

TAB 3

Report to Convocation April 26, 2018

Equity and Indigenous Affairs Committee/ Comité sur l'équité et les affaires autochtones

Committee Members

Dianne Corbiere, Co-Chair Julian Falconer, Co-Chair Gina Papageorgiou, Vice-Chair Marion Boyd Suzanne Clément Ross Earnshaw Robert Evans Avvy Go Marian Lippa Isfahan Merali Andrew Spurgeon Sidney Troister Tanya Walker

Purpose of Report: Decision and Information

Prepared by Equity Initiatives (Marian MacGregor – 416-947-3464)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

For Decision

Human Rights Monitoring Group Mandate Expansion	TAB 3.1
Human Rights Monitoring Group Requests for Intervention	TAB 3.2

For Information

For Information Report	ТАВ 3.3
Statistical Snapshots of Lawyers in Ontario	TAB 3.3.1
Portrait statistique des avocats en Ontario	ТАВ 3.3.2
Statistical Snapshots of Paralegals in Ontario	ТАВ 3.3.3
Portrait statistique des parajuristes en Ontario	ТАВ 3.3.4
Equity Legal Education Calendar	TAB 3.3.5

COMMITTEE PROCESS

- The Equity and Indigenous Affairs Committee/Comité sur l'équité et les affaires autochtones ("EIAC" or the "Committee") met on April 12, 2018. Dianne Corbiere, Co-Chair, Gina Papageorgiou, Vice-Chair, Suzanne Clement, Ross F. Earnshaw, Avvy Go, Marian Lippa, Isfahan Merali, Andrew Spurgeon attended and Julian Falconer, Co-Chair, Robert Evans and Sidney Troister attended by telephone.
- 2. Teresa Donnelly, Chair of the Human Rights Monitoring Group, Heather Ross, Life Bencher, and Heather Zordel, a Bencher auditing the Committee, attended this meeting.
- 3. Kathleen Lickers Co-Chair of the Indigenous Advisory Group ("IAG") and Sonia Ouellet, President of AJEFO, attended in person and Paul Saguil, Chair of the Equity Advisory Group ("EAG"), participated by telephone.
- 4. Staff members Jim Varro, Marian MacGregor, and Laura Wilson attended. Darcy Belisle and Margaret Drent attended for a portion of the meeting.

TAB 3.1

FOR DECISION

PROPOSED EXPANSION OF MANDATE OF THE HUMAN RIGHTS MONITORING GROUP

MOTION

5. That Convocation approved the proposed expansion of the mandate of the Law Society's Human Rights Monitoring Group as set out in this report.

PROPOSED EXPANDED MANDATE

- 6. At its April 12, 2018 meeting, the Committee approved for Convocation's consideration an expansion to the mandate of the Human Rights Monitoring Group.
- 7. The additions to the HRMG mandate that have been approved by the HRMG and the Committee, as indicated in underlined text, are as follows:
 - 1. The mandate of the Monitoring Group, as approved by Convocation, is to,
 - a. review information that comes to its attention about human rights violations that target:
 - i. Members of the legal profession and the judiciary, here and abroad, as a result of the discharge of their legitimate professional duties; and
 - ii. <u>Any other human rights defenders whose human rights are threatened or</u> violated in the same event or circumstances as a member of the legal profession or the judiciary;
 - b. <u>review information that comes to its attention about state actions that threaten the</u> <u>rule of law here and abroad:</u>
 - c. determine if the matter is one that requires a response from the Law Society; and
 - d. prepare a response for review and approval by Convocation.
- 8. These changes would expand the mandate to include human rights defenders in interventions relating to the same event or circumstances as members of the legal profession and judges, and to provide the HRMG with the power to intervene on matters that threaten the rule of law.

¹ Law Society Act, RSO 1990, c L8.

HISTORY OF THE HUMAN RIGHTS MONITORING GROUP

Independence of the Legal Profession, Access to Justice, and the Common Purpose of the HRMG

Independence of the Legal Profession

9. Fundamental to the justice system is a legal profession that is independent, enabling members of the legal profession and judges to perform their legitimate professional duties without undue or illegal interference.

Access to Justice

- 10. Basic human rights, which are often violated when there is interference with the independence of the legal profession, cannot be guaranteed by law in the absence of the rule of law. Safeguarding these rights requires vigilant advocates.
- 11. Some lawyers and judges around the world working to uphold the rule of law and defend human rights work are under threats of professional sanctions to personal safety. Despite this they continue their work to ensure that their communities can access justice and advance their legal rights.
- 12. The Law Society of Ontario ("Law Society") has a duty to maintain and advance the cause of justice and the rule of law. Central to advancing both the cause of justice and the rule of law is ensuring access to justice for all.
- 13. While the *Law Society Act*¹ provides that the Law Society facilitate access to justice for Ontarians, the Law Society's commitment to access to justice involves the local, provincial, national and international community.

The Common Purpose of the HRMG

- 14. The mandate of the HRMG is to review and respond to human rights violations against members of the legal profession and the judiciary, here and abroad, as a result of the discharge of their legitimate professional duties.
- 15. The authority of the HRMG derives from the mandate of the Law Society which "is to govern the legal profession in the public interest by upholding the independence, integrity and

¹ Law Society Act, RSO 1990, c L8.

honour of the legal profession for the purpose of advancing the cause of justice and the rule of law"².

16. The HRMG's activities were also born out of the principles set out in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR). The history of the rule-of-law provisions of the UDHR informs action in support of human rights.

"The rule-of-law is woven into the structure of the UDHR from the very beginning, starting with the third clause of the preamble:

Whereas it is essential, if man is not to be compelled to have recourse, as a last resort, to rebellion against tyranny and oppression, that human rights should be protected by the rule of law³.

- 17. This clause emphasizes the fragility as well as the importance of the rule of law. It reminds the powerful that they ignore human rights at their peril. If human beings are not "to be compelled" to resort to violence against oppression, "human rights should be protected by the rule of law"⁴.
- 18. The 18 member multinational Human Rights Commission was given the task of drawing up a set of principles that all member states could pledge to implement. This declaration is an integrated text rather than a list of rights.
- 19. Articles 3 -12 of the UDHR subject the exercise of power to legal rules. They protect individuals from aggression and assure fair procedures. They are the best-known human rights: rights to life, liberty and personal security; bans on slavery and torture; rights to legal recognition, equality before the law, and effective remedies for violation of fundamental rights; freedom from arbitrary arrest and detention; guarantees of fair criminal procedures, the presumption of innocence, and the principle of non-retroactivity in criminal law.
- 20. These provisions are at the heart of the most widely publicized human rights disputes in the world today and at the heart of the work of the HRMG.

A Response to Increased Globalization in the Legal Professions

"Nothing is more important than justice and the just society. It is essential to flourishing of men, women and children and to maintaining social stability and security. You need

² Ibid.

³ Universal Declaration of Human Rights, G.A. Res. 217A (III), U.N. Doc. A/810 at prmbl. (3).

⁴ Mary Ann Glendon, Learned Hand Professor of Law, Harvard University, The Rule of Law in The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, Northwestern University Journal of International Human Rights, Volume 2, April 2004.

only open your newspaper to the international section to read about countries where the rule of law does not prevail, where the justice system is failing or non-existent."⁵

-The Right Honourable Beverley McLachlin, P.C. Chief Justice of Canada

- 21. With the globalization of the legal profession, the erosion of respect for the rule of law anywhere threatens its tenuous position even in the most democratic societies. Thus, the Law Society's strategy in addressing violations of the international human rights of members of the legal profession and judges is necessarily founded upon a network of leading human rights organizations and collaborative strategies. As an example, one of the HRMG's trusted partners is the Law Society of England and Wales (the "LSEW"). Its Lawyers at Risk program "supports lawyers who are hindered in carrying out their professional duties through threats, intimidation, disciplinary measures, disappearance or murder"⁶.
- 22. This program, and others internationally, provide continued guidance for the rationale and approaches of the HRMG in its efforts to combat violations of legal professionals' rights globally. The cumulative efforts of LSEW, the HRMG and other organizations are working: "[i]n the last two decades, major progress has been made towards reversing [trends] of impunity by establishing a new system of international justice to deliver justice to victims and to press national authorities to fulfil their responsibilities"⁷.
- 23. Further to the local impacts of global violations of rights, many Canadian law firms have long-established offices, many staffed by Ontario licensees, across the globe that provide legal services to local communities where legal professionals' rights are violated, and in some cases, where rights violations occur frequently⁸. To support members of the legal profession and judiciary in the discharge of their legitimate professional duties in the countries where Ontario lawyers practice goes to the core of the Law Society's mandate to "advance the cause of justice and the rule of law"⁹.
- 24. The duties of lawyers¹⁰ acting abroad and the parallel imperative for the Law Society to ensure that legal professionals are meeting their responsibilities under the *Rules of*

⁵ The Challenges We Face" (Speech delivered at the Empire Club of Canada, Toronto, 8 March 2007), online:<http://www.scc-csc.gc.ca/court-cour/judges-juges/spe-dis/bm-2007-03-08-eng.aspx.

⁶ The Law Society of England and Wales, Human rights work at the Law Society, online: http://communities.lawsociety.org.uk/human-rights/what-we-do/human-rights-work-at-the-law-society/international-lawyers-at-risk/.>

⁷ http://www.amnesty.ca/our-work/issues/international-justice .

⁸ For example, Blake, Cassels & Graydon LLP, one of Canada's top business law firms, has offices in Toronto, Calgary, Vancouver, Montreal, Ottawa, New York, London, Riyadh (Saudi Arabia), Al-Khobar (Saudi Arabia), Bahrain, and Beijing.

⁹ See ss. 4.2(2) of the Law Society Act, online: https://www.ontario.ca/laws/statute/90108#BK4

¹⁰ Many of the duties of lawyers and judges have been articulated qua lawyers and judges, but this does not necessarily capture paralegals, a regulated profession in Ontario. With the expansion of the HRMG's mandate (a rationale for this expansion is provided below), it would follow that human rights defenders, a definition that would include paralegals, would also be captured by this expanded mandate of the HRMG. Thus, the duties, and for that matter, risks and challenges that fall upon

Professional Conduct (*Rules*) are reflective of the HRMG's mandate to address human rights violations of legal professionals here and abroad. More specifically, the *Rules* outline the duties of lawyers in relation to their special responsibilities:

a lawyer has special responsibilities by virtue of the privileges afforded the legal profession and the important role it plays in a free and democratic society and in the administration of justice, including a special responsibility...to protect the dignity of individuals, and to respect human rights laws in force in Ontario¹¹.

25. It is incumbent on agents in the legal profession, like the Law Society, to promote freedom and democracy, even beyond its borders, given the realities of the globalization of legal services. Unfortunately, the reality is that freedom and democracy are not available to many lawyers globally, and therein exists the rationale for intervention with a global scope.

