Armstrong not entitled to a copy of the report. Report adopted.			Text of the report printed in the PMC.
W.			1891-11-21		Discipline Committee reported in the matter of Rebecca Thompson's complaint against Mr. W., that a prima facie case for enquiry had been made. Report adopted. Ordered that the complaint be referred to the Discipline Committee for enquiry.			
unidentified			1891-12-05		Petition of Charles Miller, barrister and solicitor, complaining of a solicitor, accompanied by declaration and other documents, was read. Ordered that in the opinion of Convocation a prima facie case has been shown, and that the matters referred to in the petition be referred to the Discipline Committee for investigation.		Solicitor	

Clarke, S.R.			1891-12-29		Discipline Committee reported that the matter of the complaint of Mr. Millar against Mr. Clarke was proceeded with, and pending the inquiry Sir Adam Wilson, a member of the committee, died, and that until the vacancy is filled it will be impossible to proceed with the inquiry. The petition of Charles Millar, complaining of a letter by S.R. Clarke in the "World" was read. Proudfoot appointed to fill vacancy on Discipline Committee. Motion passed that the petition be considered on the first day of next Term.			
unidentified			1891-12-29		Letter of Messrs. Lount, Hewson & Creswicke, complaining of a solicitor, was read. Ordered that it be referred to the Discipline Committee to enquire and report whether a prima facie case has been made for enquiry.		Solicitor	
Clarke, S.R.			1892-02-01		Petition of Charles Millar, presented to Convocation on 29th December last, and ordered by Convocation to be referred for consideration on this day, was read. Ordered that the petition, declaration, and paper annexed be referred to the Discipline Committee for consideration and report.			

unidentified			1892-02-01		Discipline Committee presented its report on the complaint of Messrs. Lount, Hewson, and Creswicke against Mr. J.B. Reported that the complaint is based upon the non-payment by J.B. of moneys into court in respect of which the party aggrieved can invoke the summary jurisdiction of the courts, and this course should in the first instance be adopted. Report was adopted.		Solicitor	Text of the report printed in PMC.
Clarke, S.R.			1892-02-12		Discipline Committee presented its report on the matter of the complaint of Mr. Millar against Mr. S.R.C. Ordered for immediate consideration and adopted.			
unidentified			1892-09-23		The petition of Messrs. F. Harding and A. Bridgman was received and read. Motion carried that the petition be referred to the Dscipline Committee for investigation and report, in pursuance of Rule 116.			
Mills, Nathaniel		Michaelmas 1881	1892-11-21		The following papers relating to Nathaniel Mills were laid before Convocation: 1) Certificate of the Registrar of the Chancery Division, High Court of Justice, 2) Order of the Chancery Division ordering the name of Nathaniel Mills to be struck off all existing rolls of solicitors of the Supreme Court. Convocation ordered that the Secretary do enter the above certificate and order at length upon the Journals, and transmit the notices specified in Rule 123.		Barrister	

Scane, Houston, Stone & Scane			1892-11-22		Discipline Committee presented report on complaint of Messrs. Freeman Harding and Ashman Bridgman against Messrs. Scane, Houston, Stone & Scane. The report was read & ordered for consideration on 2 December, & it was further ordered that a copy of the report be sent to Messrs. Scane, Houston, Stone & Scane, and that they be informed that Convocation will take action on their case on that day, at which time they will be at liberty to attend Convocation. Also ordered copy of report sent to Charles Macdonald, counsel for complainants.			
Scane, Houston, Stone & Scane			1892-12-02		Discipline Committee presented report on the complaint of Messrs. Freeman Harding and Ashman Bridgman against Messrs. Scane, Houston, Stone & Scane. Committee recommends Scane, Houston, Stone & Scane be reprimanded by Convocation. No member of the firm attended Convocation. Report adopted.	Reprimanded in Convocation		Text of the report printed in PMC.

Watson, George A.			1892-12-27		Letter of Ashman Bridgman to the Secretary on the subject of the Discipline Committee report in the matter of Messrs. Scane, Houston, Stone & Scane and one George Watson, an unlicensed conveyancer, was read. Ordered that the matters set out in the letter be referred to the Discipline Committee. It was further ordered that the Discipline Committee have power to incur the necessary expenses in connection with the investigation of the charges against Watson.			
S.			1893-02-06		Petition of Thomas Beck against the conduct of Mr. S., a solicitor in the case of Beck v. Tune, was read. The Secretary was directed to inform Beck that the complaint is not a matter which the benchers can entertain, and that it is open to him to have the question of charges referred to taxation, and thus obtain the papers which it is alleged Mr. S. holds as security for his charges against him.		Barrister	
D. & D.			1893-02-06		Petition of Mr. G. Taunt, against the conduct of Messrs. D. & D., solicitors, was read. Ordered that Taunt be informed by the Secretary, suggesting that he place the matter in the hands of a solicitor, the matter not being such as the benchers can investigate.	No further action	Solicitor	

Watson, George A.			1893-02-06		Dr. Hoskin, Chair of the Discipline Committee, made a statement in the matter of George A. Watson, an unlicensed conveyancer, which had been referred to the committee. Convocation decided to extend, sine die, the time for making this report.			
S.			1893-02-07		Secretary read a letter from Mr. S., barrister and solicitor, to him, having reference to the petition which had been laid before Convocation yesterday, whereby a complaint was made by one Thomas Beck against the conduct of Mr. S., in which letter Mr. S. controverted many features contained in Beck's petition. Secretary directed to file S.'s letter and to transmit to him a copy of the reply to Mr. Beck which Convocation had ordered him to make.		Barrister	
H., P.			1893-05-15		Secretary read the letter of Mr. G.N. Beaumont in the matter of P.H. The matter of this complaint was referred to the Discipline Committee for report.			
Mills, Nathaniel		Michaelmas 1881	1893-05-15		Secretary read a letter from Ashman Bridgman complaining that Nathaniel Mills, although struck off the rolls as solicitor in November 1892, continued to practice in the High Court of Justice. The matter of the complaint was referred to the Discipline Committee for report.		Barrister	

H., P.			1893-05-26		Discipline Committee presented its report on the complaint of Mr. G.N. Beaumont against H. Committee reported that the act complained of does not come within the purview of the statute, and that the Society therefore cannot institute any proceedings against H. The report was adopted. Ordered that the Secretary write Beaumont to the effect that the Society cannot proceed against H.	No further action		
Mills, Nathaniel		Michaelmas 1881	1893-05-26		Discipline Committee presented its report on the complaint of Ashman Bridgman against Nathaniel Mills. The committee recommended that Convocation should instruct the solicitor for the Society to move against Mills for contempt, in pursuance of the statute. The report was adopted. Ordered that the solicitor of the Society be instructed to move in the proper court to commit Mills for contempt, and that the Secretary should investigate the matter and see what steps are necessary to disbar Mills.		Barrister	
B. and O.			1893-06-27		Letter dated 12 June 1893 of Walter Read, enclosing a letter from Mr. Bellew, complaining that Messrs. B. and O. were advertising themselves as barristers and solicitors, the fact being that Mr. B. is not a barrister, but a solicitor only, was read. Ordered that the matter of the complaint be referred to the Discipline Committee for action and report.			

unidentified			1893-09-12		A communication from Mrs. King, of Montreal, in which she complained of the conduct of a solicitor, was read. Ordered that proceedings thereupon be postponed, pending the action of the Finance Committee, and that Mrs. King be informed that the matter will received the attention of the Law Society.		Solicitor	
B., T.			1893-09-22		Discipline Committee reported in the case of T.B., who has advertised himself as a barrister, although not actually such. Reported that the complaint had been considered, and, in view of a statement and explanation made by B., the committee suggest that they be excused from further proceeding with the investigation at present. Report adopted.	No further action		
Schoff, Elgin; and Eastwood			1893-11-20		The petition of John T. Pierce in relation to the conduct of Messrs. S. and E., solicitors, was read. Ordered that the petition be referred to the Discipline Committee for report whether a prima facie case is shown.		Solicitor	
B.			1893-11-20		Letter of Mr. J. Neely to the Secretary, complaining of the conduct of Mr. B., a solicitor, was read. The Secretary was ordered to reply that his letter had been received, and that it is not a matter coming within the class of cases in which Convocation should intervene at present, and that Convocation approves of the advice given by the Secretary in his letter of October 13th.	No further action taken	Solicitor	

Schoff, Elgin; and Eastwood			1893-12-01		Discipline Committee reported on the complaint of John T. Pierce against Messrs. S. and E. Ordered that the complaint be referred to the Discipline Committee for investigation and report.		Solicitor	
I., M.			1893-12-26		Secretary read Mr. Bartram's letter, charging M.I. with having acted as a barrister, although not actually such. Ordered that the matter complained of be referred to the Discipline Committee for enquiry and report.			
G., J.			1893-12-26		Secretary read the letter of R.J. McLellan, complaining of the conduct of J.G., a solicitor. Ordered that the matter be referred to the Discipline Committee to report whether a prima facie case is made out.		Solicitor	
Ivey, Charles Henry	1856-04-03	Michaelmas 1894	1894-02-16		Consideration of the Discipline Committee report on the case of Mr. Ivey resumed. Ivey was in attendance and desired to be heard. Ivey was admitted, and the report read to him. Ivey made a statement and then withdrew. Ivey was called in, and the disapproval of Convocation communicated to him.			

Ivey, Charles Henry	1856-04-03	Michaelmas 1894	1894-02-16		Discipline Committee reported on the complaint of Mr. Bartram against Mr. Ivey. Committee recommends that Ivey should not be called to the Bar until he has given to the Society the requisite bond, nor until he has given the required notice and satisfied the Legal Education Committee that his papers are correct; and, that the Treasurer should be requested, on behalf of Convocation, to express to Ivey the disapproval of the benchers of his great irregularity. Report was received and consideration deferred.		Student-at-Law	Text of the report printed in PMC.
M., T.G.			1894-05-21		Complaint of Mr. J.J. Dalton against T.G.M., a solicitor, was read. The Secretary was directed to request the petitioner to verify his statements by declaration.		Solicitor	
W., J.W.			1894-05-21		Complaint of Mrs. Savinia Adair against J.W.W. was referred to the Discipline Committee to report.			
M., N.D.			1894-05-21		Complaint of Mrs. Crain against N.D.M. was read, and the Secretary was directed to request that the complaint be supported by a statutory declaration.			
Boulton, Alexander Claude Forster		Trinity 1887	1894-05-21		Complaint of Mr. Porter against A.C.F.B. was referred to the Discipline Committee to report.		Barrister	
W.			1894-05-21		Complaint of Mrs. Ferguson against Mr. W., a solicitor, was read, and the Secretary was directed to request the petitioner to verify her statements by declaration.		Solicitor	

R., H.H.			1894-05-21		Complaint of N. Ford and J. Ford against H.H.R., a solicitor, was read. The Secretary was directed to request that the complaint be verified by a statutory declaration.		Solicitor	
Schoff, Elgin; and Eastwood			1894-05-26		Discipline Committee presented its report on the complaint of Mr. J.T. Pierce against Messrs. S. and E. Ordered that the report be received and that the report be taken into consideration on 14th September.			
W., A.W.			1894-06-01		Petition of Mrs. Ferguson against A.W.W., a solicitor, having been duly verified, was referred to the Discipline Committee to report whether a prima facie case had been made.		Solicitor	
M., N.D.			1894-06-01		Petition of Mrs. Crain against N.D.M., solicitor, having been duly verified, was referred to the Discipline Committee to report whether a prima facie case had been made.		Solicitor	
M., T.G.			1894-06-08		In the complaint of Dalton against T.G.M., a solicitor, the complaint having been verified by declaration, was referred to the Discipline Committee to report whether a prima facie case had been shown.		Solicitor	
O'Leary, Hugh Gordon	1845-07-24	Easter 1876	1894-06-27		Petition of John Macdonald against H. O'L. was presented, and at the same time a letter from Messrs. Ritchie & Davis, solicitors for the complainant, requesting that Convocation defer consideration thereof. Convocation ordered accordingly.			

Schoff, Elgin; and Eastwood			1894-09-11		Discipline Committee reported in the matter of the complaint of John T. Pierce against Messrs. Schoff and Eastwood. Motion that Convocation take report into consideration on 21st September; that a copy of report be sent to Schoff, & that he be informed that Conv will take action on that day, at which time he will be at liberty to attend, and be heard by himself or by his counsel; that a copy of the report be sent to the complainant or his counsel. Ordered accordingly.			
Schoff, Elgin; and Eastwood			1894-09-21		Discipline Committee presented report on complaint of Pierce against Schoff and Eastwood, solicitors. Committee finds no case has been made against Eastwood. Committee finds that Schoff has been guilty of professional misconduct & conduct unbecoming a solicitor and that he be called before Convocation and that the Treasurer do reprimand him. Schoff appeared in Convocation. Amendment to report passed. Schoff called in and amendment to report read to him. Treasurer reprimanded Schoff.	Reprimanded in Convocation		Text of the report printed in PMC.
Kerr, G.			1894-09-21		Complaint of Mr. Kennedy against Mr. K., a solicitor, was read. The matter was referred to the Discipline Committee for report as to whether a prima facie case was made.		Solicitor	
McC.			1894-09-21		In the complaint of Mr. Barr against Mr. McC., a solicitor, a letter from Mr. W.J.H., solicitor, was read. The Secretary was directed to write the complainant that, the matter being the collection of a debt, was such as the Society could not entertain.	No further action	Solicitor	

Kerr, G.			1894-10-13		Discipline Committee reported on the complaint of Mr. J.H. Kennedy against G. Kerr, Jr., a solicitor. Committee of opinion that the complainant should apply to the courts, and that a case has not been made out calling for action by the Law Society. Report adopted.	No further action	Solicitor	
Williams, Thomas E.		Trinity 1884	1894-11-30		Petition of Rebecca Thompson against T.E. Williams, a solicitor, was read. Convocation being of opinion that a prima facie case had been shown, it was ordered that the matter be sent to the Discipline Committee for investigation.		Barrister	
Greer, Albert Edward Kingsley		Michaelmas 1888	1895-02-04		Secretary read the letter of Mr. Power, of the Department of Justice, Ottawa, which was accompanied by copies of correspondence between that Department and Mr. A.E.K. Greer, relating to the release of one Theakson from the penitentiary, with a request that the matter might be laid before the benchers. Ordered that the matter be referred to the Discipline Committee for enquiry and report.		Barrister	
Patterson, George W.		Easter 1895	1895-02-04		Complaint of the County of Grey Law Association against G.W. Patterson, student-at-law, charging him with having advertised himself as a duly qualified practitioner, was referred to the Discipline Committee for enquiry and report.		Student-at-Law	
Jeffrey, Nicol	1867-10-21	Easter 1894	1895-02-04		Letter of Mr. N.M. Munro respecting the conduct of N. Jeffrey was referred to the Discipline Committee for enquiry and report.		Barrister	

Wink, A.S.		Trinity 1875	1895-02-04		Secretary read the complaint of Mr. P. Delaronde against A.S. Wink, a solicitor. Ordered that the Secretary do inform the complainant that the ordinary proceedings of the court will afford redress if entitled thereto, the matter complained of not being such as the benchers can investigate.	No further action	Barrister	
Jarvis, S.M.		Hilary 1845	1895-02-04		Secretary read the complaint of Mrs. Nancy Brown against S.M. Jarvis, a solicitor. Ordered that the Secretary do inform the complainant that the ordinary proceedings of the court will afford redress if entitled thereto, the matter complained of not being such as the benchers can investigate.	No further action	Barrister	
Hall, William Myddleton		Easter 1876	1895-02-04		Treasurer presented a copy of the report of His Honour Judge McDougall made in the matter of a certain investigation conducted before him, under the resolution of the council of the city of Toronto of 13 November 1894, and drew attention to that part of the report which incriminated Mr. W.M. Hall, a barrister and solicitor. Ordered that the report be referred to the Discipline Committee to enquire and report to Convocation what steps should be taken under the circumstances of the case.		Barrister	More detail on the matter in PMC.
Williams, Thomas E.		Trinity 1884	1895-02-05		Supplemental petition of Rebecca Thompson, complaining of the conduct of T.E. Williams, solicitor, was read. Ordered that the same be referred to the Discipline Committee.		Barrister	

Boulton, Alexander Claude Forster		Trinity 1887	1895-02-08		Discipline Committee reported in the matter of the complaint of John Porter against A.C.F. Boulton that a prima facie case had been shown. The report was adopted, and it was ordered that the complaint be referred to the Discipline Committee for investigation and report.		Barrister	
Greer, Albert Edward Kingsley		Michaelmas 1888	1895-02-15		Discipline Committee presented report on the complaint against A.E.K. Greer, that a prima facie case had been shown. Ordered that the complaint be referred to the Discipline Committee for investigation.		Barrister	
Williams, Thomas E.		Trinity 1884	1895-02-15		Discipline Committee reported on the supplemental petition of Rebecca Thompson against Thos. E. Williams, recommending that the matter should be investigated, and proceeded with in connection with the pending investigation of the former petition of Rebecca Thompson against Williams.			
Hall, William Myddleton		Easter 1876	1895-02-15		Discipline Committee presented report on the complaint against W.M. Hall, that a prima facie case had been shown. Ordered that the complaint be referred to the Discipline Committee for investigation.		Barrister	
Patterson, George W.		Easter 1895	1895-02-15		Discipline Committee presented report on the complaint against Geo. W. Patterson, that a prima facie case had been shown. Ordered that the complaint be referred to the Discipline Committee for investigation.		Student-at-Law	

Jeffrey, Nicol	1867-10-21	Easter 1894	1895-02-15		Discipline Committee presented report on the complaint against Nicol Jeffrey, that a prima facie case had been shown. Ordered that the complaint be referred to the Discipline Committee for investigation.		Barrister	
Greer, Albert Edward Kingsley		Michaelmas 1888	1895-05-20		Discipline Committee reported May 11th, 1895, had been appointed for the investigation in the case against A.E.K. Greer, who appeared before the committee accompanied by counsel, but no one represented the Minister of Justice. Committee found that Greer had been guilty of conduct unbecoming a barrister and solicitor, and recommended that he be summoned before Convocation and rebuked in the presence of Convocation by the Treasurer. Report ordered for consideration on May 31, 1895, & Greer be notified to attend before Convocation on the day. ...		Barrister	
Hall, William Myddleton		Easter 1876	1895-05-20		Discipline Committee report on the case of W.M. Hall was presented, and it was ordered for consideration on May 31st, 1895. A copy of the report was directed to be served upon the counsel who appeared on behalf of Hall at the investigation, and that he be informed that Convocation will take action on this complaint on that day, at which time he will be at liberty to attend the meeting of Convocation, when he will be at liberty to show cause why the report should not be adopted.		Barrister	

Jeffrey, Nicol	1867-10-21	Easter 1894	1895-05-20		Discipline Committee reported that Nicol Jeffrey had appeared before the committee and explained conduct. The committee recommended that no further proceedings be taken, Jeffrey having been reprimanded before the committee by the chairman. Adopted.	Reprimanded in committee	Barrister	
Patterson, George W.		Easter 1895	1895-05-20		Discipline Committee reported that G.W. Patterson had appeared before the committee and explained conduct. The committee recommended that no further proceedings be taken, Patterson having been reprimanded before the committee by the chairman. Adopted.	Reprimanded in committee		
Boulton, Alexander Claude Forster		Trinity 1887	1895-05-20		John Porter having asked leave to withdraw his complaint against A.C.F.B., a report of the Discipline Committee was adopted granting the request, and recommending that no further proceedings be taken.	No further action	Barrister	
Hall, William Myddleton		Easter 1876	1895-05-31		Discipline Committee report on the case of W.M. Hall was presented. The report was read in full to Convocation. Committee finds Hall guilty of conduct unbecoming a barrister and solicitor, and recommends Convocation to disbar Hall, and to resolve that he is unworthy to act as a solicitor. Secretary reported that neither Hall nor his counsel were in attendance. Report adopted. Ordered that Hall be disbarred, and that he is declared unworthy to act as a solicitor.	Disbarred	Barrister	Text of the report printed in PMC.

Greer, Albert Edward Kingsley		Michaelmas 1888	1895-05-31		Discipline Committee presented its report on the case of A.E.K. Greer. Committee finds Greer guilty of conduct unbecoming a barrister and solicitor, and recommends that he be summoned before Convocation, and that he be rebuked in the presence of Convocation by the Treasurer. Report was adopted. Greer was called before Convocation and reprimanded by the Treasurer.	Reprimanded in Convocation	Barrister	Text of the report printed in PMC.
S., W.			1895-06-07		Library Committee report was presented with respect to a breach of the library regulations by Mr. S. The Secretary was directed to transmit a copy of the report to Mr. S., with a request that he lay before Convocation any explanation thereof that he may have to make.			
S., W.			1895-09-09		Letter was read from Mr. S. replying to a communication addressed to him by the Secretary, requesting him to make what explanation he could with regard to his breach of the library regulations. Mr. Shepley gave notice that on September 13th he would move that the papers and correspondence and the report of the Library Committee be referred to the Discipline Committee with instructions to enquire into and report on the matter.			

S., W.			1895-09-13		Letter was read from Mr. W.S. in explanation of his breach of the library regulations. It was ordered that Mr. S. be informed that Convocation is unable to pass over the breach by him of the library regulations without marking its strong disapproval. Ordered that Mr. S. be suspended from the privileges of the library for a period of three months.	Suspension from library for 3 months		
Browning, Arthur George	1861-11	Easter 1889	1896-02-03		Ordered in the matter of charges preferred by Mr. Aytoun-Finlay against Mr. B., that the complaint be referred to the Discipline Committee, to report as to whether a prima facie case had been shown.		Barrister	
Peterson, H.W.		Easter 1856	1896-02-03		Ordered that a petition of Robert Tennant against the conduct as unprofessional of Mr. P., a member of this Society, be referred to the Discipline Committee to report thereon.			
R.			1896-02-14		A report was read from the Discipline Committee in the matter of the complaint of M.J. Reid against Mr. R., recommending that the complainant be informed that her proper course is to proceed at law.	No further action		
Robinson, John A.; Grant, Charles Cyrus		Trinity 1882 (Robinson)	1896-02-14		The report of the Discipline Committee in the matter of the complaint of Jeannie McDonald against Mr. R. and Mr. G. was received. Ordered that the same be taken into consideration on the first Saturday of Easter Term.			

Browning, Arthur George	1861-11	Easter 1889	1896-02-14		Discipline Committee reported in the matter of Mr. Aytoun-Finlay's complaint against Mr. B., that a prima facie case had been shown. Ordered that the complaint be referred to the Discipline Committee for investigation and report.		Barrister	
Robinette, Thomas Cowper	1863-07-28	Trinity 1887	1896-05-18		Petition of John O. Connors, complaining of the conduct of T.C. Robinette, was read and referred to the Discipline Committee, to ascertain whether a prima facie case had been made out.		Barrister	
Robinson, John A.; Grant, Charles Cyrus		Trinity 1882 (Robinson)	1896-05-23		Consideration of the Discipline Committee report upon the complaint of Mrs. McDonald against J.A. Robinson and C.C. Grant was ordered to be deferred until 5th June.			
Bartram, W.H.		Easter 1871	1896-05-29		The attention of Convocation was called to an article published on 18th May in the London Free Press, purporting to emanate from Mr. W.H. Bartram, a barrister and solicitor. It was ordered that the said article be referred to the Discipline Committee for consideration, action and report.		Barrister	
MacGregor, John		Hilary 1879	1896-05-29		Petition of Mr. R.L. Fraser complaining of the conduct of John McGregor was read. Ordered that the same be presented to Convocation at its next meeting on 5th June.			