Establishing the HRMG

- 26. As a response to the duties that flow from the need for a global approach to human rights, the Law Society approved in April 2006, a policy to systematically respond to human rights violations that target members of the legal profession and the judiciary as a result of the discharge of their legitimate professional duties. The policy was based on a report of a working group chaired by bencher Paul Copeland and the initiative was championed by bencher Heather Ross through the Emerging Issues Committee.
- 27. The Law Society is the only Canadian law society to have created a Human Rights Monitoring Group with a specific mandate to monitor human rights violations against members of the legal profession and judges, here and abroad, and to recommend interventions to Convocation.
- 28. The HRMG is mandated "to report to Convocation through the Equity Committee". The first HRMG was chaired by bencher Paul Copeland and included Bencher members Anne Marie Doyle, Heather Ross, Joanne St. Lewis and Mark Sandler.

THE WORK OF THE HRMG

The Interventions

29. The Monitoring Group pursues its mandate by reviewing credible information brought to its attention, often by other like-minded and highly respected organizations. Based on that information and the Monitoring Group's assessment of it, the Monitoring Group proposes to Convocation letters of intervention and public statements regarding members of the legal profession and judges who have been targeted in the discharge of their legitimate

lawyers will also inform that of paralegals regulated by the Law Society. Certainly, the Paralegal Rules of Conduct can also provide guidance in this context.

¹¹ Law Society of Ontario, Rules of Professional Conduct, s 2.1-1 Commentary.

professional duties. The letters—which are usually addressed to heads of state, political leaders, human rights organizations and leaders of the legal profession in the country affected—and the statements, once approved by Convocation, are sent by the Treasurer on the Law Society's behalf.

- 30. The United Nations Human Rights Office of the High Commissioner describes the independence of lawyers and the independence of the judiciary as "two of the fundamental pillars of a democratic society respectful of the rule of law and the effective protection of human rights…"¹².
- 31. Contained in the letters of intervention and in the public statements are requests that heads of states consider applicable human rights conventions, including the United Nations *Basic Principles on the Role of Lawyers* and the *Basic Principles on the Independence of the Judiciary*.
- 32. To date, the Monitoring Group has recommended, and Convocation has approved, Law Society interventions in 219 matters¹³.
- 33. The interventions relate to cases of human rights violations against both judges and members of the legal profession as a result of the discharge of their professional duties. Reports of the incidents indicate that the members of the legal profession and judges have been subjected to various forms of persecution, including,
 - a. harassment and intimidation;
 - b. unlawful detentions and incommunicado detentions;
 - c. unlawful house arrests;
 - d. disappearances;
 - e. violence, abuse and torture; and
 - f. assassinations.

Impact of Interventions

34. While it is difficult to assess the impact of these interventions, "recognizing that the root cause of impunity lies in the failures of national authorities to act"¹⁴, the Law Society has,

¹² Independence and Impartiality of Judges, Prosecutors and Lawyers, OHCHR, online: http://www.ohchr.org/Documents/Publications/training9chapter4en.pdf>.

¹³ For all interventions and corresponding Public Statements, please see the Law Society website here. Additional interventions have been directed to: Algeria, Bahrain, Brazil, Belarus, China, Colombia, the Democratic Republic of Congo, Equatorial Guinea, Egypt, Georgia, Honduras, India, Iran, Kenya, Malaysia, Myanmar (Burma), Nepal, Pakistan, Peru, the Philippines, Rwanda, Russia, Saudi Arabia, Spain, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Syria, Tunisia, Turkey, the United Arab Emirates, Venezuela, Vietnam and Zimbabwe.

¹⁴ International Justice, Amnesty International, online: http://www.amnesty.ca/our-work/issues/international-justice.

however, received responses from foreign authorities and foreign bar associations that speak to the effectiveness of HRMG interventions.

- 35. Furthermore, numerous lawyers from foreign countries have noted that public interventions from organizations such as the Law Society are helpful in informing the community that human rights violations of lawyers and judges do not go unnoticed. For example, on December 9, 2009, Judge Maria Lourdes Afiuni was arrested by intelligence officers in Venezuela after ordering the conditional release pending trial of Eligion Cedeño. She was eventually committed to house arrest. The Law Society intervened in the case in May 2010 through a letter of intervention and a public statement. On December 13, 2011, a judge extended the measure of house arrest against Judge Afiuni by two years. The Law Society intervened again through a letter of intervention. There was a strong response to the Law Society's intervention. Justice Afiuni was also informed of the public statement and she expressed her gratitude for the Law Society intervention.
- 36. In 2012, the Law Society intervened in the case of lawyers in Myanmar who were disbarred as a result of their legitimate political and professional activities. These lawyers, who were reinstated to the practice of law, thanked the international community and the Law Society for their effort to assist them.
- 37. The Law Society has intervened a number of times in the cases of human rights lawyers in Iran who are facing harassment, arrest and imprisonment as a result of their work. When Dr. Shirin Ebadi was at the Law Society, she indicated to then Treasurer Pawlitza the importance of the Law Society intervening in support of lawyers in Iran.
- 38. The Law Society also received a response from the Law Society of England and Wales regarding its intervention in 2014 in the cases of lawyers who represent the LGBTI community in Uganda and Nigeria. The Law Society of England and Wales indicates its concern over the matter and has stated that it will monitor the situation. The Law Society has also been thanked by lawyers for its intervention in the case.
- 39. Interventions in support of Madam Justice Bandaranayake in Sri Lanka received considerable media attention and were positively received.
- 40. The Law Society's 2016 Human Rights Award recipient, Waleed Abu -al-Khair, a human rights lawyer in Saudi Arabia on whose behalf the Law Society has intervened in two occasions in relation to arrest, detention and sentencing to 15 years as a result of his engaging in legitimate human rights work, noting that he is a prominent figure in the field and was the founding Director of Monitor for Human Rights in Saudi Arabia, thanked the HRMG for the Award and for distributing letters of intervention in support of his work, his case and his community. He explained that it was important that human rights defenders know that the international community is watching and that they not be forgotten.

41. Most recently, on January 8, 2018, the Law Society received a letter dated November 28, 2017, from Mr. George Varughese, the President of the Malaysian Bar. In this letter, concerns were raised about the appointment of two judges, an action which the Malaysian Bar deemed to be unconstitutional and a threat to the independence and integrity of the judiciary.

Human Rights Monitoring Group Protocol

- 42. Violations of human rights in the global context are not issues of the past: "[i]n recent history, millions of crimes of genocide, crimes against humanity, war crimes, torture, extrajudicial executions and enforced disappearances have been ignored by national authorities"¹⁵. This is also the case with legal professionals, who are often involved and victimized in the perpetuation of these crimes.
- 43. While these violations call for wide scale interventions and collaborations across borders, the HRMG's mandate is not scoped broadly enough to address all crimes and the violations that flow from them¹⁶. The mandate is scoped in such a way as to target a defined group of legal professionals in the discharge of their legitimate professional duties on matters involving human rights violations, which necessarily excludes a broad range of rights violations.
- 44. In order to reinforce the boundaries of the mandate, the Monitoring Group employs a protocol to ensure that the substance of each intervention and the process of obtaining information for each intervention is highly credible, consistent and defensible. This protocol provides information about the subject on whose behalf the HRMG will intervene, the circumstances under which the HRMG will intervene, as well as the number and types of sources that can be relied upon before the HRMG determines for which interventions it will seek approval from Convocation.
- 45. The process of intervention is first guided and informed by the interventions of leaders in the field. Most notably, the following sources are frequently cited by the Monitoring Group and are afforded special weighting, as is required by the protocol, by virtue of their international standing as leaders in advocacy work and their close ties to the legal profession:
 - 1. Council of Bar and Law Societies of Europe
 - 2. Lawyers' Rights Watch Canada
 - 3. Lawyers for Lawyers
 - 4. The Law Society of England and Wales

¹⁵ *Ibid.*

¹⁶ Using the example of the January 8, 2018 from the President of the Malaysian Bar. The Monitoring Group reviewed this letter and determined, after consultation with leading partners globally, that the letter did not currently fall within the HRMG's mandate and decided to monitor this situation until such time as recognized international human rights organizations determine that intervention is appropriate.

- 46. Additional sources of information about violations can be derived from other reliable sources, which include news services, advocacy groups and even other regulatory bodies (e.g. Aljazeera, Amnesty International, Human Rights Watch), but they are generally referred to strictly in the event that a specially weighted source recognizes the same rights violation. These additional sources are typically used to provide contextual information¹⁷.
- 47. In other circumstances, information about potential interventions comes from members of the HRMG and the Bar in the course of their professional or volunteer work, the Law Society itself and allies. However, interventions on these matters is always systematically run through the HRMG protocol to determine if it falls within the narrow scope of the HRMG mandate.
- 48. The Monitoring Group will consider the following factors when deciding whether to recommend a Law Society response to human rights violations that target members of the legal profession and the judiciary as a result of the discharge of their legitimate professional duties:
 - Whether the incident involves human rights violations against members of the profession or the judiciary;
 - Whether the human rights were violated as a result of the discharge of a legitimate professional duty;
 - Whether the issue relates to the governance of the legal profession or the Law Society's mandate;
 - Whether the Law Society is an appropriate organization to address this issue, and
 - The Law Society's resources.
- 49. As a result of this protocol, the Monitoring Group does not intervene in every case. Any cases that are not supported by the Monitoring Group's protocol are set aside, monitored and revisited in preparing for future interventions, as developments may occur such that a case previously outside the scope of the mandate is later supported with sufficient, reliable information.
- 50. Matters that are supported by the protocol must be approved by Convocation or, in special and often exigent circumstances (e.g. a lawyer facing execution), the Treasurer of the Law Society.

¹⁷ The exception to this rule is as follows: a request for intervention may nevertheless be justified, notwithstanding the absence of a report from any of the specially weighted sources. Past practices suggest that in the absence of a specially weighted source, reports are required from at least four or five reliable sources, of which there is a defined and terminal list.

REASONS FOR EXPANSION OF MANDATE TO INCLUDE HUMAN RIGHTS DEFENDERS

Defining Human Rights Defenders

- 51. The United Nations has provided protection for HRDs and support for their work primarily through the creation of the Declaration on Human Rights Defenders, adopted in 1998¹⁸.
- 52. Keeping the rationale and practices of the HRMG's mandate in mind, there are many instances in which violations of the rights of members of the legal profession or judges coincide closely with that of human rights defenders (HRDs) who are working alongside the members of the legal profession and judges.
- 53. "Human rights defender" is a term used to describe people who, individually or with others, act to promote or protect human rights¹⁹. HRDs are identified by what they do²⁰. A description of their actions and the context in which they work can provide the best explanation of the term. A large amount of the work that human rights defenders do can be characterized as supporting victims of human rights violations²¹. This work can help to end ongoing violations, prevent the repetition of violations, as well as to assist victims in bringing cases before a court²². Some human rights defenders "provide professional legal advice and represent victims in the judicial process"²³. Others engage in counselling and rehabilitation work.²⁴
- 54. Many human rights defenders work towards attaining accountability and respect for human rights legal standards²⁵. A number of human rights defenders focus their work exclusively on ending impunity in relation to human rights violations.²⁶ This work can relate to good governance, as well as to advocacy supporting democratization and ending corruption and the abuse of power²⁷. Human rights defenders also, primarily through their organizations, contribute to the implementation of international human rights treaties²⁸.