Robinson, John A.; Grant, Charles Cyrus		Trinity 1882 (Robinson)	1896-06-05		Discipline Committee report relating to the complaint of Mrs. McDonald against Messrs. Robinson and Grant was read. Ordered that the further consideration of the report be proceeded with on 30th June, that a copy of the report be sent to each of the parties complained of, and to the complainant, and that they be informed that Convocation will take action on the case on that day.			
Robinette, Thomas Cowper	1863-07-28	Trinity 1887	1896-06-05		Discipline Committee reported on the complaint of J.O. Connors against Mr. Robinette that a prima facie case had been found. Ordered that the complaint stand referred to the Discipline Committee for investigation and report.		Barrister	
MacGregor, John		Hilary 1879	1896-06-05		The complaint of Mr. R.L. Fraser against John McGregor was read and referred to the Discipline Committee for investigation and report.			
Robinson, John A.; Grant, Charles Cyrus		Trinity 1882 (Robinson)	1896-06-30		Convocation proceeded upon the Discipline Committee report on the complaint of Mrs. McDonald against J.A. Robinson and C.C. Grant. Mr. Robinson and his counsel, Mr. Marsh, Mr. Grant and his counsel, Mr. Johnston, and Mr. Armour, counsel for the complainant were admitted and heard. Charles C. Grant found guilty of conduct unbecoming a student-at-law. John A. Robinson found guilty of conduct unbecoming a barrister and solicitor. Motion passed that Grant and Robinson be reprimanded by Convocation. Both reprimanded by Treasurer in Convocation.	Reprimanded in Convocation	Barrister; Student-at-Law	

Bartram, W.H.		Easter 1871	1896-06-30		Discipline Committee presented a report. Ordered that a call of the bench be issued for 15th September to take action upon the said report, and that a copy of the report be sent to Mr. Bartram, and that he be notified that he will be at liberty to attend the proceedings of Convocation.		Barrister	
Tucker, Harry George		Trinity 1892	1896-09-14		The complaint of Mr. W. Masson against H.G. Tucker was referred to the Discipline Committee to consider and report whether a prima facie case had been shown.		Barrister	
Aylesworth, Allen Bristol	1854-11-27	Trinity 1878	1896-09-14		The complaint of Mr. Bartram against Mr. Aylesworth, Q.C., was referred to the Discipline Committee to ascertain whether a prima facie case had been shown.		Barrister	
Bartram, W.H.		Easter 1871	1896-09-15		The order of Convocation made on 30th June in the matter of W.H. Bartram was then considered. The letter of Mr. Bartram dated 4th August to the Secretary was read. Ordered that further consideration of the Discipline Committee report be adjourned until 17th November.		Barrister	

Browning, Arthur George	1861-11	Easter 1889	1896-09-18		Discipline Committee reported in the matter of the complaint of Mr. A.W. Aytoun-Finlay against A.G. Browning, a member of the Society, that all parties were duly notified of the time and place of investigation, that Browning appeared, but Aytoun-Finlay failed to do so although notified. Committee report that the petition should be dismissed. Committee further report that Aytoun-Finlay, who is a member of the Society, has been guilty of great disrespect to the benchers in not appearing to support his complaint. Report adopted.	Complaint dismissed	Barrister	
Aylesworth, Allen Bristol	1854-11-27	Trinity 1878	1896-09-18		Discipline Committee reported on the complaint of Mr. W.H. Bartram against A.B. Aylesworth, Q.C., that the letter of Bartram is so meagre that the Discipline Committee are unable to ascertain the ground of complaint, and they recommend that Bartram should be so informed, and notified that if he desires the matter to be investigated he must set forth in a petition the facts upon which he relies, and forward the papers necessary to enable the committee to investigate. Ordered accordingly.		Barrister	
Peterson, H.W.		Easter 1856	1896-09-18		Discipline Committee reported on the matter of the complaints of R. Tennant against H.W. Peterson, that the petitioner had, with the permission of the committee, withdrawn his complaint.	Complaint withdrawn		

Robinette, Thomas Cowper	1863-07-28	Trinity 1887	1896-09-25		Discipline Committee reported upon the complaint of J.O. Connors against Thomas C. Robinette. Ordered that the report be considered on the first Wednesday of next term, and that a copy of the report be sent to Robinette, and that he be informed that Convocation will take action on his case that day, at which time he will be at liberty to attend and be heard by himself or by counsel, and that the counsel for the complainant be also notified.		Barrister	
Tucker, Harry George; and Patterson		Trinity 1892 (Tucker)	1896-09-25		Discipline Committee reported in the matter of the complaint of Mr. W. Masson against Messrs. Tucker and Patterson, that Mr. Patterson has departed this life, and that as to Mr. Tucker a prima facie case has been found. Ordered that the complaint be referred to the committee for investigation and report.		Barrister	
Robinson, John A.; Grant, Charles Cyrus		Trinity 1882 (Robinson)	1896-11-17		The petition of Mrs. Jeannie McDonald, who was the complainant in the petition against John A. Robinson and C.C. Grant, asking for an allowance by Convocation of a sum on account of the expenses incurred by her in the prosecution of her complaint was read. Ordered that the petition be not granted.			
Boyd, W.T.			1896-11-17		The complaint of Mr. J.C. Smith against W.T. Boyd, a solicitor, was read. Ordered that the petition be retained on file, but action deferred until such steps at law be taken as Mr. Smith may be advised.		Solicitor	

Riches, C.H.			1896-11-17		The complaint of Mr. Fetherstonhaugh against C.H. Riches, a patent solicitor, not a member of the legal profession, whose card is inserted in the newspapers under the heading "Patent Barristers" was read. Ordered that the complainant be informed that the Society has no power to deal with the matter.	No further action		
Bartram, W.H.		Easter 1871	1896-11-17		Convocation resumed consideration of the report of the Discipline Committee upon the case of W.H. Bartram. Bartram attended and his defence was heard by Convocation. It was resolved that Convocation is of opinion that Bartram as a barrister should not have published a letter of the character of the one in question, and they condemn such action on the part of any member of the Bar. Bartram was called in and the judgment of Convocation communicated to him.		Barrister	
Dickson, L.H.			1896-11-17		The complaint of Messrs. Elliott & Elliott against L.H. Dickson, solicitor, was read. Ordered that they be informed that the matter should be dealt with by the courts.	No further action	Solicitor	
Robinette, Thomas Cowper	1863-07-28	Trinity 1887	1896-11-18		Ordered that further consideration of the Discipline Committee upon the complaint of J.O. Connors against T.C. Robinette, do stand adjourned until 4th December, and that Robinette and the counsel for the complainant be notified.		Barrister	

Robinette, Thomas Cowper	1863-07-28	Trinity 1887	1896-12-04		Convocation resumed consideration of the Discipline Committee report on the case of Robinette. Ordered that same do stand adjourned until 2nd February 1897.		Barrister	
MacGregor, John		Hilary 1879	1897-02-02		Ordered that the report of the Discipline Committee upon the complaint of Mr. R.L. Fraser against John MacGregor, be taken into consideration on February 12th, 1897.		Barrister	
Robinette, Thomas Cowper	1863-07-28	Trinity 1887	1897-02-02		Convocation resumed consideration of the Discipline Committee report on the complaint of John O. Connors against T.C. Robinette. Robinette appeared, as did also Mr. Lamport, counsel for complainant. Both made statements and withdrew. Ordered that the report be adopted and action deferred until the first day of Trinity Term, 1897. Robinette was called in and the Treasurer informed him that owing to the serious nature of the charge which has been proved against him Convocation, had deferred taking action until 1st day Trin Term.		Barrister	

MacGregor, John		Hilary 1879	1897-02-12		Ordered that the Discipline Committee report on the complaint of Mr. R.L. Fraser against John MacGregor be considered on May 18th, 1897, and that John MacGregor do show cause why the report should not be adopted and acted upon; and it was ordered that a copy of the report be delivered to MacGregor, and that he be notified to attend the meeting of Convocation on the day, and that a copy of the report be delivered to Mr. Delamere, counsel for the complainant, and that he also be notified to attend if he thinks proper.			
MacGregor, John		Hilary 1879	1897-05-18		Convocation considered the Discipline Committee report on the complaint of Mr. R.L. Fraser against John MacGregor. E.F.B. Johnston, Q.C., attended on behalf of MacGregor and T.D. Delamere on behalf of the complainant. Ordered that the matter do stand until June 4th, 1897.			
Clarke, C.F.W.			1897-05-18		The complaint of Mr. P.P. Newell against C.F.W. Clarke of Tilsonburg, solicitor, was read. Ordered that the Secretary do inform the complainant that the ordinary proceedings of the court will afford him relief if he be entitled thereto.	No further action	Solicitor	
Heyd, Louis Franklin		Hilary 1883	1897-05-18		The complaint of Mr. J.B. Tremain against L.F. Heyd, barrister, was read. Ordered that complainant be informed that the matter complained of is not one which falls within the powers of the Law Society.	No further action	Barrister	

Gilmour, T.H.			1897-06-04		The letters of Mr. F. McMurray and Mr. J.H. Coburn complaining that T.H. Gilmour, who is not a barrister or solicitor of this Province, is advertising for law business in Rat Portage, were referred to the Discipline Committee.			
MacGregor, John		Hilary 1879	1897-06-04		Convocation resumed consideration of the Discipline Committee report on the complaint of Mr. R.L. Fraser against John McGregor. Ordered that the matter do stand until the 29th June.			
MacGregor, John		Hilary 1879	1897-06-29		Convocation resumed consideration of the Discipline Committee report on the complaint of Mr. R.L. Fraser against John McGregor. G. Ross appeared for McGregor, and T.D. Delamere, Q.C., for Fraser. On application of Ross, Delamere consenting, ordered that the matter do stand until 14th September 1897.			
MacGregor, John		Hilary 1879	1897-09-14		In the matter of the complaint of Mr. R.L. Fraser against John MacGregor, it was ordered that McGregor be reprimanded before Convocation by the Treasurer, and he was then called in and reprimanded by the Treasurer accordingly.	Reprimanded in Convocation	Barrister	
Robinette, Thomas Cowper	1863-07-28	Trinity 1887	1897-09-14		In the matter of the complaint of John O. Connors against T.C. Robinette, it was ordered that further consideration of his case be deferred until 16th November 1897. Robinette undertook to appear then without further notice.		Barrister	

F., E.			1897-09-15		The letter of Mr. A. Bodard complaining of the conduct of Mr. E.F., a solicitor, was read, and the Secretary was directed to obtain information relevant thereto.		Solicitor	
D., C.			1897-09-15		The letter of Dr. Philp, complaining of the conduct of Mr. C.D., a solicitor, was read and transmitted to the Discipline Committee to ascertain whether a prima facie case had been made out.		Solicitor	
F., E.			1897-09-24		Discipline Committee reported on the complaint of A. Bodard against Mr. E.F., solicitor, recommending that Mr. Bodard be informed that his remedy, if any, is by application to the courts.	No further action	Solicitor	
D., C.			1897-09-24		Discipline Committee reported on the complaint of Dr. Philp against Mr. C.D., that in their opinion, Dr. Philp should be informed that his primary remedy is by proceeding in a court of law.	No further action	Solicitor	
unidentified			1897-11-16		The complaint of Mr. R. Bowker against Mr. - was read, and the Secretary was ordered to inform the complainant that his complaint did not present a case in which Convocation ought to interfere.	No further action		
G.			1897-11-16		Discipline Committee report was presented setting forth that the complainants against Mr. G. had not appeared on the day appointed for the investigation, and had intimated that the complaint would be abandoned.	Complaint withdrawn		

Robinette, Thomas Cowper	1863-07-28	Trinity 1887	1897-11-16		Convocation considered the Discipline Committee report on the complaint of John O'Connors against T.C. Robinette. Robinette was in attendance. Ordered that the report be adopted, and that Convocation deal with the question of discipline on the first day of the sitting of Hilary Term, 1898. Robinette was informed of the decision.		Barrister	
Dixon, J.B.			1898-02-08		Finance Committee reported that their attention had been drawn to the advertisement of one J.B.D. in the Brampton High School Gazette, in which he describes himself as a solicitor. They have made enquiries of Mr. Austen the local registrar of the High Court at Brampton, and have received from him the accompanying letter, which with the newspaper containing the advertisement they submit for consideration of the benchers. The report was referred to the Discipline Committee for investigation and report.			
Robinette, Thomas Cowper	1863-07-28	Trinity 1887	1898-02-08		Case of the complaint of J.O. Connors against T.C. Robinette, barrister and solicitor, was considered. Ordered that Robinette be reprimanded by the Treasurer in the presence of Convocation. Robinette was called before Convocayion and reprimanded by the Treasurer.	Reprimanded in Convocation	Barrister	

S., C.G.			1898-02-08		Upon reading the letter of Mr. N.F. Paterson, Q.C., accompanied by a circular of one C.G.S., it was ordered that the same be referred to the Discipline Committee for enquiry and report.			
Sharpe, Samuel Simpson		Michaelmas 1898	1898-02-08		Ordered that the complaint of His Honour Judge Dartnell against Mr. S.S.S., a student-at-law, be referred to the Discipline Committee for investigation and report.		Student-at-Law	
Godfrey, John Milton	1871-07-23	Easter 1894	1898-02-08		Ordered that the complaint of D.D. Reid and Marian Reid against Mr. J.M.G. be referred to the Discipline Committee for enquiry and report.			
K., O.E.			1898-02-08		Ordered that the complaint of Mrs. Wessner against Mr. O.E.K. be referred to the Discipline Committee for enquiry and report.		Solicitor	
K., O.E.			1898-02-18		Discipline Committee reported on the complaint of Mrs. Wessner against Mr. O.E.K., solicitor, that the matter had been adjusted between the parties and the complaint withdrawn.	Complaint withdrawn	Solicitor	
Godfrey, John Milton	1871-07-23	Easter 1894	1898-02-18		Discipline Committee reported on the complaint of M.J. Reid and D.D. Reid against Mr. J.M.G., that a prima facie case had not been made out. Committee was discharged from the further consideration of the case.	Complaint unfounded		
Dixon, J.B.			1898-02-18		Discipline Committee reported that in the matter of the complaint against Mr. J.B.D., that a prima facie case had been made out. Ordered that the complaint be referred to the Discipline Committee for investigation and report.			

Ross, J.C.			1898-05-17		Complaint of Dr. W.F. Meikle against Mr. J.C. Ross was read. Ordered that the Secretary inform the complainant that the ordinary proceedings of the Court will afford him redress, the matter complained of not being such as the benchers can investigate.	No further action		
Clark, Alister McKenzie		Hilary 1859	1898-05-17		Complaint of the Fleming H. Revell Company of Toronto against Mr. A.M. Clark of Palmerston was read. Ordered that the Secretary inform the complainants that Mr. Clark is dead and that their remedy is by suit, the matter complained of not being such as the benchers can investigate.	No further action		
O'Leary, Hugh Gordon	1845-07-24	Easter 1876	1898-05-17		Complaint of John McDonald of Lindsay against Hugh O'Leary was read. It appeared that the same complaint had been laid before Convocation by his solicitor, George Ritchie, of Toronto on 27 June 1894, and, at the request of Ritchie, consideration had been deferred. Ordered that Ritchie be written to and McDonald asked to account for the causes which led to the withdrawal of the complaint, and also to state in detail what has transpired since the matter came before Convocation on 27 June 1894.			

Godfrey, John Milton	1871-07-23	Easter 1894	1898-05-17		Letter of Gordon Waldron, of 22 March, relating to the complaint of Mr. D.D. Reid and Marion Reid, his wife, against John M. Godfrey, having been read, the report made by the Discipline Committee having been adopted by Convocation on 18 February 1898, and six members of the Discipline Committee now being present, it was with their concurrence ordered that the letter of Mr. Waldron be referred to the Discipline Committee for report.			
O'Leary, Hugh Gordon	1845-07-24	Easter 1876	1898-05-21		Secretary reported that in fulfilment of the order of Convocation in the matter of the complaint of J. Macdonald against W.H. O'Leary, he had written to George Ritchie asking him to explain, and then read Mr. Ritchie's reply. Ordered that the further consideration of the complaint be deferred until the first day of Trinity term.			
Sharpe, Samuel Simpson		Michaelmas 1898	1898-06-28		Discipline Committee presented report on the complaint of His Honour Judge Dartnell against Samuel Simpson Sharpe, of the city of Toronto, student-at-law. Before the day appointed for investigation arrived, Mr. Sharpe in writing apologized for the act complained of by Judge Dartnell, whereupon the Judge wrote to the Chairman accepting the apology and withdrawing his complaint, whereupon the Chairman notified the members not to attend the investigation, as the matter would not be proceeded with. Report adopted.	Complaint withdrawn	Student-at-Law	

Fripp, Alfred Ernest		Trinity 1893	1898-06-28		Complaint dated 13 June 1898 of Mr. D. Ferguson, complaining of the conduct of Mr. A.E. Fripp, was read. Ordered that the Secretary inform Ferguson that his letter does not give sufficient particulars on which an opinion can be expressed by Convocation.	No further action		
unidentified			1898-06-28		Letter of George Leighton, complaining of the conduct of certain practitioners in the county of Dufferin was read. Ordered that no action be taken.	No further action		
Urquhardt, D.; Grant, Gideon; Elliott, Charles	1861 (Urquhart) ; 1868-08-18 (Grant)	Hilary 1884 (Urquhart) ; Trinity 1895 ; Michaelmas 1889 (Elliott)	1898-06-28		Letter of Mr. W.S. Wilson of 25 June 1898 to the Treasurer, drawing attention to the letter signed by D. Urquhardt, Gideon Grant, and Charles Elliott of 10 June 1898, to collect funds to defend the suits brought against members of the "Select Knights" for calls, was read. Ordered that the communication be referred to the Discipline Committee to enquire whether there be a prima facie case against the parties signing the letter.		Barrister	
Travers, Fergus James		Michaelmas 1892	1898-06-28		Complaint of Mr. E.W. Nelles against Mr. F.J. Travers, accompanied by a statutory declaration, was read. The statutory declarations of Travers and others volunteered in reply were read, and it was ordered that the complaint be referred to the Discipline Committee for investigation.		Barrister	

Dixon, J.B.			1898-06-28		Discipline Committee presented it report on the matter of J.B. Dixon. From the Finance Committee report it appeared that Dixon had advertised himself in a Brampton paper as a solicitor. It appeared that the advertisement was inserted without the knowledge of Dixon, and was withdrawn the moment it was brought to his notice. It did not appear that Dixon had been acting as a solicitor. Committee of opinion that no further action be taken in the matter. Report adopted.	No further action	Articled Clerk	Text of the report printed in the PMC.
A.B.			1898-09-13		Complaint of Mr. S.M. Barnes, Police Magistrate, of Smith's Falls, as to the conduct of Mr. A.B., accompanied by the declaration of one Easton was read. Ordered that they be referred to the Discipline Committee for inquiry, whether a prima facie case be made out.			
C.D.			1898-09-13		Ordered that the complaint of Mr. J. McDonald against Mr. C.D., the consideration of which had been deferred until today be taken into consideration tomorrow.			
E.F., G.H., I.K.			1898-09-14		Discipline Committee reported that a prima facie case had been shown in the matter of the complaint of W.S. Wilson against Messrs. E.F., G.H., and I.K., and that the matter should be investigated.			
A.B.			1898-09-14		Discipline Committee reported that a prima facie case had been shown in the matter of the complaint of Mr. S.M. Barnes against Mr. A.B., and that the matter should be investigated.			
C.D.			1898-09-14		Complaint of John McDonald against Mr. C.D. was further directed to stand until 23rd September.			

E.F., G.H., I.K.			1898-09-23		Letter of Mr. W.S. Wilson, of 22 September 1898, to the Secretary, was read and referred to the Discipline Committee in connection with the complaint of Mr. Wilson, already referred to the committee.			
C.D.			1898-09-23		Ordered that the complaint of John McDonald against Mr. C.D., barrister and solicitor, be referred to the Discipline Committee for investigation and report.		Barrister	
F.			1898-11-23		Complaint of Mr. D. Ferguson against Mr. - was read and referred to the Discipline Committee for investigation and report.			
U., E., G.			1898-11-23		Discipline Committee presented its report on the complaint of Mr. W.S. Wilson against Messrs. U., E., and G., to the effect that the committee was of the opinion that the complaint had not been established. Report adopted.	No further action		
G.			1898-11-23		Discipline Committee presented its report on the complaint of Mr. D.D. Reid against Mr. G., to the effect that the committee, after hearing the solicitor for the complainants, was of opinion no action should be taken till the complainants had taken such steps as they might be advised before the Courts. Report adopted.			
A.B.			1898-11-23		Discipline Committee reported that the complaint of Mr. S.M. Barnes against Mr. - had not been established, and should be dismissed. Report adopted.	Complaint dismissed		

T.			1898-11-23		Discipline Committee report on the complaint of Mr. E.W. Nelles against Mr. T. Ordered that the report be considered on 9th December 1898; that a copy of the report be served on Mr. T., and that he be informed that Convocation will take action on his case on that day, at which time he may attend and be heard by himself or by his counsel, and that the counsel for the complainant be also notified of the hearing, and that he may attend.			
B.			1898-11-23		Complaint of Mr. R. Hodge against Mr. - was read and referred to the Discipline Committee for investigation and report.			
Travers, Fergus James		Michaelmas 1892	1898-12-09		Discipline Committee report in matter of complaint of Emerson W. Nelles against Fergus J. Travers, barrister & solicitor, was read. Committee of opinion that Travers guilty of professional misconduct and conduct unbecoming a barrister and solicitor. Mr. Hunt, counsel for Travers, addressed Convocation on behalf of Travers. Mr. Vandervoort, counsel for complainant, also addressed Convocation. Report amended, ordered that report be adopted. Resolved that Travers be suspended for a period of 7 years.	Suspended	Barrister	Portion of report printed in PMC.
F., A.E.			1898-12-09		Ordered that the letter of the President of the Carleton Law Association relative to a circular issued by Mr. A.E.F. be referred to the Discipline Committee for enquiry and report.			