¹⁸ UN General Assembly, Declaration on the Right and Responsibility of Individuals, Groups and Organs of Society to Promote and Protect Universally Recognized Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, 8 March 1999, A/RES/53/144 ["Declaration"].

¹⁹ United Nations, Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR), "Human Rights Defenders: Protecting the Right to Defend Human Rights", Fact Sheet No. 29 at 1 ["OHCHR Fact Sheet"].

²⁰ OHCHR Fact Sheet, *supra* note 1. NB: All the information provided in the Fact Sheet is also reproduced on the OHCHR website as links on the page for the SRHRD:
<u>abstraction of Chulczup (SBHRD standard (SBHRD standard SBHRD standard (SBHRD standard SBHRD standard SBHRD standard SBHRD standard SBHRD standard (SBHRD standard SBHRD standard SBHRD standard (SBHRD standard SBHRD standard SBHRD standard (SBHRD standard SBHRD standard SBHRD standard SBHRD standard (SBHRD standard SBHRD standard SBHRD standard SBHRD standard SBHRD standard (SBHRD standard SBHRD standard SBHRD standard SBHRD standard SBHRD standard (SBHRD standard SBHRD standard SBHRD standard SBHRD standard SBHRD standard (SBHRD standard SBHRD standard SBHRD standard SBHRD standard SBHRD standard s</u>

<http://www.ohchr.org/EN/Issues/SRHRDefenders/Pages/SRHRDefendersIndex.aspx>.
²¹ Ibid.

²² *Ibid* at 3-4.

²³ *Ibid* at 4.

²⁴ Ibid.

²⁵ *Ibid*.

²⁶ *Ibid*.

²⁷ *Ibid*.

²⁸ *Ibid*.

55. Notably, HRDs are not necessarily lawyers, but this definition can include members of the legal profession. Professionals with a particular role in the justice system, such as judges, police and lawyers are not automatically human rights defenders. However, they often face considerable pressure "to make decisions that are favourable to the State or other powerful interests, such as the leaders of organized crime"²⁹. Accordingly, where these judicial actors "make a special effort to ensure access to fair and impartial justice", they could be deemed human rights defenders³⁰. It is also possible to act as a human rights defender outside the context of a profession or employment³¹. For the purposes of this report and the mandate, the expansion would relate to non-lawyers.

Rationale for Expansion of Mandate to Include HRDs

- 56. Given the specific scope of the HRMG's current mandate (which does not include the language of the proposed mandate expansion at 1(a)(ii)³² involving HRDs), there have often been situations in which the HRMG cannot direct the intervention towards those HRDs whose rights have been violated alongside that of a member of the legal profession or a judge. This creates an arguably artificial approach to advocacy that endorses action on behalf of members of the legal profession but is silent on violations of HRDs whose work often provides critical support for the work of members of the legal profession; HRDs, in the context of the HRMG mandate, can be employees of firms owned by violated members of the legal profession, clients, allies, community partners and advocates that but for their work, lawyers' effectiveness could be critically diminished, as is evident in the description of their varied and critical role in maintaining democratic societies.
- 57. Moreover, the artifice of this situation becomes starker when considering the fact that HRDs and members of the legal profession and judges are often in the line of fire due to the very nature of their work as advocates for human rights.
- 58. The HRMG has experience with a number of interventions where this paradox plays out. Between 2015 and 2017, inclusive, there were 8 letters where the Law Society intervened on behalf of at least one lawyer in circumstances where HRDs perceivably experienced violations of their rights. Two out of these eight letters spoke out directly about human rights violations relating to a named HRD. Thus, it would appear that the HRMG has some previous experience advocating on behalf of HRDs in the context of human rights interventions. The remaining six letters advocated for human rights defenders more broadly, in the context of increasing rights violations of human rights defenders in a given country.

²⁹ Supra note 13.

³⁰ *Ibid*.

³¹ *Ibid* at 8.

³² The language of the proposed expansion at ss. 1(a)(ii) is as follows: <u>Any other human rights defenders</u> whose human rights are threatened or violated in the same event, or circumstances as a member of the legal profession or the judiciary. It should also be noted that the HRMG came to consensus and approved this language after considering a number of alternatives in place of the word "circumstance", including the word "context".

- 59. The expansion of the mandate to include HRDs requires relatively small practical changes to the HRMG's research and intervention letters. These changes would include, for example, naming the HRDs and describing the violations, which are often the same or similar to those experienced by the members of the legal profession and judges and, where appropriate, providing more information about the ways in which HRDs may have been differentially affected by the violations. It is likely that this approach will lead to a perceivably more impactful, reliable and comprehensive approach to global interventions in support of members of the legal profession and judges.
- 60. Moreover, this approach is consist with upholding the independence of the legal profession, as attacks on the allies of members of the legal profession and judges, including HRDs, are tantamount to attacks on lawyers and judges themselves. It is also consistent with approaches taken by leading partners to the HRMG, including for example, Lawyers Rights Watch Canada³³.
- 61. Finally, the HRMG does not anticipate that this change in mandate will lead to an increase in the number of interventions but rather will address the effectiveness and thoroughness of its interventions when necessary.

Rationale for Expansion to Rule of Law Interventions

62. Currently, the HRMG's mandate is not sufficiently broad to address matters that threaten the rule of law solely. Under the rule of law, citizens have a right to obtain legal advice from a lawyer whose duty is to represent their best interests³⁴. It follows that violations of members of the legal profession's human rights can erode the rule of law.

Background on the Rule of Law

- 63. The term "rule of law" is a concept comprised of universal principles such as accountability, just laws, open government and accessible and impartial dispute resolution. For the purposes of this report, the term will be understood as connoting a series of universal principles as per the definition provided by the World Justice Project³⁵.
- 64. One of the hallmarks of a free and democratic society is the rule of law. Its importance is manifested in every legal activity in which citizens engage, from the sale of real property to the prosecution of murder to international trade. As participants in a justice system that advances the rule of law, lawyers hold a unique and privileged position in society.³⁶

³³ Online: Stop the Crackdown Against Human Rights Defenders and Bloggers, online: <https://www.lrwc.org/viet-nam-stop-the-crackdown-against-human-rights-defenders-and-bloggersjoint-letter/>.

³⁴ The Rule of Law and Lawyer Independence, online: <https://www.lawsociety.bc.ca/our-initiatives/ruleof-law/>.

³⁵ What is the Rule of Law, World Justice Project, online: https://worldjusticeproject.org/about-us/overview/what-rule-law.

³⁶ Preface Model Code of Conduct – Federation of Law Societies, March 2017.

The HRMG and Rule of Law Interventions

65. The HRMG has considered and addressed issues related to the rule of law as a consequence of advocacy in relation to violations of the human rights of identified legal professionals. For example, if the State were to dismiss a judge who advocated for the independence of the judiciary, this dismissal would be both a violation of the judge's human rights (freedom of expression) as well as a threat to the independence of the judiciary and the rule of law³⁷.

Scope of Rule of Law Interventions

- 66. The HRMG's current mandate, as it relates to interventions on violations of the rights of legal professionals, informs the expansion in relation to rule of law interventions by setting parameters for intervention. These parameters include the language as proposed in the mandate, which set out limitations for interventions, particularly in relation to limiting the agents of violations of human rights to state actors as opposed to individual actors. Further providing scope for these interventions that threaten the rule of law and excludes matters that do not pose any real threat to the rule of law, thus providing shape to the nature of interventions such that potential or perceived threats are out of scope.
- 67. Further bolstering the parameters of the scope of rule of law interventions is the HRMG's adherence to its protocol for intervention. The protocol, described above, will apply to rule of law interventions as they do to interventions involving violations of human rights of lawyers and judges (e.g. protocol rules around specially weighted sources apply; whether the Law Society is the appropriate organization to address the matter; Law Society resources).
- 68. From the standpoint of the HRMG, the rule of law and protection of human rights share a symbiotic relationship: they cannot exist without each other. It follows that threats to rule of law can perpetuate violations of human rights and vice versa. The expansion of the HRMG mandate to include rule of law interventions is a necessary reflection of this close relationship.

³⁷ The <u>proposed intervention regarding judicial reforms in Poland</u>, for example, is a broader rule of law intervention not clearly linked to legal professionals.

TAB 3.2

FOR DECISION

HUMAN RIGHTS MONITORING GROUP REQUEST FOR INTERVENTIONS

69. That Convocation approve the letters and public statements in the following cases:

- a. Ahmine Noureddine Algeria letters of intervention and public statement presented at TAB 3.2.1
- b. Azza Soliman Egypt letters of intervention and public statement presented at TAB 3.2.2
- c. Ezzat Ghonim Egypt letters of intervention and public statement presented at TAB 3.2.3
- d. Alldo Fellix Januardy Indonesia letters of intervention and public statement presented at TAB 3.2.4

Rationale

- 70. The request for interventions falls within the mandate of the Human Rights Monitoring Group (the "Monitoring Group") to:
 - review information that comes to its attention about human rights violations that target members of the profession and the judiciary, here and abroad, as a result of the discharge of their legitimate professional duties;
 - b. determine if the matter is one that requires a response from the Law Society; and
 - c. prepare a response for review and approval by Convocation.

Key Issues and Considerations

- 71. The Monitoring Group considered the following factors when making a decision about the criminal charges against lawyer Ahmine Noureddine:
 - a. there are no concerns about the quality of sources used for this report; and
 - b. the criminal charges against lawyer Ahmine Noureddine falls within the mandate of the Monitoring Group.
- 72. The Monitoring Group considered the following factors when making a decision about the travel ban, frozen assets, and criminal charges against lawyer Azza Soliman:

1

- a. there are no concerns about the quality of sources used for this report; and
- b. the travel ban, frozen assets, and criminal charges against lawyer Azza Soliman falls within the mandate of the Monitoring Group.
- 73. The Monitoring Group considered the following factors when making a decision about the disappearance of lawyer Ezzat Ghonim:
 - a. there are no concerns about the quality of sources used for this report; and
 - b. the disappearance of lawyer Ezzat Ghonim falls within the mandate of the Monitoring Group.
- 74. The Monitoring Group considered the following factors when making a decision about the discontinuation of the investigation into the attack of lawyer Alldo Fellix Januardy:
 - a. there are no concerns about the quality of sources used for this report; and
 - b. the discontinuation of the investigation into the attack of lawyer Alldo Fellix Januardy falls within the mandate of the Monitoring Group.

KEY BACKGROUND

ALGERIA – CRIMINAL CHARGES AGAINST AHMINE NOUREDDINE

Sources of Information

- 75. The background information for this report was retrieved from the following sources:
 - a. Council of Bars and Law Societies of Europe (CCBE);1
 - b. Front Line Defenders.²

Background

¹ "Re: The charges against lawyer Ahmine Noureddine" *Council of Bars and Law Societies of Europe* (2 March 2018), online:

http://www.ccbe.eu/fileadmin/speciality_distribution/public/documents/HUMAN_RIGHTS_LETTERS/Algeria_-Algerie/2018/EN_HRL_20180302_Algeria_The-charges-against-lawyer-Ahmine-Noureddine.pdf [CCBE Noureddine Letter].

² "Human rights lawyer Ahmine Noureddine judicially harassed" *Front Line Defenders* (30 January 2018), online: https://www.frontlinedefenders.org/en/case/human-rights-lawyer-ahmine-noureddine-judicially-harassed> [FLD Noureddine Article].

- 76. Ahmine Noureddine is a human rights lawyer who represents members of the Amazigh Ibadi religious minority community and other individuals in the Ghardaia Province, Algeria.
- 77. In June 2015, 48 people died in a violent clash between the Amazigh Ibadi and the Maliki sect in Ghardaia.³ Among the deceased was an individual who was killed as a result of severe beatings by the security forces when they intervened. Ahmine Noureddine filed a complaint against the security forces on behalf of the deceased's brother.⁴ According to information received, the deceased's brother, as a result of pressure by authorities, retracted the complaint and falsely stated that he has not asked Ahmine Noureddine to file the complaint on his behalf.⁵
- 78. According to reports, in November 2017, Ahmine Noureddine was charged with "false communication" and "insulting a regulatory body" (the police) by the prosecution of the Ghardaïa court.⁶ A hearing took place on March 5, 2018 and was adjourned to May 28, 2018.⁷

EGYPT – TRAVEL BAN, FROZEN ASSETS AND CRIMINAL CHARGES AGAINST AZZA SOLIMAN

Sources of Information

79. The background information for this report was retrieved from the following sources:

- a. Lawyers for Lawyers;8
- b. Amnesty International;9,10,11
- c. Front Line Defenders;12

⁹ "Egypt: Drop all charges against Azza Soliman" *Amnesty International,* online: ">https://e-activist.com/ea-action?ea.client.id=1770&ea.campaign.id=94199&_ga=2.30770685.390464427.1522786705-1752130140.1517348625>">https://e-activist.com/ea-action?ea.client.id=1770&ea.campaign.id=94199&_ga=2.30770685.390464427.1522786705-1752130140.1517348625>">https://e-activist.com/ea-action?ea.client.id=1770&ea.campaign.id=94199&_ga=2.30770685.390464427.1522786705-1752130140.1517348625>">https://e-activist.com/ea-activist.com/ea-activist.com/ea-activist.com/ea-ga=2.30770685.390464427.1522786705-1752130140.1517348625>">https://e-activist.com/ea-activist.com/ea-activist.com/ea-ga=2.30770685.390464427.1522786705-1752130140.1517348625>">https://e-activist.com/ea-activist.com/ea-activist.com/ea-ga=2.30770685.390464427.1522786705-1752130140.1517348625>">https://e-activist.com/ea-acti

https://www.amnesty.org.uk/make-difference-minute>. [Amnesty Soliman Make a Difference] ¹² "Arrest of Azza Soliman" *Front Line Defenders*, online:

³ Ibid.

⁴ Ibid.

⁵ Ibid.

⁶ CCBE Noureddine Letter, *supra* note 1.

⁷ FLD Noureddine Article, *supra* note 2.

⁸ "Egypt Human rights lawyer banned from travelling" *Lawyers for Lawyers* (accessed 15 March 2018), online: http://www.advocatenvooradvocaten.nl/lawyers/azza-soliman/. [L4L Soliman Article]

¹⁰ "Speak out for women's rights defender Azza Soliman" Amnesty International, online:

https://www.amnesty.org/en/get-involved/brave/?viewCampaign=69355>. [Speak Out for Soliman].

¹¹ "Make a Difference in a Minute – Azza Soliman" Amnesty International, online:

<a>https://www.frontlinedefenders.org/en/case/arrest-azza-soliman>. [Front Line Soliman Post].

d. Law Society of Ontario.13

Background

- 80. Azza Soliman is a human rights lawyer and founder of the Centre for Egyptian Women's Legal Assistance (CEWLA). We have previously intervened (find letter of intervention at TAB 3.2.2.1) on behalf of Azza Soliman when she witnessed the death of a political activist, by the police, and subsequently faced charges relating to "unauthorized protests" and "breach of security and public order".¹⁴
- 81. According to reports, Azza Soliman had recently intended to travel from Egypt to Jordan to participate in a training session for the Musawah movement, an organization promoting equity within the Muslim community.¹⁵ Azza Soliman was informed by authorities that she is banned from travelling.¹⁶ Her personal assets, and the assets belonging to her law firm are frozen, as she is now among a group of human rights defenders who are being prosecuted because they receive money from abroad for their work.¹⁷ Azza Soliman now faces charges for slandering Egypt's image by claiming that women in the country are at risk of rape.¹⁸ There is the potential that, if she is convicted of these charges, she would face a lengthy prison sentence.
- 82. In addition Azza Soliman is constantly harassed and monitored by the government. Newspapers and television channels label her as an "enemy of Egypt".¹⁹

EGYPT – DISAPPEARANCE AND DETENTION OF EZZAT GHONIM

Sources of Information

- 83. The background information for this report was retrieved from the following sources:
 - a. Amnesty International;20

https://www.lsuc.on.ca/uploadedFiles/Equity_and_Diversity/Human_Rights_Monitoring_Group/Egypt%2 0Azza%20Soliman-June16.pdf>.

¹³ Letter re: The ongoing harassment of human rights lawyer Azza Soliman, (June 16, 2015) *The Law Society of Upper Canada,* online:

¹⁴ Ibid.

¹⁵ L4L Soliman Article, *supra* note 8.

¹⁶ Speak Out for Soliman, *supra* note 10.

¹⁷ L4L Soliman Article, *supra* note 8; Front Line Soliman Post *supra* note 12.

¹⁸ Amnesty Soliman Call to Action, *supra* note 9.

¹⁹ Amnesty Soliman Make a Difference, *supra* note 11.

²⁰ "Egypt: fears that lawyer Ezzat Ghonim is latest human rights activist to be 'disappeared'" *Amnesty International* (2 March 2018), online: https://www.amnesty.org.uk/press-releases/egypt-fears-lawyer-ezzat-ghonim-latest-human-rights-activist-be-disappeared>. [Amnesty Ghonim Press Release].

- b. Al Jazeera;21
- c. BBC News;22
- d. U.S. News & World Report.23

Background

- 84. Ezzat Ghonim is a prominent human rights lawyer and director of the non-governmental organization, Egyptian Coordination for Rights and Freedoms. Ghonim publicly criticized Egyptian authorities for their handling of Ms. Mohammed's daughter. He was also the first to report the arrest of Mona Mahmoud Mohammed.²⁴
- 85. According to reports, an Egyptian woman, Mona Mahmoud Mohammed was detained pursuant to an order by the public prosecutor following her criticism—which related to accusations against the police that they had tortured and raped her daughter—of the Egyptian police in an interview with the BBC, a foreign media outlet.²⁵
- 86. Following his public criticisms, Ezzat Ghonim did not return home on the evening of Thursday, March 1, 2018, and his cellular phone has been turned off.²⁶ Family, friends and colleagues have been unable to contact him and his whereabouts were unknown; they assumed that he had been arrested, which has prompted concerns that his disappearance has been enforced, which, according to Amnesty International, is the method of choice for the authorities when they are looking to hide further abuses against dissidents, such as ill-treatment, torture and extra-judicial executions.²⁷
- 87. Reports indicate that on March 19, 2018 Ezzat Ghonim appeared in a video published by the Egyptian Interior Ministry appearing weak and tired.²⁸ He remains in detention in an undisclosed location.

INDONESIA – INVESTIGATION INTO THE ATTACK OF ALLDO FELLIX JANUARDY

²¹ "Egypt arrests mother' cited in BBC story on police abuse" *Al Jazeera* (2 March 2018), online: https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2018/03/egypt-arrests-mother-cited-bbc-story-police-abuse-180302145446043.html. [Aljazeera Ghonim article]

²² "Egypt arrests mother of woman at centre of BBC report" *BBC News* (2 March 2018) online: http://www.bbc.com/news/world-middle-east-43260661>. [BBC Ghonim article]

²³ "Egypt Arrests Mother Cited in BBC Report; Lawyer Disappears" *US News* (2 March 2018) online: https://www.usnews.com/news/world/articles/2018-03-02/egypt-arrests-mother-cited-in-bbc-report-lawyer-disappears.

²⁴ Ibid.

²⁵ BBC Ghonim article, *supra* note 22.

²⁶ Amnesty Ghonim Press Release, *supra* note 20.

²⁷ Ibid.

²⁸ Egyptian Coordination for Rights and Freedoms, Statement on the increasing violations against "Ezzat Ghoneim" and allegations against "ECRF", online: https://ecrfeg.org/en/2018/03/17/statement-on-the-increasing-violations-against-ezzat-ghoneim-and-allegations-against-ecrf/.>

Sources of Information

- 88. The background information for this report was retrieved from the following sources:
 - a. Lawyers for Lawyers;29
 - b. Lawyers' Rights Watch Canada;30
 - c. Law Society of Ontario.31

Background

- 89. Alldo Fellix Januardy is an independent public interest lawyer at the Legal Aid Institute in Jakarta. We intervened on his behalf, in our letter to you dated June 7, 2016 (find letter at TAB 3.2.4.1), shortly after he was attacked by members of the Civil Service Police Unit and the Sub-District Head of Tebet in relation to the forced eviction of his clients from their homes.³²
- 90. Shortly after the attack on him, Alldo Fellix Januardy filed a police report in relation to this attack which led to a police investigation.³³ The South Jakarta Police recently decided to terminate the police investigation after 1.5 years, despite reports of compelling evidence of maltreatment, including witness reports and publicly released photos relating to the attack on him by state officials.³⁴

²⁹ "Indonesia Investigation of attack on lawyer Alldo Felix Januardy" *Lawyers for Lawyers* (19 February 2018), online: < http://www.advocatenvooradvocaten.nl/13171/indonesia-investigation-of-attack-on-lawyer-alldo-felix-januardy/>. [L4L Januardy]

³⁰ Support Letter in Ongoing Pre-trial Lawsuit, *Lawyers for Lawyers* and *Lawyers Rights Watch Canada* (28 January 2018) online: http://www.lrwc.org/ws/wp-content/uploads/2018/01/180128-Indonesia-L4LLRWC-support-letter-Alldo-Januardy-logo.pdf>. [LRWC Januardy Letter]

³¹ Letter Re: Maltreatment of Alldo Fellix Januardy, (7 June 2016), *Law Society of Upper Canada,* online: https://www.lsuc.on.ca/uploadedFiles/Equity_and_Diversity/Human_Rights_Monitoring_Group/Indonesia.pdf>. [LSO Letter Januardy]

³² LSO Letter Januardy, *supra* note 31; LRWC Januardy Letter, *supra* note 29.