O'Leary, Hugh Gordon	1845-07-24	Easter 1876	1899-02-07		Discipline Committee report presented on the complaint of John McDonald against Mr. O., a solicitor. Solicitor for the complainant had withdrawn the proceedings, stating that no misconduct could be imputed to the gentleman complained of, and that the Committee were of opinion that in this instance the powers of Convocation had been appealed to and the Discipline Committee made use of to bring about the settlement of a claim which ought to have been submitted to an ordinary court of law. Report adopted.	Complaint withdrawn	Barrister	
F., A.E.			1899-02-07		Discipline Committee report presented on the complaint of the Carleton Law Association against Mr. F., stating that the complaint had been withdrawn with the Committee's assent. Report adopted.	Complaint withdrawn		
B.			1899-02-07		Discipline Committee report presented on the complaint of Mr. Hodge against Mr. B., stating that the complaint had been withdrawn with the Committee's assent. Report adopted.	Complaint withdrawn		

F.			1899-02-07		Discipline Committee report presented on the complaint of David Ferguson against Mr. F. Solicitor had acted throughout the transaction with propriety and that there was no foundation for the charges of unprofessional conduct made against him, and that the solicitor has been put to great trouble and expense in employing counsel & attending before the Committee, all of which might have been avoided had the complainant pursued his ordinary remedy and applied for an order to tax the solicitor's bill. Report adopted.	No further action	Solicitor	
M.			1899-02-07		Letter dated 20 December 1898, of Messrs. Nesbitt, Gauld & Dickson, complaining of the conduct of Mr. M., and forwarding a copy of an affidavit made by him in a suit of Walker v. M., was read. Ordered that Messrs. Nesbitt, Gauld & Dickson be informed that if they desire to make any complaint a petition should be presented supported by a declaration.			
J.			1899-02-07		Complaint of Mr. J.H. Parkinson against Mr. J. was read, and it was ordered that the complainant be informed that he has a remedy at law either by suit or taxation of the solicitor's bill.	No further action	Solicitor	
M.			1899-02-07		Complaint of William Brown against Mr. M., and the Secretary's letter of acknowledgment were read. Secretary directed to inform the complainant that the proper course was to apply to the courts for redress.	No further action		

R.			1899-02-07		Complaint of Dr. Alguire against Mr. R., and the Secretary's letter of acknowledgment were read. Secretary directed to inform the complainant that the proper course was to apply to the courts for redress.	No further action		
Travers, Fergus James		Michaelman 1892	1899-05-16		Petition of Fergus J. Travers was read, and A.H. Marsh, Q.C., his counsel, heard on his behalf in support of the petition. Ordered that the prayer of the petition be not granted.			
unidentified			1899-05-16		Complaint of Charles E. Bennett against Mr. - was referred to the Discipline Committee to report whether a prima facie case be shown.			
A.			1899-05-17		Letter dated 15th May of David Ferguson, respecting his complaint against Mr. -, was read. Secretary directed to acknowledge the letter and to inform him that its further consideration must necessarily be deferred for the present owing to the absence of the Chairman of the Discipline Committee.		Solicitor	
unidentified			1899-05-17		Letter, dated 1st March, of Messrs. Montgomery, Fleury & Montgomery, in respect of the complaint of Mr. T.H. Parkinson against Mr. -, a solicitor, was read. Secretary directed to reply that the order of Convocation of 17 February 1899, dealing with this complaint had been on the same date communicated to the complainant, and that Convocation sees no reason to modify its former direction.		Solicitor	

unidentified			1899-06-27		Discipline Committee reported that a letter had been received from Mrs. Hudgin, of South Bay, complaining in general terms of the conduct of her solicitors, and that the Committee thought that the communication should not be answered. Adopted.	No further action	Solicitor	
A.			1899-06-27		Discipline Committee presented its report in the case of the complaint of David Ferguson against Mr. A., read to Convocation on May 17th. The Committee had considered the letter and directed that Ferguson be informed that Convocation had already dealt with this matter, the Committee having found that no ground of complaint had been established and that the complaint should not have been sent to the Society, and that the Committee thought at the time that Mr. A. had acted with propriety. Adopted.	No further action		
C.			1899-06-27		Discipline Committee presented its report in the case of the complaint of Charles E. Bennett against Mr. C., referred to the Committee on May 16th, a letter dated 20th June 1899 was received from Mr. M.P. Vandervoort, asking leave on behalf of the complainant to withdraw the complaint, which had been granted. Adopted.	Complaint withdrawn		

A. and B.			1899-09-12		The letter of Mrs. Ellen Armitage, complaining of the conduct of Messrs. A. & B., solicitors, was read, and from information before Convocation, and having regard to the probable outcome of the investigation, it was ordered that Mrs. Armitage be written to requiring her to furnish the certificate of a solicitor to show that her complaint has a reasonable foundation.		Solicitor	
X and X			1899-11-22		Discipline Committee presented a report on the complaint of Charles Clarke against Messrs. X and X, recommending that the complainant be informed that if entitled to relief he can obtain the same upon application to the Courts, and that as the Courts are open to him, the Society should not at this stage entertain the complaint. The report was adopted and the Secretary ordered to transmit a copy to the complainant's solicitors.	No further action		
Travers, Fergus James		Michaelmas 1892	1900-02-06		Letter of F.J. Travers, dated 5th February 1900, as to the reconsideration of the order of Convocation suspending him from practice, was read. Ordered that the Secretary reply that Convocation does not think it proper to reduce the period of suspension under which Travers is now debarred from practising his profession.			

A.B.			1900-02-16		Discipline Committee reported on the complaint of Mr. E.L. Torsch against Mr. A.B. The Committee finds that this complaint is not a matter for investigation by the Society, and recommend that the Secretary inform the complainant to that effect, and that if aggrieved the Courts are open to him. Ordered accordingly.	No further action		
C.D.			1900-05-23		Letter of Bella Hudie, dated May 9, 1900, complaining of the conduct of Mr. C.D., a solicitor, he not having accounted to her for a sum of money collected, was read. Secretary read the letter written by him to the complainant, by the direction of the Chairman of the Discipline Committee, informing her that if she has any claim against her solicitor she must apply to the Court for an order to make him pay; that this is not a matter in which the Law Society should intervene.	No further action	Solicitor	
A. & B.			1901-02-15		Discipline Committee reported on the complaint of the Cuban Cigar Co. against Messrs. A. & B., that the complainants should be informed that if they have suffered any injury they have a remedy by application to the Courts. Ordered accordingly.	No further action		
C.D.			1901-02-15		Discipline Committee reported on the complaint of Sarah Forth against Mr. C.D., that the complainant should be informed that if she has suffered any injury she has a remedy by application to the Courts. Ordered accordingly.	No further action		

E.F.			1901-02-15		Discipline Committee reported on the complaint of P.M. Bawtinheimer against Mr. E.F., that the complainant should be informed that proceedings should be taken at law for the recovery of the monies in question, and that after that question shall have been disposed of the committee would again consider the complaint. Ordered accordingly.	No further action		
A.B.			1901-05-21		Ordered that the complaint of Thomas Phillips against Mr. A.B., be placed in the hands of the acting Chairman of the Discipline Committee.			
unidentified			1901-05-21		Letter dated 17th April 1901 of the Deputy Attorney General was read [re: complaint by David Ferguson]. Convocation ordered that a copy of the report and the papers laid before the Discipline Committee, also the copy of the resolution adopted by Convocation be transmitted to Cartwright, with a request to return same after perusal.			Text of letter printed in the PMC.
A.B.			1901-06-25		Discipline Committee reported on the complaint of Thomas Phillips against Mr. A.B., that a prima facie case had not been shown. Report adopted.	No further action		
C.D.			1901-06-25		Discipline Committee reported on the complaint of Thomas Galloway against Mr. C.D., that a prima facie case had not been shown. Report adopted.	No further action		

E.F.			1901-06-25		Discipline Committee reported on the complaint of Mr. A.K. against Mr. E.F., that petitioner's counsel asked to be allowed to withdraw the petition. Committee consented to this course, petitioner's counsel undertaking to put in a written withdrawal of the complaint containing a retraction of the charges made in the petition. Complainant has written to the Secretary withdrawing the petition on the terms arranged by counsel. Report adopted.	Complaint withdrawn		
C.D.			1901-09-10		Letter of Thomas Galloway, of Uxbridge, to the Secretary asking for return of papers filed by him when laying a complaint against a solicitor, was read, and ordered that his letters be returned to him after conference between the Chairman of the Discipline Committee and the lately Acting Chairman.			
A.B.			1901-11-22		Ordered upon a report of the Discipline Committee that in the case of the complaints of Donald Paterson against Mr. A.B., and Dr. A. Crichton also against Mr. A.B., the Secretary do inform the complainant that the matters are not such as the Law Society can enquire into as the complainant has his remedy at law.	No further action		
C.D. and E.F.			1901-11-22		Ordered upon a report of the Discipline Committee that in the case of the complaint of Mr. M.J. Paterson against Mr. C.D. and Mr. E.F., the Secretary do inform the complainant that the matter is not such as the Law Society can enquire into as the complainant has his remedy at law.	No further action		

unidentified			1902-05-22		Complaint of Mr. Birnie, K.C., bringing before Convocation the conduct of certain solicitors practising in Collingwood, in that they had made endeavors to supplant him in the office of Solicitor to the town of Collingwood, held by him, was read. Ordered that the Secretary inform the complainant that in the opinion of Convocation the complaint does not disclose such matters as come within the purview of the statute.	No further action		
Smith, Walter Frank		Michaelman 1891	1902-06-06		The complaint of Mrs. M.A. Tifft against Mr. A.B. was referred to the Discipline Committee.			
Smith, Walter Frank		Michaelmas 1891	1902-09-11		Ordered upon a report from the Discipline Committee, that the complaints of Mrs. Tefft and Mr. C. Blonde against Mr. A.B., a solicitor, be referred to the committee for investigation.		Barrister	
Smith, Walter Frank		Michaelmas 1891	1903-02-05		Ordered that the Discipline Committee report on the complaints of Mrs. Tefft and Mr. C. Blonde against Mr. E.F. be taken into consideration on the 21st day of May.			
Bayly, Richard Alexander		Michaelmas 1887	1903-02-05		Ordered that a prima facie case having been found on the complaints of Mr. M.J. Gorman, K.C., and the Peterborough Law Association against Mr. G.H., the complaints be sent to the Discipline Committee for investigation and report.			

B. and C.			1903-02-05		Ordered, on a report from the Discipline Committee, on the complaint of Theo. Breidenbach against Messrs. B. and C. that the complainant be informed that if aggrieved they can apply to the Courts for relief and the matter, therefore, need not be investigated by the Society.	No further action		
A.B.			1903-02-05		Ordered, on a report from the Discipline Committee, on the complaint of W.H. Schultz against Mr. A.B. that the complainant be informed that if aggrieved they can apply to the Courts for relief and the matter, therefore, need not be investigated by the Society.	No further action		
N.O.			1903-02-13		Ordered, on a report from the Discipline Committee, on the complaint of Mr. Jas. Dickinson against Mr. N.O. that the complainant be informed that, if aggrieved he should bring the matter before the learned judge who tried the action referred to in the complaint.	No further action		
J.K.			1903-02-13		Ordered, on a report from the Discipline Committee, on the complaint of W.W. Fitzgerald (of Grenfell, Assa.) against Mr. J.K. that the complainant be informed that they should apply for relief to the Courts of Law.	No further action		
L.M.			1903-02-13		Ordered, on a report from the Discipline Committee, on the complaint of Messrs. Hibbard & Glass against Mr. L.M. that the complainants be informed that they should apply for relief to the Courts of Law.	No further action		

unidentified			1903-05-21		Report of the Discipline Committee on the complaint of Mr. R.R. Elliott against a solicitor whose name was not disclosed by the complaint. Secretary instructed to write and inform the complainant that the subject of the complaint is not a matter into which the Law Society can enquire. Report adopted.	No further action	Solicitor	
Jackson, A.H.			1903-05-21		Discipline Committee report on the complaint of Mr. G.L. McCaul and others against Mr. A.H. Jackson, an unqualified person, and the firm of G.H. and others, that the committee are of the opinion that no action can be taken by them and that the Secretary had been directed to inform the complainants. Report adopted.	No further action		
E.F.			1903-05-21		Report of the Discipline Committee on the complaint of Henry James against Mr. E.F.. Secretary instructed to write and inform the complainant that the subject of the complaint is not a matter into which the Law Society can enquire. Report adopted.	No further action		
C.D.			1903-05-21		Report of the Discipline Committee on the complaint of Mr. M.L. Grimmett against Mr. C.D.. Secretary instructed to write and inform the complainant that the subject of the complaint is not a matter into which the Law Society can enquire. Report adopted.	No further action		

A.B.			1903-05-21		Report of the Discipline Committee on the complaint of Nancy Wilkinson against Mr. A.B.. Secretary instructed to write and inform the complainant that the subject of the complaint is not a matter into which the Law Society can enquire. Report adopted.	No further action		
Smith, Walter Frank		Michaelmas 1891	1903-05-21		Discipline Committee presented two reports on the charges of misconduct against Walter Frank Smith. The Committee recommended the dismissal of the complaint of Mary Ann Tefft of Chatham. The Committee recommended the dismissal of the complaint of Celesten Blonde of Chatham. Reports were adopted.	Complaints dismissed	Barrister	Text of reports printed in the PMC.
Chapman, H.L.			1903-05-21		Discipline Committee report on the complaint of Mr. F.L. Pearson against Mr. H.L. Chapman, an unqualified person, and Mr. J.K., that the Secretary had been directed to write the complainant that it does not appear that Chapman did prepare papers for use in the Surrogate Court. Report adopted.	No further action		
Bayly, Richard Alexander		Michaelmas 1887	1903-06-30		Discipline Committee presented its report on the complaints of Mr. M.J. Gorman, K.C., and of the Peterborough Law Association against Mr. R.A. Bayly, barrister and solicitor [circular letter re: succession duty / advertising]. Ordered that report be taken into consideration on 17th September, and that Bayly be notified that the report will be taken into consideration on that day and that he is required to show why the report should not be adopted.		Barrister	Text of correspondence and circulars printed in PMC.

Bayly, Richard Alexader		Michaelmas 1887	1903-09-17		Convocation considered the Discipline Committee report on the complaints of Mr. M.J. Gorman, K.C., and of the Peterborough Law Association against R.A. Bayly. Bayly addressed Convocation by means of a memo. Report adopted. Motion passed that Convocation accepts the tender of Bayly's apology contained in his letter of 18th June 1903 and his offer to pay the expenses made in the same letter, and in view thereof does not make any further order upon the report.	Apologized and paid expenses	Barrister	Text of memo printed in PMC.
Hall, William Myddleton		Easter 1876	1903-12-04		Discipline Committee drew attention to the petition of William Myddleton Hall, who was disbarred on 31 May 1895, praying restoration to his former position in the Society. Ordered that the petition be referred to the Discipline Committee and that the report of the Discipline Committee will be taken into consideration on the 4th February 1904.			
A.B.			1904-02-04		Ordered on a report of the Discipline Committee that, in the matter of the complaint of Mr. T.R. Ridgeway against Mr. A.B., the complainant be informed that he has a remedy at law.	No further action		

Hall, William Myddleton		Easter 1876	1904-02-04		Discipline Committee reported that they have considered the application of Hall to be reinstated as a barrister and solicitor and member of the Law Society. Committee suggest that if the said Hall should be advised to make an application to the Court for reinstatement, the Society interpose no objection and that, if necessary, a bencher should be requested to appear in court, or counsel retained, to express to the court the assent of Convocation to Hall's restoration. Report adopted.			
Hall, William Myddleton		Easter 1876	1904-05-19		Resolved that William Myddleton Hall, who had on the 31st day of May, 1895, been disbarred, be restored.	Restored to Rolls	Barrister	
A.B.			1904-05-19		Discipline Committee reported on the complaint of Messrs. Thomas Brothers Limited against Mr. A.B., barrister and solicitor, that the complainants have their remedy in the courts and that this is not a matter for the Committee's consideration. Report adopted.	No further action	Barrister	
A.B.			1904-09-15		Discipline Committee reported on the complaint of Robert Carpenter against Mr. A.B. Upon division after debate, Convocation was of opinion that no prima facie case had been shown.	No further action		
C.D.			1905-02-09		That in the matter of the complaint made by the widow of Robert Moore against Mr. C.D., the complainant be informed that the said complaint is not one which the Society investigates and that redress must be sought for in the courts.	No further action		

A.B.			1905-02-09		Ordered, upon the report of the Discipline Committee in further reference to the complaint of Robert Carpenter against Mr. A.B. that the promissory note which the gentleman had sent to the Law Society in connection with the charge made against him, be returned to him.			
C.D.			1905-05-18		Discipline Committee report on the complaint of Mrs. Bawn against Mr. C.D. that no ground of complaint had been established, was adopted.	No further action		
unidentified firm			1905-05-18		Discipline Committee reported on the complaint of the Peterson Fruit Company against a firm of solicitors (unnamed), that the Committee had informed the complainant that the matter could be dealt with in a court of law. Report adopted.	No further action		
E.F.			1905-05-18		Ordered, upon the report of the Discipline Committee, that the complaint of Messrs. Farmer & Gould against Mr. E.F. be referred to the Committee for investigation and report.			
A.B.			1905-05-18		Discipline Committee reported on the complaint of Messrs. Flett & Harvey, of Montreal, against Mr. A.B., that the Committee had informed the complainant that the matter could be dealt with in a court of law. Report adopted.	No further action		
unidentified			1905-09-14		Ordered on a report of the Discipline Committee that the complaint of Mrs. Sarah Ferguson against certain solicitors and others be allowed to stand.			

G.H.			1905-09-14		Ordered that the complaint of Mr. A.R. Hanscome against Mr. G.H. be investigated in the usual way.			
C.D.			1905-09-14		Discipline Committee reported on the complaint of Robert Lawson against Mr. C.D., that the Committee had informed the complainant that this was a matter in which he had his remedy, if any, by recourse to the courts. Report adopted.	No further action		
E.F.			1905-09-14		Ordered that the complaint of Messrs. Farmer & Gould against Mr. E.F. should so far as the said firm is concerned be dropped and be proceeded with de novo in the name of Mr. Logan McCann. That the said complaint of McCann against Mr. E.F. be investigated in the usual way.			
A.B.			1905-09-14		Discipline Committee reported on the complaints of John W. Jones against Mr. A.B., and of Charles Demerling also against Mr. A.B., that in each case the Committee had informed the complainants that these were matters in which he had his remedy, if any, by recourse to the courts. Report adopted.	No further action		
A.B.			1905-12-08		Discipline Committee reported on the matter of the complaint of Mr. G. Hugh Semple, of Montreal, Advocate, against Mr. A.B., barrister and solicitor. Secretary has written to the complainant informing him that the matter of his complaint is fully provided for by remedies enforced in the courts of law, and that the subject is not one into which the Law Society deems it necessary to inquire until the ordinary remedies are exhausted. Report adopted.	No further action	Barrister	

Lloyd, Thomas Hiram		Michaelmas 1892	1905-12-08		Discipline Committee reported on the matter of K.L., barrister and solicitor. A member of the Society has been convicted of felony and sentenced to imprisonment, the committee desires to bring the matter to the attention of Convocation and ask Convocation to inquire into the same. Ordered.		Barrister	
G.H.			1905-12-08		Discipline Committee reported on the matter of the complaint of Mr. E.B. Edwards, of Peterborough, barrister and solicitor, against Mr. G.H., barrister and solicitor, charging him with professional misconduct. The committee have considered the complaint, and they find that a prima facie case of misconduct has been shown, and they recommend that the complaint be referred to the Discipline Committee for investigation. Report adopted.		Barrister	
E.F.			1905-12-08		Discipline Committee reported on the matter of the complaint of Logan McCann, of Burlington, against Mr. E.F., barrister and solicitor. Solicitors for the complainant informed the Chairman that their client did not intend to proceed under the complaint. Mr E.F. stated in a letter that he would not repeat the act complained of. Committee of opinion that the complaint should not be proceeded with. Report adopted.	No further action	Barrister	
C.D.			1905-12-08		Discipline Committee reported on the matter of the complaint of Arthur B. Hanscome, of Vancouver, engravor, against C.D., barrister. Committee permitted the complaint to be withdrawn. Report adopted.	Complaint withdrawn	Barrister	

X.Y.			1906-02-09		Discipline Committee presented a report showing that a case of professional misconduct had been shown against X.Y., and recommended that the matter be investigated in the usual way. Report adopted and the matter referred to the Discipline Committee for investigation.			
M. & N.			1906-02-09		Discipline Committee presented a report on the complaint of Messrs. Boisseau & Co. against Messrs. M. & N., solicitors, finding that no prima facie case had been shown. Report adopted.	No further action	Solicitor	
O.P.			1906-02-09		Discipline Committee presented a report of Cole against O.P., a solicitor, finding a prima facie case of professional misconduct was shown. Report adopted and the matter referred to the Discipline Committee for investigation and report.		Solicitor	
Lloyd, Thomas Hiram		Michaelmas 1892	1906-02-09		Discipline Committee presented a report showing that R.S., a member of the Society, had been convicted of felony and sentenced to the Central Prison for eighteen months, and found that a prima facie case of professional misconduct and conduct unbecoming a barrister and solicitor had been made out. Report adopted and the matter was referred to the Discipline Committee.			

T.U.			1906-02-09		Discipline Committee reported on the complaint of John A. McInnes, a member of the Law Society of Upper Canada, it was shown that a person named T.U., not a member of the Law Society, had styled himself in correspondence a barrister and solicitor, evidently for the purpose of intimidating the person to whom it was addressed, and recommended that the matter be referred to Mr. Marsh, K.C., to ascertain what steps should be taken against the said T.U. for so styling himself. Report adopted.		Not a member	
V.W.			1906-02-09		Discipline Committee presented a report in the matter of the complaint of Mr. Poussette, a member of the Law Society, against Mr. V.W., that a prima facie case of professional misconduct had not been shown. Report adopted.	No further action		
J.D. and G.S.			1906-02-09		Discipline Committee reported that these are matters in which the parties have their remedy in the courts, and recommended that they be written to to that effect.	No further action		
M.			1906-02-16		Letters were read from John O'Meara, barrister and solicitor, Ottawa, complaining that Mr. M., though, as he understood, disqualified from so doing, continued to act as both barrister and solicitor. Ordered that the complaint be referred to the Discipline Committee for enquiry and report.			