³³ L4L Januardy, *supra* note 29.

³⁴ Ibid.

TAB 3.2.1

PROPOSED LETTERS OF INTERVENTION AND PUBLIC STATEMENT

AHMINE NOUREDDINE

H.E. Abdelaziz Bouteflika Président de la République Algérienne Palais d'El Mouradia Alger Algérie

Your Excellency:

Re: The criminal charges against lawyer Ahmine Noureddine

I write on behalf of the Law Society of Ontario* to voice our grave concern over the judicial harassment of lawyer Ahmine Noureddine. When serious issues of apparent injustice to lawyers and the judiciary come to our attention, we speak out.

Ahmine Noureddine is a human rights lawyer who represents members of the Amazigh Ibadi religious minority community and other individuals in the Ghardaia Province, Algeria.

In June 2015, 48 people died in a violent clash between the Amazigh Ibadi and the Maliki sect in Ghardaia. Among the deceased was an individual who was killed as a result of severe beatings by the security forces when they intervened. Ahmine Noureddine filed a complaint against the security forces on behalf of the deceased's brother. According to information received, the deceased's brother, as a result of pressure by authorities, retracted the complaint and falsely stated that he has not asked Ahmine Noureddine to file the complaint on his behalf.

According to reports, in November 2017, Ahmine Noureddine was charged with "false communication" and "insulting a regulatory body" (the police) by the prosecution of the Ghardaïa court. A hearing took place on March 5, 2018 and was adjourned to May 28, 2018.

The Law Society of Ontario is deeply troubled by Ahmine Noureddine's situation and urges Your Excellency to comply with Algeria's obligations under international human rights laws, including the United Nations' *Basic Principles on the Role of Lawyers*.

Article 16 of the Basic Principles on the Role of Lawyers states:

Governments shall ensure that lawyers (a) are able to perform all of their professional functions without intimidation, hindrance, harassment or improper interference; (b) are able to travel and to consult with their clients freely both within their own country and abroad; and (c) shall not suffer, or be threatened with, prosecution or administrative, economics or other

sanctions for any action taken in accordance with recognized professional duties, standards and ethics.

Article 17 states:

Where the security of lawyers is threatened as a result of discharging their functions, they shall be adequately safeguarded by the authorities.

Article 18 states:

Lawyers shall not be identified with their clients or their clients' causes as a result of discharging their functions.

Furthermore, Article 23 provides:

Lawyers like other citizens are entitled to freedom of expression, belief, association and assembly. In particular, they shall have the right to take part in public discussion of matters concerning the law, the administration of justice and the promotion and protection of human rights and to join or form local, national or international organizations and attend their meetings, without suffering professional restrictions by reason of their lawful action or their membership in a lawful organization.

The Law Society urges the Government of Algeria to:

- a. withdraw the charges against Ahmine Noureddine immediately and unconditionally;
- b. cease any current and further judicial harassment of Ahmine Noureddine;
- c. guarantee in all circumstances the physical and psychological integrity of Ahmine Noureddine;
- d. put an end to all acts of harassment against lawyers in Algeria;
- e. ensure that all lawyers and judges in Algeria are adequately safeguarded by the authorities such that they are able to carry out their professional duties and activities free from intimidation, hindrance, harassment, improper interference, the threat of criminalization, or other human rights violations; and
- f. ensure in all circumstances respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms in accordance with international human rights standards and international instruments.

Yours truly,

Paul B. Schabas Treasurer

*The Law Society of Ontario is the governing body for more than 50,000 lawyers and 8,000 paralegals in the province of Ontario, Canada. The Treasurer is the head of the Law Society.

The mandate of the Law Society is to govern the legal profession in the public interest by upholding the independence, integrity and honour of the legal profession for the purpose of advancing the cause of justice and the rule of law.

cc:

The Honourable Patricia McCullagh, Ambassador of Canada to Algeria The Embassy of Canada P.O. Box 48, Alger-Gare 16306 Algeria

The Honourable Hocine Meghar, Ambassador of Algeria to Canada Algerian Embassy 500 Wilbrod Street Ottawa, ON K1N 6N2 Canada Fax: +1 (613) 789-1406 Email: <u>info@embassyalgeria.ca</u>

The Honourable Chrystia Freeland Minister of Foreign Affairs House of Commons Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0A6 Email: <u>chrystia.freeland@parl.gc.ca</u>

H.E. Mr. Sabri Boukadoum Permanent Mission of Algeria to the United Nations 326 East 48th Street, New York, NY 10017 Phone: (212) 750-1960 Fax: (212) 759-5274 Email: <u>algeria@un.int</u>

Alex Neve, Secretary General, Amnesty International Canada

Andrew Anderson, Executive Director, Front Line Defenders

Emma Achili, Head of European Union Office, Front Line Defenders

Kenneth Roth, Executive Director, Human Rights Watch

Farida Deif, Canada Director, Human Rights Watch

Executive Director, Lawyers for Lawyers

David F. Sutherland, Chair, Lawyers' Rights Watch Canada

Hina Jilani, President, Observatory for the Protection of Human Rights Defenders

Michel Forst, Special Rapporteur on the situation of human rights defenders, Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights

Mónica Pinto, Special Rapporteur of the Human Council on the independence of judges and lawyers, Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights

Roberta Taveri, International Human Rights Policy Adviser, The Law Society of England and Wales

Proposed Letter to Lawyers' Associations

Dear [Name],

Re: The criminal charges against lawyer Ahmine Noureddine

I write to inform you that on the advice of the Human Rights Monitoring Group*, the Law Society of Ontario sent the attached letter to President Abdelaziz Bouteflika, the President of Algeria, expressing our deep concern over reports of the criminal charges against lawyer Ahmine Noureddine.

We would be very interested in hearing from you in regard to the situation noted in the attached letter, whether your organization has intervened in this matter and whether we have misapprehended any of the facts in this case. Any further information you may have about the case would also be welcome.

Please forward any further correspondence to the attention of Laura Wilson, Associate Counsel, Equity Initiatives, The Law Society of Ontario, 130 Queen St. West, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, M5H 2N6 or to wilson@lso.ca.

I thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Teresa Donnelly Chair, Human Rights Monitoring Group

* The Law Society of Ontario is the governing body for more than 50,000 lawyers and 8,000 paralegals in the province of Ontario, Canada. The Law Society is committed to preserving the rule of law and to the maintenance of an independent Bar. Due to this commitment, the Law Society established a Human Rights Monitoring Group ("Monitoring Group"). The Monitoring Group has a mandate to review information of human rights violations targeting, as a result of the discharge of their legitimate professional duties, members of the legal profession and the judiciary in Canada and abroad. The Human Rights Monitoring Group reviews such information and determines if a response is required of the Law Society.

Letter to be sent to:

Alex Neve, Secretary General, Amnesty International Canada

Andrew Anderson, Executive Director, Front Line Defenders

Emma Achili, Head of European Union Office, Front Line Defenders

Kenneth Roth, Executive Director, Human Rights Watch

Executive Director, Lawyers for Lawyers

David F. Sutherland, Chair, Lawyers' Rights Watch Canada

Hina Jilani, President, Observatory for the Protection of Human Rights Defenders

Michel Forst, Special Rapporteur on the situation of human rights defenders, Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights

Mónica Pinto, Special Rapporteur of the Human Council on the independence of judges and lawyers, Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights

Roberta Taveri, International Human Rights Policy Adviser, The Law Society of England and Wales

PROPOSED PUBLIC STATEMENT

The Law Society of Ontario expresses grave concern about the criminal charges against lawyer Ahmine Noureddine Algeria

Toronto, ON — The Law Society of Ontario expresses grave concern over the judicial harassment of lawyer Ahmine Noureddine. When serious issues of apparent injustice to lawyers and the judiciary come to our attention, we speak out.

Ahmine Noureddine is a human rights lawyer who represents members of the Amazigh Ibadi religious minority community and other individuals in the Ghardaia Province, Algeria.

In June 2015, 48 people died in a violent clash between the Amazigh Ibadi and the Maliki sect in Ghardaia. Among the deceased was an individual who was killed as a result of severe beatings by the security forces when they intervened. Ahmine Noureddine filed a complaint against the security forces on behalf of the deceased's brother. According to information received, the deceased's brother, as a result of pressure by authorities, retracted the complaint and falsely stated that he has not asked Ahmine Noureddine to file the complaint on his behalf.

According to reports, in November 2017, Ahmine Noureddine was charged with "false communication" and "insulting a regulatory body" (the police) by the prosecution of the Ghardaïa court. A hearing took place on March 5, 2018 and was adjourned to May 28, 2018.

The Law Society of Ontario is deeply troubled by Ahmine Noureddine's situation and urges the Algerian Government to comply with Algeria's obligations under international human rights laws, including the United Nations' *Basic Principles on the Role of Lawyers*.

Article 16 of the Basic Principles on the Role of Lawyers states:

Governments shall ensure that lawyers (a) are able to perform all of their professional functions without intimidation, hindrance, harassment or improper interference; (b) are able to travel and to consult with their clients freely both within their own country and abroad; and (c) shall not suffer, or be threatened with, prosecution or administrative, economics or other sanctions for any action taken in accordance with recognized professional duties, standards and ethics.

Article 17 states:

Where the security of lawyers is threatened as a result of discharging their functions, they shall be adequately safeguarded by the authorities.

Article 18 states:

Lawyers shall not be identified with their clients or their clients' causes as a result of discharging their functions.

Furthermore, Article 23 provides:

Lawyers like other citizens are entitled to freedom of expression, belief, association and assembly. In particular, they shall have the right to take part in public discussion of matters concerning the law, the administration of justice and the promotion and protection of human rights and to join or form local, national or international organizations and attend their meetings, without suffering professional restrictions by reason of their lawful action or their membership in a lawful organization.

The Law Society urges the Government of Algeria to:

- a. withdraw the charges against Ahmine Noureddine immediately and unconditionally;
- b. cease any current and further judicial harassment of Ahmine Noureddine;
- c. guarantee in all circumstances the physical and psychological integrity of Ahmine Noureddine;
- d. put an end to all acts of harassment against lawyers in Algeria;
- e. ensure that all lawyers and judges in Algeria are adequately safeguarded by the authorities such that they are able to carry out their professional duties and activities free from intimidation, hindrance, harassment, improper interference, the threat of criminalization, or other human rights violations; and
- f. ensure in all circumstances respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms in accordance with international human rights standards and international instruments.

TAB 3.2.2

PROPOSED LETTERS OF INTERVENTION AND PUBLIC STATEMENT

AZZA SOLIMAN

H.E. Abdel Fattah el-Sisi President of the Arab Republic of Egypt Abdeen Palace El-Gomhoreya Square Rahbet Abdin, Abdeen Cairo, Egypt

Your Excellency:

Re: Travel ban, frozen assets and criminal charges against lawyer Azza Soliman

I write on behalf of the Law Society of Ontario* to voice our grave concern over the travel ban, frozen assets, and criminal charges against lawyer Azza Soliman. When serious issues of apparent injustice to lawyers and the judiciary come to our attention, we speak out.

Azza Soliman is a human rights lawyer and founder of the Centre for Egyptian Women's Legal Assistance (CEWLA). We have previously intervened on behalf of Azza Soliman when she witnessed the death of a political activist, by the police, and subsequently faced charges relating to "unauthorized protests" and "breach of security and public order". The details of that intervention are outlined in our letter to you dated June 16, 2015, and I have attached this letter for your ease of reference (TAB 3.2.2.1).

According to reports, Azza Soliman had recently intended to travel from Egypt to Jordan to participate in a training session for the Musawah movement, an organization promoting equity within the Muslim community. Azza Soliman was informed by authorities that she is banned from travelling. Her personal assets, and the assets belonging to her law firm are frozen, as she is now among a group of human rights defenders who are being prosecuted because they receive money from abroad for their work. Azza Soliman now faces charges for slandering Egypt's image by claiming that women in the country are at risk of rape. There is the potential that, if she is convicted of these charges, she would face a lengthy prison sentence

In addition Azza Soliman is constantly harassed and monitored by the government. Newspapers and television channels label her as an "enemy of Egypt".

The Law Society of Ontario is deeply troubled by Azza Soliman's situation and urges Your Excellency to comply with Egypt's obligations under international human rights laws, including the United Nations' *Basic Principles on the Role of Lawyers*.

Article 16 of the Basic Principles on the Role of Lawyers states:

Governments shall ensure that lawyers (a) are able to perform all of their professional functions without intimidation, hindrance, harassment or improper interference; (b) are able to travel and to consult with their clients freely both within their own country and abroad; and (c) shall not suffer, or be threatened with, prosecution or administrative, economics or other sanctions for any action taken in accordance with recognized professional duties, standards and ethics.

Article 17 states:

Where the security of lawyers is threatened as a result of discharging their functions, they shall be adequately safeguarded by the authorities.

Article 18 states:

Lawyers shall not be identified with their clients or their clients' causes as a result of discharging their functions.

Furthermore, Article 23 provides:

Lawyers like other citizens are entitled to freedom of expression, belief, association and assembly. In particular, they shall have the right to take part in public discussion of matters concerning the law, the administration of justice and the promotion and protection of human rights and to join or form local, national or international organizations and attend their meetings, without suffering professional restrictions by reason of their lawful action or their membership in a lawful organization.

The Law Society urges the Government of Egypt to:

- a. withdraw the charges against Azza Soliman immediately and unconditionally;
- b. remove the travel ban restricting Azza Soliman's ability to travel immediately and unconditionally;
- c. immediately grant Azza Soliman full and unrestricted access to all of the assets and accounts that belong to her personally and to her law firm;
- d. guarantee in all circumstances the physical and psychological integrity of Azza Soliman;
- e. put an end to all acts of harassment against lawyers in Egypt;

- f. ensure that all lawyers and judges in Egypt are adequately safeguarded by the authorities such that they are able to carry out their professional duties and activities free from intimidation, hindrance, harassment, improper interference, the threat of criminalization, or other human rights violations; and
- g. ensure in all circumstances respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms in accordance with international human rights standards and international instruments.

Yours truly,

Paul B. Schabas Treasurer

*The Law Society of Ontario is the governing body for more than 50,000 lawyers and 8,000 paralegals in the province of Ontario, Canada. The Treasurer is the head of the Law Society.

The mandate of the Law Society is to govern the legal profession in the public interest by upholding the independence, integrity and honour of the legal profession for the purpose of advancing the cause of justice and the rule of law.

cc:

Mr. Moataz Mounir Moharram Zahran Ambassador of the Arab Republic of Egypt 454 Laurier Avenue East Ottawa, Ontario K1N 6R3 Fax: +1 (613) 234-9347 / 234-4398 Email: Egyptemb@sympatico.ca

Egyptian Bar Association 49A Ramses Street Cairo, Egypt Tel: +20 (2) 45 13 60

The Honourable Chrystia Freeland Minister of Foreign Affairs House of Commons Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0A6 Email: chrystia.freeland@parl.gc.ca The Honourable Jess Dutton, Canadian Ambassador of Canada to the Arab Republic of Egypt, The Embassy of Canada to Egypt

Alex Neve, Secretary General, Amnesty International Canada

Andrew Anderson, Executive Director, Front Line Defenders

Emma Achili, Head of European Union Office, Front Line Defenders

Kenneth Roth, Executive Director, Human Rights Watch

Farida Deif, Canada Director, Human Rights Watch

Executive Director, Lawyers for Lawyers

David F. Sutherland, Chair, Lawyers' Rights Watch Canada

Hina Jilani, President, Observatory for the Protection of Human Rights Defenders

Michel Forst, Special Rapporteur on the situation of human rights defenders, Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights

Mónica Pinto, Special Rapporteur of the Human Council on the independence of judges and lawyers, Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights

Marina Brilman, International Human Rights Policy Adviser, The Law Society of England and Wales

Proposed Letter to Lawyers' Associations

Dear [Name],

Re: Travel ban, frozen assets and criminal charges against lawyer Azza Soliman

I write to inform you that on the advice of the Human Rights Monitoring Group*, the Law Society of Ontario sent the attached letter to President Abdel Fattah el-Sisi, the President of the Arab Republic of Egypt, expressing our deep concern over reports of the travel ban, frozen assets and criminal charges against lawyer Azza Soliman.

We would be very interested in hearing from you in regard to the situation noted in the attached letter, whether your organization has intervened in this matter and whether we have misapprehended any of the facts in this case. Any further information you may have about the case would also be welcome.

Please forward any further correspondence to the attention of Laura Wilson, Associate Counsel, Equity Initiatives, The Law Society of Ontario, 130 Queen St. West, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, M5H 2N6 or to wilson@lso.ca.

I thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Teresa Donnelly Chair, Human Rights Monitoring Group

* The Law Society of Ontario is the governing body for more than 50,000 lawyers and 8,000 paralegals in the province of Ontario, Canada. The Law Society is committed to preserving the rule of law and to the maintenance of an independent Bar. Due to this commitment, the Law Society established a Human Rights Monitoring Group ("Monitoring Group"). The Monitoring Group has a mandate to review information of human rights violations targeting, as a result of the discharge of their legitimate professional duties, members of the legal profession and the judiciary in Canada and abroad. The Human Rights Monitoring Group reviews such information and determines if a response is required of the Law Society.

Letter to be sent to:

Egyptian Bar Association

Alex Neve, Secretary General, Amnesty International Canada

Andrew Anderson, Executive Director, Front Line Defenders

Emma Achili, Head of European Union Office, Front Line Defenders

Kenneth Roth, Executive Director, Human Rights Watch

Executive Director, Lawyers for Lawyers

David F. Sutherland, Chair, Lawyers' Rights Watch Canada

Hina Jilani, President, Observatory for the Protection of Human Rights Defenders

Michel Forst, Special Rapporteur on the situation of human rights defenders, Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights

Mónica Pinto, Special Rapporteur of the Human Council on the independence of judges and lawyers, Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights

Marina Brilman, International Human Rights Policy Adviser, The Law Society of England and Wales

PROPOSED PUBLIC STATEMENT

The Law Society of Ontario expresses grave concern about the travel ban, frozen assets and criminal charges against lawyer Azza Soliman in Egypt

Toronto, ON — The Law Society of Ontario expresses grave concern over the travel ban, frozen assets, and criminal charges against lawyer Azza Soliman. When serious issues of apparent injustice to lawyers and the judiciary come to our attention, we speak out.

Azza Soliman is a human rights lawyer and founder of the Centre for Egyptian Women's Legal Assistance (CEWLA). We have previously intervened on behalf of Azza Soliman when she witnessed the death of a political activist, by the police, and subsequently faced charges relating to "unauthorized protests" and "breach of security and public order". The details of that intervention are outlined in our letter to you dated June 16, 2015, and I have attached this letter for your ease of reference.

According to reports, Azza Soliman had recently intended to travel from Egypt to Jordan to participate in a training session for the Musawah movement, an organization promoting equity within the Muslim community. Azza Soliman was informed by authorities that she is banned from travelling. Her personal assets, and the assets belonging to her law firm are frozen, as she is now among a group of human rights defenders who are being prosecuted because they receive money from abroad for their work. Azza Soliman now faces charges for slandering Egypt's image by claiming that women in the country are at risk of rape. There is the potential that, if she is convicted of these charges, she would face a lengthy prison sentence

In addition Azza Soliman is constantly harassed and monitored by the government. Newspapers and television channels label her as 'enemy of the people'.

The Law Society of Ontario is deeply troubled by Azza Soliman's situation and urges the Egyptian Government to comply with Egypt's obligations under international human rights laws, including the United Nations' *Basic Principles on the Role of Lawyers*.

Article 16 of the Basic Principles on the Role of Lawyers states:

Governments shall ensure that lawyers (a) are able to perform all of their professional functions without intimidation, hindrance, harassment or improper interference; (b) are able to travel and to consult with their clients freely both within their own country and abroad; and (c) shall not suffer, or be threatened with, prosecution or administrative, economics or other sanctions for any action taken in accordance with recognized professional duties, standards and ethics.

Article 17 states:

Where the security of lawyers is threatened as a result of discharging their functions, they shall be adequately safeguarded by the authorities.

Article 18 states:

Lawyers shall not be identified with their clients or their clients' causes as a result of discharging their functions.

Furthermore, Article 23 provides:

Lawyers like other citizens are entitled to freedom of expression, belief, association and assembly. In particular, they shall have the right to take part in public discussion of matters concerning the law, the administration of justice and the promotion and protection of human rights and to join or form local, national or international organizations and attend their meetings, without suffering professional restrictions by reason of their lawful action or their membership in a lawful organization.

The Law Society urges the Government of Egypt to:

- a. withdraw the charges against Azza Soliman immediately and unconditionally;
- b. remove the travel ban restricting Azza Soliman's ability to travel immediately and unconditionally;
- c. immediately grant Azza Soliman full and unrestricted access to all of the assets and accounts that belong to her personally and to her law firm;
- d. guarantee in all circumstances the physical and psychological integrity of Azza Soliman;
- e. put an end to all acts of harassment against lawyers in Egypt;
- f. ensure that all lawyers and judges in Egypt are adequately safeguarded by the authorities such that they are able to carry out their professional duties and activities free from intimidation, hindrance, harassment, improper interference, the threat of criminalization, or other human rights violations; and
- g. ensure in all circumstances respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms in accordance with international human rights standards and international instruments.