B. and C.			1906-05-25		The letter of Mr. H.F. Clarke complaining of the conduct of Messrs. B. & C. was read. The Secretary was directed to reply that the subject of the complaint is not a subject in which the Law Society can interfere.	No further action		
Poussette, Alfred Passmore		Easter 1872	1906-05-25		Ordered upon a report of the Discipline Committee in the matter of the complaint of Mr. E.B. Edwards against Mr. A.P. Pousette that Pousette having made an ample and satisfactory apology to Mr. Edwards, Mr. Edwards be granted permission to withdraw his complaint.	Complaint withdrawn		
C.			1906-05-25		Ordered upon a report of the Discipline Committee in the matter of the complaint of Mr. N. Gurd against Mr. C. that the latter be notified to attend the proceedings of Convocation on 8 June to show cause why his name should not be struck off the roll of solicitors.			
Wilkie, P.J.			1906-05-25		Discipline Committee presented its report on the complaint of John Macinnes against P.J. Wilkie, who styles himself a barrister and solicitor, although not such, accompanied by the opinion of Mr. Marsh, K.C., concluding with the statement that as a result of his investigation he had been unable to discover any remedy which is open to the Law Society in connection with this complaint. Report adopted.	No further action	Not a member	

B.			1906-05-25		Discipline Committee reported on the matter of the complaint of Mr. A., barrister and solicitor, against Mr. R., barrister and solicitor. Committee finds that Mr. B. has contravened the provisions of the Act respecting Solicitors and Rules. Report adopted.		Barrister	More details printed in PMC.
Lloyd, Thomas Hiram		Michaelmas 1892	1906-05-25		Discipline Committee presented its report in the matter of Thomas Hiram Lloyd, who was committed of an indictable offence and sentenced to the Central Prison for eighteen months. Committee finds that Thomas Hiram Lloyd has been guilty of professional misconduct and conduct unbecoming a barrister and solicitor, and recommend that he be disbarred and that his name be removed from the roll of solicitors. Report adopted.	Disbarred	Barrister	
B.			1906-06-08		In the matter of the report adopted by Convocation on 25 May, in the complaint of A. against B., a letter from the gentleman whose conduct had been complained of was read. Ordered that the expression of regret by Mr. B. and his promise not to repeat the acts complained of be accepted, and the matter allowed to drop.	No further action		Text of letter printed in PMC.

LeVesconte, Robert Cleugh		Michaelmas 1887	1906-06-08		Discipline Committee reported in the matter of the complaint of George Taylor Denison, police magistrate for the City of Toronto, against R.C. LeVesconte, a member of the Law Society. Committee are of opinion that a prima facie case of professional misconduct or of conduct unbecoming a barrister and solicitor has not been shown. Report adopted.	No further action	Barrister	Text of the report printed in PMC.
C.			1906-06-08		Mr C. in attendance in pursuance of the order of Convocation of 25 May, was called in, and on being asked if he had any cause to show why he should not be struck off the rolls in respect to the matters contained in the report of the Discipline Committee, asked to be informed what was necessary in order that he might be purged of the contempt committed by him. Mr. C. withdrew. Ordered that in the event of the fees and arrears being paid, his certificate to practice as a solicitor be issued to him.	Repayment of fees and arrears	Solicitor	
Lloyd, Thomas Hiram		Michaelmas 1892	1906-06-26		Secretary reports of his action under the resolution of Convocation of the 25th of May, 1906, in the case of Thomas Hiram Lloyd.			Text of the report printed in the PMC.
L. and M.			1907-05-23		Discipline Committee reported that in the case of Messrs. Scott & Briggs against Messrs. L. & M., the complainant had been informed that the subject of complaint is a matter provided for by remedies enforceable in the courts and one into which the Law Society does not deem it necessary to enquire until the ordinary remedies are exhausted. Report adopted.	No further action		

A.B.			1907-05-23		Discipline Committee reported that in the case of G.A. Marsan, advocate, against Mr. A.B., the complainant had been informed that the subject of complaint is a matter provided for by remedies enforceable in the courts and one into which the Law Society does not deem it necessary to enquire until the ordinary remedies are exhausted. Report adopted.	No further action		
C.D.			1907-05-23		Discipline Committee reported that in the case of the Wilson Varnish Company against Mr. C.D., the complainant had been informed that the subject of complaint is a matter provided for by remedies enforceable in the courts and one into which the Law Society does not deem it necessary to enquire until the ordinary remedies are exhausted. Report adopted.	No further action		
J.K.			1907-05-23		Discipline Committee reported that in the case of Geo. Everett against Mr. J.K., the complainant had been informed that the subject of complaint is a matter provided for by remedies enforceable in the courts and one into which the Law Society does not deem it necessary to enquire until the ordinary remedies are exhausted. Report adopted.	No further action		

G.H.			1907-05-23		Discipline Committee reported that in the case of E.C. Kingwell against Mr. G.H., the complainant had been informed that the subject of complaint is a matter provided for by remedies enforceable in the courts and one into which the Law Society does not deem it necessary to enquire until the ordinary remedies are exhausted. Report adopted.	No further action		
E.F.			1907-05-23		Discipline Committee reported that in the case of G. Lewis against Mr. E.F., the complainant had been informed that the subject of complaint is a matter provided for by remedies enforceable in the courts and one into which the Law Society does not deem it necessary to enquire until the ordinary remedies are exhausted. Report adopted.	No further action		
C.D.			1907-05-23		Discipline Committee reported that in the case of H.W. Kerr against Mr. C.D., the complainant had been informed that the subject of complaint is a matter provided for by remedies enforceable in the courts and one into which the Law Society does not deem it necessary to enquire until the ordinary remedies are exhausted. Report adopted.	No further action		
unidentified			1907-06-25		Letter of Mr. F.W. Harcourt, Official Guardian, of 24th June, relating to the conduct of a solicitor, written in pursuance of instructions from the Chancellor of Ontario was read, and the letter and enclosures were referred to the Discipline Committee.		Solicitor	

unidentified			1907-09-12		Discipline Committee presented a report on the case of the solicitor which was referred to the Committee as mentioned in the minutes of the meeting of Convocation of 25th June. Ordered that the report be taken into consideration on 21st November, 1907, and that the Secretary do distribute a copy to the benchers only and a copy to Mr. - and his counsel.		Solicitor	
McKay, William John Lockwood		Easter 1889	1907-11-21		Ordered that the complaint of Angus MacMurchy against Mr. C.D. be remitted to the Discipline Committee to investigate the charge.			
unidentified			1907-11-21		Dr. Hoskin moved adoption of the Discipline Committee report on the case of a solicitor referred to in the proceedings of 25th June and 12th September of this year. Motion carried that consideration of the report be adjourned until first day of Easter Term, 1908.		Solicitor	
A.B.			1907-11-21		Ordered upon a report of the Discipline Committee that the complaint against Mr. A.B. be referred to the Discipline Committee to investigate the charge and that the services of Duncan Donald be retained in the matter.			
unidentified			1907-12-06		Discipline Committee reported in the case of the complaint of Charles Barfitt against a solicitor (not named), that the complainant has been informed that no action can be taken by the Society.	No further action	Solicitor	

G.H.			1907-12-06		Discipline Committee reported in the case of the complaint of Mrs. Boulton against Mr. G.H., that the complainant has been informed that no action can be taken by the Society.	No further action		
E.F.			1907-12-06		Discipline Committee reported in the case of the complaint of Sarah Ferguson against Mr. E.F., that the complainant has been informed that no action can be taken by the Society.	No further action		
I.K.			1907-12-06		Discipline Committee reported in the case of the complaint of H.E. Crockford against Mr. I.K., that the complainant has been informed that no action can be taken by the Society.	No further action		
C.D.; E.F.; G.H.			1908-02-06		Discipline Committee reported on the complaints of Arthur Ondierkirk against Mr. C.D., Mr. O.W. Kiely against Mr. E.F., and Bouch Bros. Against Mr. G.H., that the Secretary has, under direction of the Committee, written informing the complainants that the subjects of their complaints are provided for by remedies enforced in the courts of law, and that the Society does not deem it necessary to inquire into same.	No further action		

Hall, Alfred		Easter 1898	1908-02-06		Discipline Committee reported on the matter of Alfred Hall, a barrister and solicitor (arising out of the trial of an action of Hall v. Berry), which had been sent to the Society by the Junior Registrar of the High Court for investigation by direction of the Hon. Mr. Justice Riddell. The Committee communicated with the Crown Attorney, District of Nipissing, on the subject, who decided not to institute criminal proceedings. Committee could take no further steps in the matter.	No further action	Barrister	Text of letter printed in the PMC.
McKay, William John Lockwood		Easter 1889	1908-02-06		Discipline Committee reported on the complaint of Angus MacMurchy, barrister and solicitor, against William John Lockwood McKay, of Orangeville, barrister and solicitor. Ordered that consideration of the report be taken up on 14th February, and that a copy be forwarded to Mr. McKay, and that he be informed that he may appear in person and by counsel if he thinks proper and show cause why the report be not adopted.		Barrister	Text of the report printed in the PMC.
A.B.			1908-02-06		Discipline Committee reported on two complaints against Mr. A.B. As to one of these the Committee deemed it a case for investigation by the Courts and not by the Law Society. As to the other, the complainant had not furnished the particulars and declarations required by the Committee, who therefore concluded that he had abandoned the complaint, and recommended that it should be dismissed.	Complaint dismissed		

unidentified			1908-02-14		Letter dated 8th February of Messrs. Weldon & Knight, complaining of the conduct of a solicitor, was read. The Secretary was ordered to reply that the offences therein stated do not call for the action of Convocation.	No further action		
McKay, William John Lockwood		Easter 1889	1908-02-14		Convocation considered Discipline Committee report on complaint of Angus MacMurchy against William John Lockwood McKay. McKay, and his counsel, James W. Curry, K.C., were in attendance and called in. Curry addressed Convocation. Convocation refrains from proceeding to disbar or suspend McKay from practice, as such sentence would necessarily cause the loss of his office as County Crown Attorney for County of Dufferin. Convocation finds McKay guilty of professional misconduct and conduct unbecoming a barrister and solicitor. Orders a severe reprimand.	Reprimanded in Convocation	Barrister	
unidentified			1908-05-21		Ordered that consideration of the Discipline Committee report dated 12th September, 1907, on the case of a solicitor which had on the 21st November, 1907, been ordered to stand over until today, be further postponed until the first day of meeting in Michaelmas Term.		Solicitor	
K.L.			1908-05-21		Discipline Committee reported that in the complaint of W.L. Morton against Mr. K.L., the Committee are of opinion that prima facie case has been established and recommend that same be referred to the Committee to investigate the charges. Ordered.			

G.H.			1908-05-21		Discipline Committee reported that in the complaint of F.O. Dugas against Mr. G.H., the Committee are of opinion that prima facie case has been established and recommend that same be referred to the Committee to investigate the charges. Ordered.			
Tucker, Harry George		Trinity 1892	1908-05-21		Discipline Committee reported that in the complaint of Mrs. Margaret Carr against Mr. E.F., the Committee are of opinion that prima facie case has been established and recommend that same be referred to the Committee to investigate the charges. Ordered.			
C.D.			1908-05-21		Discipline Committee reported on the complaint of Virtue & Company against Mr. C.D., that the Secretary had written the complainant informing them that the matter of the complaint are provided for by remedies enforceable in the courts of law.	No further action		
A.B.			1908-05-21		Discipline Committee reported on the complaint of Donald Anderson against Mr. A.B., that the Secretary had written the complainant informing him that the matter of the complaint are provided for by remedies enforceable in the courts of law.	No further action		

Tucker, Harry George		Trinity 1892	1908-11-19		Discipline Committee presented its report in the matter of the complaint of Margaret Carr, of Detroit, against H.G. Tucker, of Owen Sound, barrister and solicitor. Committee finds that it was not shown that Tucker had been guilty of professional misconduct, nor had he been guilty of conduct unbecoming a barrister and solicitor. Report adopted.	No further action	Barrister	
unidentified			1908-11-19		Ordered that consideration of the Discipline Committee report dated 12th September, 1907, on the case of a solicitor which had on the 21st May, 1908, been ordered to stand over until today be further postponed until the first day of meeting in Michaelmas 1909.		Solicitor	
A.B.			1909-02-04		Ordered upon a report of the Discipline Committee that the complaint of Messrs. McDougall and McNairn-Hall against Mr. A.B. be referred to the said committee for investigation.			
Hall, Alfred		Easter 1898	1909-05-21		Discipline Committee presented its report in the matter of the complaint of John Lorn McDougall, the younger, and James McNairn Hall, against Alfred Hall, of the Town of Cobalt, barrister and solicitor. Convocation adopted the report, whereupon it was ordered that a copy of the report be served on Hall and that he be informed that Convocation will take action on his case on 11 June 1909, at which time he will be at liberty to attend and be heard by himself or by his counsel.		Barrister	Text of the report printed in PMC.