TAB 3.2.2.1



The Law Society of Upper Canada Barreau du Haut-Canada

Office of the Treasurer

His Excellency Abdel Fattah el-Sisi President of the Arab Republic of Egypt Abedine Palace Cairo, Egypt

Your Excellency:

June 16, 2015

Re: The ongoing harassment of human rights lawyer Azza Soliman

I write on behalf of The Law Society of Upper Canada* to voice our grave concern over the ongoing harassment of human rights lawyer Azza Soliman. When serious issues of apparent injustice to lawyers and the judiciary come to our attention, we speak out.

Azza Soliman is a human rights lawyer and founder of the Centre for Egyptian Women's Legal Assistance.

According to reports, on January 24, 2015, Azza Soliman witnessed the violent dispersal of a protest, which led to the death of a political activist. Azza Soliman was not participating in the protest, but she was coincidentally in the same area and witnessed the dispersal and the shooting of the activist.

On the same day, Azza Soliman voluntarily went to the Public Prosecutor's Office to give her testimony regarding the incident she had witnessed. Azza Soliman told the prosecutor that police were responsible for the death of the political activist. Reports indicate that after providing her testimony, she was interrogated by the prosecutor and advised that she was under investigation for charges relating to "unauthorized protests" and "breach of security and public order". Azza Soliman was released, pending investigation.

On March 23, 2015, Azza Soliman was informed that she had been formally charged with the abovementioned charges, along with 16 other witnesses to the January 24, 2015, incident.

In concern over these reports, The Law Society of Upper Canada urges Your Excellency to consider Articles 16 and 23 of the United Nations' *Basic Principles on the Role of Lawyers*.

Article 16 states:

Governments shall ensure that lawyers (a) are able to perform all of their professional functions without intimidation, hindrance, harassment or improper interference; (b) are able to travel and to consult with their clients freely both within their own country and abroad; and (c) shall not suffer, or be threatened with, prosecution or administrative, economics or other sanctions for any action taken in accordance with recognized professional duties, standards and ethics.

tel 416-947-3415 fax 416-947-7609

Osgoode Hall 130 Queen Street West Toronto, Ontario

M5H 2N6

Moreover, Article 23 states:

Lawyers like other citizens are entitled to freedom of expression, belief, association and assembly. In particular, they shall have the rights to take part in public discussion of matters concerning the law, the administration of justice and the promotion and protection of human rights and to join or form local, national or international organizations and attend their meetings, without suffering professional restrictions by reason of their lawful action or their membership in a lawful organization.

The Law Society urges the government of Egypt to:

- a. guarantee in all circumstances the physical and psychological integrity of Azza Soliman;
- b. guarantee all the procedural rights that should be accorded to Azza Soliman and other human rights lawyers and defenders in Egypt;
- c. put an end to all acts of harassment against Azza Soliman as well as other human rights lawyers and defenders in Egypt;
- d. ensure in all circumstances respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms in accordance with international human rights standards and international instruments.

Yours very truly,

Jante. Miner

Janet E. Minor Treasurer

*The Law Society of Upper Canada is the governing body for more than 47,000 lawyers and 7,000 paralegals in the province of Ontario, Canada. The Treasurer is the head of the Law Society.

The mandate of the Law Society is to govern the legal profession in the public interest by upholding the independence, integrity and honour of the legal profession for the purpose of advancing the cause of justice and the rule of law.

cc:

Mr. Ibrahim Mehleb Prime Minister of the Arab Republic of Egypt Magles El Shaab Street, Kasr El Aini Street Cairo, Egypt

Mr. Mahmoud Saber Minister of Justice of the Arab Republic of Egypt Ministry of Justice Magles El Saeb Street, Wezaret Al Adl

Cairo, Egypt

Wael Aboul-Magd Ambassador of the Arab Republic of Egypt 454 Laurier Avenue East Ottawa, ON, K1N 6R3

Alex Neve, Secretary General, Amnesty International Canada

Mary Lawlor, Executive Director, Front Line Defenders

Vincent Forest, Head of European Union Office, Front Line Defenders

Kenneth Roth, Executive Director, Human Rights Watch

Adrie van de Streek, Executive Director, Lawyers for Lawyers

David F. Sutherland, Chair, Lawyers' Rights Watch Canada

Yves Berthelot, President, Observatory for the Protection of Human Rights Defenders

Michel Forst, Special Rapporteur on the situation of human rights defenders, Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights

Gabriella Knaul, Special Rapporteur of the Human Council on the independence of judges and lawyers, Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights

Sarah Smith, Human Rights and Rule of Law Policy Adviser, The Law Society of England and Wales

TAB 3.2.3

PROPOSED LETTERS OF INTERVENTION AND PUBLIC STATEMENT

EZZAT GHONIM

H.E. Abdel Fattah el-Sisi President of the Arab Republic of Egypt Abdeen Palace El-Gomhoreya Square Rahbet Abdin, Abdeen Cairo, Egypt

Your Excellency:

Re: Concerns regarding the disappearance and detention of lawyer Ezzat Ghonim

I write on behalf of the Law Society of Ontario* to voice our grave concern over the disappearance of lawyer Ezzat Ghonim. When serious issues of apparent injustice to lawyers and the judiciary come to our attention, we speak out.

According to reports, an Egyptian woman, Mona Mahmoud Mohammed was detained pursuant to an order by the public prosecutor following her criticism—which related to accusations against the police that they had tortured and raped her daughter—of the Egyptian police in an interview with the BBC, a foreign media outlet.

Ezzat Ghonim is a prominent human rights lawyer and director of the non-governmental organization, Egyptian Coordination for Rights and Freedoms. Ghonim publicly criticized Egyptian authorities for their handling of Ms. Mohammed's daughter. He was also the first to report the arrest of Mona Mahmoud Mohammed.

Following his public criticisms, Ezzat Ghonim did not return home on the evening of Thursday, March 1, 2018, and his cellular phone has been turned off. Family, friends and colleagues have been unable to contact him and his whereabouts were unknown; they assumed that he had been arrested, which has prompted concerns that his disappearance has been enforced, which, according to Amnesty International, is the method of choice for the authorities when they are looking to hide further abuses against dissidents, such as ill-treatment, torture and extra-judicial executions.

Reports indicate that on March 19, 2018 Ezzat Ghonim appeared in a video published by the Egyptian Interior Ministry appearing weak and tired. He remains in detention in an undisclosed location.

The Law Society of Ontario is deeply troubled by Ezzat Ghonim's situation and urges Your Excellency to comply with Egypt's obligations under international human rights laws, including the United Nations' *Basic Principles on the Role of Lawyers*.

Article 16 of the Basic Principles on the Role of Lawyers states:

Governments shall ensure that lawyers (a) are able to perform all of their professional functions without intimidation, hindrance, harassment or improper interference; (b) are able to travel and to consult with their clients freely both within their own country and abroad; and (c) shall not suffer, or be threatened with, prosecution or administrative, economics or other sanctions for any action taken in accordance with recognized professional duties, standards and ethics.

Article 17 states:

Where the security of lawyers is threatened as a result of discharging their functions, they shall be adequately safeguarded by the authorities.

Article 18 states:

Lawyers shall not be identified with their clients or their clients' causes as a result of discharging their functions.

Furthermore, Article 23 provides:

Lawyers like other citizens are entitled to freedom of expression, belief, association and assembly. In particular, they shall have the right to take part in public discussion of matters concerning the law, the administration of justice and the promotion and protection of human rights and to join or form local, national or international organizations and attend their meetings, without suffering professional restrictions by reason of their lawful action or their membership in a lawful organization.

The Law Society urges the Government of Egypt to:

- a. disclose immediately the physical and psychological condition as well as the whereabouts of Ezzat Ghonim;
- b. guarantee in all circumstances the physical and psychological integrity of Ezzat Ghonim;
- c. if he is in state custody, release Ezzat Ghonim immediately and unconditionally;

- d. carry out a thorough, impartial and effective investigation into the disappearance of Ezzat Ghonim and ensure those responsible are held to account;
- e. guarantee that adequate reparation will be provided to Ezzat Ghonim if he is found to be a victim of human rights abuses;
- f. put an end to all acts of harassment and acts of violence against lawyers in Egypt;
- g. ensure that all people in Egypt, including lawyers and judges, are able to exercise freely their freedom of association, peaceful assembly, opinion and expression, including when such expression is critical of government institutions and actions;
- h. ensure that all lawyers and judges in Egypt are adequately safeguarded by the authorities such that they are able to carry out their professional duties and activities free from intimidation, hindrance, harassment, improper interference, the threat of criminalization or other human rights violations; and
- i. ensure in all circumstances respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms in accordance with international human rights standards and international instruments.

Yours truly,

Paul B. Schabas Treasurer

*The Law Society of Ontario is the governing body for more than 50,000 lawyers and 8,000 paralegals in the province of Ontario, Canada. The Treasurer is the head of the Law Society.

The mandate of the Law Society is to govern the legal profession in the public interest by upholding the independence, integrity and honour of the legal profession for the purpose of advancing the cause of justice and the rule of law.

cc:

Mr. Moataz Mounir Moharram Zahran Ambassador of the Arab Republic of Egypt 454 Laurier Avenue East Ottawa, Ontario K1N 6R3 Fax: +1 (613) 234-9347 / 234-4398 Email: Egyptemb@sympatico.ca Egyptian Bar Association 49A Ramses Street Cairo, Egypt Tel: +20 (2) 45 13 60

The Honourable Chrystia Freeland Minister of Foreign Affairs House of Commons Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0A6 Email: chrystia.freeland@parl.gc.ca

The Honourable Jess Dutton, Canadian Ambassador of Canada to the Arab Republic of Egypt, The Embassy of Canada to Egypt

Alex Neve, Secretary General, Amnesty International Canada

Andrew Anderson, Executive Director, Front Line Defenders

Emma Achili, Head of European Union Office, Front Line Defenders

Kenneth Roth, Executive Director, Human Rights Watch

Farida Deif, Canada Director, Human Rights Watch

Executive Director, Lawyers for Lawyers

David F. Sutherland, Chair, Lawyers' Rights Watch Canada

Hina Jilani, President, Observatory for the Protection of Human Rights Defenders

Michel Forst, Special Rapporteur on the situation of human rights defenders, Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights

Mónica Pinto, Special Rapporteur of the Human Council on the independence of judges and lawyers, Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights

Roberta Taveri, International Human Rights Policy Adviser, The Law Society of England and Wales

Proposed Letter to Lawyers' Associations

Dear [Name],

Re: Concerns regarding the disappearance of lawyer Ezzat Ghonim

I write to inform you that on the advice of the Human Rights Monitoring Group*, the Law Society of Ontario sent the attached letter to President Abdel Fattah el-Sisi, the President of the Arab Republic of Egypt, expressing our deep concern over reports of the disappearance of lawyer Ezzat Ghonim.