Hall, Alfred		Easter 1898	1909-06-11		Secretary reported that the Sheriff of Nipissing was unable to serve Hall. Motion carried amending the date to 16 September 1909 for taking action upon the report, and ordering that copies of the report be sent by registered mail to Hall and to Mr. Ball, the counsel who appeared for Hall before the committee.		Barrister	
Hall, Alfred		Easter 1898	1909-09-16		Discipline Committee report adopted on 12 May 1909 was read. Hall was called in and addressed Convocation. He desired to withdraw the admission signed by him. Robert McKay, counsel for the complainants, replied. Motion passed that Alfred Hall be disbarred, and that he is unworthy to practice as a solicitor; and that the action of Convocation be communicated to the High Court.	Disbarred	Barrister	Text of the report printed in PMC.
Hall, Alfred		Easter 1898	1909-09-24		Report from the Secretary was read at Convocation.			Text of the report printed in PMC.
unidentified			1909-09-24		Discipline Committee reported that consideration of complaints forwarded by Mr. Alfred Hall against certain solicitors had been deferred.	No further action		
G.H.			1909-09-24		Discipline Committee reported that in the complaint of Mrs. M.E. Carswell against Mr. G.H., the complainant has been informed that the complaint is provided for by remedies enforceable in the courts.	No further action		
E.F.			1909-09-24		Discipline Committee reported that in the complaint of Mr. A.B. Keve against Mr. E.F., the complainant has been informed that the complaint is provided for by remedies enforceable in the courts.	No further action		

C.D.			1909-09-24		Discipline Committee reported that in the complaint of Mrs. H. Hunt against Mr. C.D., the complainant has been informed that the complaint is provided for by remedies enforceable in the courts.	No further action		
unidentified			1909-09-24		Discipline Committee reported that in the matter of a letter sent by the Consolidated Collecting Company (Albany, N.Y.), the complainant has been informed that the Society has no jurisdiction.	No further action		
A.B.			1909-09-24		Discipline Committee reported that in the matter of the complaint of the representatives of Donald McLeod, deceased, against Mr. A.B., the Assistant Provincial Secretary, through whom the complaint was forwarded, has been informed that the complaint involves a question of costs over which the Society has no jurisdiction, and that until this is disposed of the question of misconduct cannot be enquired into.	No further action		
unidentified			1909-09-24		Discipline Committee reported that in the matter of the complaint of Messrs. W.P. Telford and W.F. Dunn, respecting the use by an unqualified person of the names of a firm of solicitors in the presentation of papers to the Surrogate Court, the complainants' attention has been drawn to the advisability of asking the learned judge to enquire into the matter.	No further action		
Stephenson, George Herbert		Easter 1886	1910-06-10		The Discipline Committee was instructed to consider the case of Mr. G.H. Stephenson, and report to Convocation.		Barrister	

Hall, Alfred		Easter 1898	1910-09-15		The matter of the petition of Alfred Hall to be reinstated and restored to the rolls, was referred to the Discipline Committee, with power to act and employ counsel.			
Stephenson, George Herbert		Easter 1886	1910-09-23		Discipline Committee reported that George H. Stephenson was convicted of felony and sentenced to imprisonment in the Central Prison for four months, and finds that a prima facie case of professional misconduct and conduct unbecoming a barrister and solicitor has been made out, and submitted also the certificate of the Clerk of the Peace for the County of York. Report adopted.		Barrister	
Hall, Alfred		Easter 1898	1910-09-23		Discipline Committee reported on the petition of Alfred Hall to be restored to practice as a barrister and solicitor. Recommends that Hamilton Cassels, K.C., be retained to represent the Society in such matter.			
Hall, Alfred		Easter 1898	1910-11-24		Discipline Committee reported verbally the result of Alfred Hall's application to the court to be reinstated. Letter from Hall's solicitor was read. Convocation ordered the Secretary to reply, pointing out that any application by Hall to Convocation to reconsider its action must be by petition to the benchers in Convocation in the ordinary way through the Secretary of the Society.			Text of letter printed in PMC.

Hall, Alfred		Easter 1898	1910-12-09		Secretary read the petition of Alfred Hall for leave to withdraw his admission made during the investigation of the complaint of Messrs. McDougall and McNairn Hall and for other relief. Petition referred to the Discipline Committee for consideration and report.			
Hall, Alfred		Easter 1898	1911-02-09		Secretary read a letter from the Hon. Mr. Justice Middleton in connection with the application of Alfred Hall to be reinstated.			
Hall, Alfred		Easter 1898	1911-02-09		Discipline Committee reported in the matter of the petition of Alfred Hall, recommending that the prayer of the petition be not granted. Ordered accordingly.			
Stephenson, George Herbert		Easter 1886	1911-02-09		Discipline Committee reported in the case of George H. Stephenson, that on investigation, the committee had found that he had been guilty of professional misconduct and conduct unbecoming a barrister and solicitor and they recommended that he be disbarred and that his name be removed from the roll of solicitors. Report adopted.	Disbarred	Barrister	
unidentified			1911-02-09		Discipline Committee reported in the complaint of Featherstonhaugh & Co., as to another firm of patent solicitors advertising themselves as solicitors, that the committee were not in a position to report at present.		Solicitor	
Tibbetts, Hugh Ansley		Easter 1901	1911-02-09		Discipline Committee reported in the complaint of James Ray against H.A. Tibbetts, the committee finds that the complaint is not a matter for the Law Society to enquire into and so recommend. Report adopted.	No further action	Barrister	

Slattery, Thomas Frank		Trinity 1900	1911-02-09		Discipline Committee reported in the complaint of Ernest Allward against T.F. Slattery, the committee finds that the complaint is not a matter for the Law Society to enquire into and so recommend. Report adopted.	No further action	Barrister	
Tucker, Henry			1911-02-09		Discipline Committee reported in the complaint of J.H. Carrique against Henry Tucker, the committee finds that the complaint is not a matter for the Law Society to enquire into and so recommend. Report adopted.	No further action		
Earney, William David; Murdoch, John Young; Russell, Gordon		Hilary 1893 (Earney) ; 1903-05-22 (Murdoch)	1911-05-18		Discipline Committee reported that it has been brought to the notice of the committee through the public press that three members of the Society, W.D. Earney, J.Y. Murdoch and Gordon Russell, have been found guilty of forgery, and the committee find that a prima facie case of misconduct has been shown, and recommend that it be referred to the Discipline Committee to make an inquiry and investigation in the case of each. Report adopted.		Barrister	Text of the report printed in PMC.
Fetherstonhaugh, Dennison & Co.			1911-05-18		Discipline Committee reported that it received a communication from Featherstonhaugh & Co. (Fred B. Featherstonhaugh a member of the Society) that a firm of Fetherstonhaugh, Dennison & Co. on their letterheads described themselves as solicitors, with the request that the Society should stop such advertising. Committee report that the Society powerless to deal with the matter. Report adopted and Secretary ordered to notify firm of Fetherstonhaugh, Dennison & Co. to discontinue advertising as solicitors.	No further action		Text printed in PMC.

Stephenson, George Herbert		Easter 1886	1911-05-18		Secretary presented report on George Herbert Stephenson. Report adopted.			Text of the report printed in PMC.
Fetherstonhaugh, Dennison & Co.			1911-06-09		A letter of the 6th June 1911 from Messrs. Fetherstonhaugh, Dennison & Co. in answer to the letter of the Secretary, and a letter of the 7th June 1911 from the Minister of Justice in connection with the opinion of A.H. Marsh, K.C., sent to him, and a letter of the 6th June 1911, from Edward Bayly, K.C., solicitor to the Attorney-General's Department, in connection with the same, were referred to the Discipline Committee.			
Earney, William David		Hilary 1893	1911-09-14		Discipline Committee reported that in the matter of William David Earney, the committee found after due investigation that Earney had been convicted of forgery and sentenced to three years in Kingston Penitentiary and that he had thereby been guilty of professional misconduct and of conduct unbecoming a barrister and solicitor. Report adopted. Ordered that Earney be disbarred.	Disbarred	Barrister	
Murdoch, John Young		1903-05-22	1911-09-14		Discipline Committee reported that in the matter of John Young Murdoch, the committee found after due investigation that Murdoch had been convicted of forgery and theft and had been sentenced to six years in Kingston Penitentiary and that he had thereby been guilty of professional misconduct and of conduct unbecoming a barrister and solicitor. Report adopted. Ordered that Murdoch be disbarred.	Disbarred	Barrister	

Russell, Gordon			1911-09-22		Discipline Committee reported that in the matter of Gordon Russell, the Committee found after due investigation, that Russell has been convicted of forgery and that he had thereby been guilty of professional misconduct and conduct unbecoming a solicitor. Report adopted and resolved that Convocation is of the opinion that the said Gordon Russell is unworthy to practice as a solicitor and the Secretary was ordered to give notice to the High Court.			
Earngy, William David		Hilary 1893	1911-12-08		Secretary presented report in the case of William David Earngy showing that pursuant to resolution of Convocation declaring that he was unworthy to practice as solicitor, the Court had ordered that his name be stricken off the roll of solicitors and that his name had been stricken off the said roll.			
Murdoch, John Young		1903-05-22	1911-12-08		Secretary presented report in the case of John Young Murdock showing that pursuant to resolution of Convocation declaring that he was unworthy to practice as solicitor, the Court had ordered that his name be stricken off the roll of solicitors and that his name had been stricken off the said roll.			
Russell, Gordon			1911-12-08		Secretary presented report in the case of Gordon Russell showing that pursuant to resolution of Convocation declaring that he was unworthy to practice as solicitor, the Court had ordered that his name be stricken off the roll of solicitors and that his name had been stricken off the said roll.	Struck off Roll of Solicitors	Solicitor	

Curry, James Walter; Ardagh, Bertram Holford		Trinity 1881 (Curry) ; Hilary 1895 (Ardagh)	1912-05-23		Discipline Committee reported that a complaint was made to the Society against James W. Curry and B.H. Ardagh, two members of the Society, in respect to two actions, Burnett vs The Toronto Railway Company and Smalling vs The Toronto Railway Company. Report adopted and ordered that the Discipline Committee make inquiry into the matter.		Barrister	Text of the report printed in PMC.
unidentified			1912-06-14		Discipline Committee presented its report on the complaint of Gordon Waldron. No case of professional misconduct. Report adopted and ordered that a copy be sent to the complainant.	No further action		Text of the report printed in PMC.
Curry, James Walter; Ardagh, Bertram Holford		Trinity 1881 (Curry) ; Hilary 1895 (Ardagh)	1913-02-06		Discipline Committee presented its report on the charges preferred against Messrs. Curry, Ardagh and McCarthy. Ordered that the report be taken into consideration on the 14th February, and that all parties be notified that they may then appear and show cause why the report should not be adopted.			
Curry, James Walter; Ardagh, Bertram Holford		Trinity 1881 (Curry) ; Hilary 1895 (Ardagh)	1913-02-14		Discipline Committee presented its report on the charges against Messrs. Curry, Ardagh, and McCarthy, with a few slight amendments to the report presented on 7 February 1913. Curry addressed Convocation. Ardagh did not address Convocation. Motion carried that in view of the fact that Curry's apology for his conduct in the matter was made to and accepted by a judge of the High Court, no further action be taken.	No further action	Barrister	