We would be very interested in hearing from you in regard to the situation noted in the attached letter, whether your organization has intervened in this matter and whether we have misapprehended any of the facts in this case. Any further information you may have about the case would also be welcome.

Please forward any further correspondence to the attention of Laura Wilson, Associate Counsel, Equity Initiatives, The Law Society of Ontario, 130 Queen St. West, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, M5H 2N6 or to wilson@lso.ca.

I thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Teresa Donnelly Chair, Human Rights Monitoring Group

* The Law Society of Ontario is the governing body for more than 50,000 lawyers and 8,000 paralegals in the province of Ontario, Canada. The Law Society is committed to preserving the rule of law and to the maintenance of an independent Bar. Due to this commitment, the Law Society established a Human Rights Monitoring Group ("Monitoring Group"). The Monitoring Group has a mandate to review information of human rights violations targeting, as a result of the discharge of their legitimate professional duties, members of the legal profession and the judiciary in Canada and abroad. The Human Rights Monitoring Group reviews such information and determines if a response is required of the Law Society.

Letter to be sent to:

Egyptian Bar Association

Alex Neve, Secretary General, Amnesty International Canada

Andrew Anderson, Executive Director, Front Line Defenders

Emma Achili, Head of European Union Office, Front Line Defenders

Kenneth Roth, Executive Director, Human Rights Watch

Executive Director, Lawyers for Lawyers

David F. Sutherland, Chair, Lawyers' Rights Watch Canada

Hina Jilani, President, Observatory for the Protection of Human Rights Defenders

Michel Forst, Special Rapporteur on the situation of human rights defenders, Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights

Mónica Pinto, Special Rapporteur of the Human Council on the independence of judges and lawyers, Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights

Roberta Taveri, International Human Rights Policy Adviser, The Law Society of England and Wales

PROPOSED PUBLIC STATEMENT

The Law Society of Ontario expresses grave concern about the disappearance of lawyer Ezzat Ghonim in Egypt

Toronto, ON — The Law Society of Ontario expresses grave concern about disappearance of lawyer Ezzat Ghonim in Egypt.

According to reports, an Egyptian woman, Mona Mahmoud Mohammed was detained pursuant to an order by the public prosecutor following her criticism—which related to accusations against the police that they had tortured and raped her daughter—of the Egyptian police in an interview with the BBC, a foreign media outlet.

Ezzat Ghonim is a prominent human rights lawyer and director of the non-governmental organization, Egyptian Coordination for Rights and Freedoms. Ghonim publicly criticized Egyptian authorities for their handling of Mohammed's daughter. He was also the first to report her arrest.

Following his public criticisms, Ezzat Ghonim did not return home on the evening of Thursday, March 1, 2018, and his cellular phone has been turned off. Family, friends and colleagues were unable to contact him and his whereabouts were unknown; they assume that he has been arrested, which has prompted concerns that his disappearance has been enforced, which, according to Amnesty International, is the method of choice for the authorities when they are looking to hide further abuses against dissidents, such as ill-treatment, torture and extra-judicial executions.

Reports indicate that on March 19, 2018 Ezzat Ghonim appeared in a video published by the Egyptian Interior Ministry appearing week and tired. He remains in detention in an undisclosed location.

The Law Society of Ontario is deeply troubled by Ezzat Ghonim's situation and urges the Egyptian Government to comply with Egypt's obligations under international human rights laws, including the United Nations' *Basic Principles on the Role of Lawyers*.

Article 16 of the Basic Principles on the Role of Lawyers states:

Governments shall ensure that lawyers (a) are able to perform all of their professional functions without intimidation, hindrance, harassment or improper interference; (b) are able to travel and to consult with their clients freely both within their own country and abroad; and (c) shall not suffer, or be threatened with, prosecution or administrative, economics or other sanctions for any action taken in accordance with recognized professional duties, standards and ethics.

Article 17 states:

Where the security of lawyers is threatened as a result of discharging their functions, they shall be adequately safeguarded by the authorities.

Article 18 states:

Lawyers shall not be identified with their clients or their clients' causes as a result of discharging their functions.

Furthermore, Article 23 provides:

Lawyers like other citizens are entitled to freedom of expression, belief, association and assembly. In particular, they shall have the right to take part in public discussion of matters concerning the law, the administration of justice and the promotion and protection of human rights and to join or form local, national or international organizations and attend their meetings, without suffering professional restrictions by reason of their lawful action or their membership in a lawful organization.

The Law Society urges the Government of Egypt to:

- a. disclose immediately the physical and psychological condition as well as the whereabouts of Ezzat Ghonim;
- b. guarantee in all circumstances the physical and psychological integrity of Ezzat Ghonim;
- c. if he is in state custody, release Ezzat Ghonim immediately and unconditionally;
- d. carry out a thorough, impartial and effective investigation into the disappearance of Ezzat Ghonim and ensure those responsible are held to account;
- e. guarantee that adequate reparation will be provided to Ezzat Ghonim if he is found to be a victim of human rights abuses;
- f. put an end to all acts of harassment and acts of violence against lawyers in Egypt;
- g. ensure that all people in Egypt, including lawyers and judges, are able to exercise freely their freedom of association, peaceful assembly, opinion and expression, including when such expression is critical of government institutions and actions;
- h. ensure that all lawyers and judges in Egypt are adequately safeguarded by the authorities such that they are able to carry out their professional duties and

activities free from intimidation, hindrance, harassment, improper interference, the threat of criminalization or other human rights violations; and

i. ensure in all circumstances respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms in accordance with international human rights standards and international instruments.

TAB 3.2.4

PROPOSED LETTERS OF INTERVENTION AND PUBLIC STATEMENT

ALLDO FELLIX JANUARDY

His Excellency Joko Widodo President of Indonesia Office of the President of the Republic of Indonesia Merdeka Palace Jalan Medan Merdeka Utara Gambir Jakarta 10160, Indonesia

Your Excellency:

Re: Investigation into the attack of lawyer Alldo Fellix Januardy

I write on behalf of the Law Society of Ontario* to voice our grave concern over the discontinuation of the investigation into the South Jakarta Police Office in relation to the attack by police against lawyer Alldo Fellix Januardy. When serious issues of apparent injustice to lawyers and the judiciary come to our attention, we speak out.

Alldo Fellix Januardy is an independent public interest lawyer at the Legal Aid Institute in Jakarta. We intervened on his behalf, in our letter to you dated June 7, 2016, shortly after he was attacked by members of the Civil Service Police Unit and the Sub-District Head of Tebet in relation to the forced eviction of his clients from their homes. I enclose a copy of this letter for your ease of reference (TAB 3.2.4.1).

Shortly after the attack on him, Alldo Fellix Januardy filed a police report in relation to this attack which led to a police investigation. The South Jakarta Police recently decided to terminate the police investigation after 1.5 years, despite reports of compelling evidence of maltreatment, including witness reports and publicly released photos relating to the attack on him by state officials.

The Law Society of Ontario is deeply troubled by the discontinuation of the investigation into the South Jakarta Police Office and urges Your Excellency to comply with Indonesia's obligations under international human rights laws, including the United Nations' *Basic Principles on the Role of Lawyers*.

Article 16 of the Basic Principles on the Role of Lawyers states:

Governments shall ensure that lawyers (a) are able to perform all of their professional functions without intimidation, hindrance, harassment or improper interference; (b) are able to travel and to consult with their clients freely both within their own country and abroad; and (c) shall not suffer, or

be threatened with, prosecution or administrative, economics or other sanctions for any action taken in accordance with recognized professional duties, standards and ethics.

Article 17 states:

Where the security of lawyers is threatened as a result of discharging their functions, they shall be adequately safeguarded by the authorities.

Article 18 states:

Lawyers shall not be identified with their clients or their clients' causes as a result of discharging their functions.

Furthermore, Article 23 provides:

Lawyers like other citizens are entitled to freedom of expression, belief, association and assembly. In particular, they shall have the right to take part in public discussion of matters concerning the law, the administration of justice and the promotion and protection of human rights and to join or form local, national or international organizations and attend their meetings, without suffering professional restrictions by reason of their lawful action or their membership in a lawful organization.

The Law Society urges the Government of Indonesia to:

- a. resume and/or conduct a fair, impartial and independent investigation into the attack on Alldo Fellix Januardy in order to identify all those responsible, bring them to trial and apply to them civil, penal and/or administrative sanctions provided by law;
- b. ensure in all circumstances respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms in accordance with international human rights standards and international instruments;
- c. guarantee in all circumstances the physical and psychological integrity of Alldo Fellix Januardy;
- d. put an end to all acts of harassment against lawyers in Indonesia;
- e. ensure that all lawyers and judges in Indonesia are adequately safeguarded by the authorities such that they are able to carry out their professional duties and activities free from intimidation, hindrance, harassment, improper interference, the threat of criminalization, or other human rights violations; and

f. ensure in all circumstances respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms in accordance with international human rights standards and international instruments.

Yours truly,

Paul B. Schabas Treasurer

*The Law Society of Ontario is the governing body for more than 50,000 lawyers and 8,000 paralegals in the province of Ontario, Canada. The Treasurer is the head of the Law Society.

The mandate of the Law Society is to govern the legal profession in the public interest by upholding the independence, integrity and honour of the legal profession for the purpose of advancing the cause of justice and the rule of law.

cc:

H.E. Peter MacArthur, Ambassador of Canada to Indonesia Embassy of Canada in Jakarta P.O. Box 8324/JKS.MP Jakarta 12084 Indonesia Fax: +62 (21) 2550 7811 E-mail: canadianembassy.jkrta@international.gc.ca

The Honourable Chrystia Freeland Minister of Foreign Affairs House of Commons Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0A6 Email: chrystia.freeland@parl.gc.ca

The Honourable Teuku Faizasyah, Ambassador of the Republic of Indonesia to Canada 55 Parkdale Avenue Ottawa, Ontario K1Y 1E5, Canada Fax: (613) 724-1105

Alex Neve, Secretary General, Amnesty International Canada

Andrew Anderson, Executive Director, Front Line Defenders

Emma Achili, Head of European Union Office, Front Line Defenders

Kenneth Roth, Executive Director, Human Rights Watch

Farida Deif, Canada Director, Human Rights Watch

Executive Director, Lawyers for Lawyers

David F. Sutherland, Chair, Lawyers' Rights Watch Canada

Hina Jilani, President, Observatory for the Protection of Human Rights Defenders

Michel Forst, Special Rapporteur on the situation of human rights defenders, Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights

Mónica Pinto, Special Rapporteur of the Human Council on the independence of judges and lawyers, Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights

Roberta Taveri, International Human Rights Policy Adviser, The Law Society of England and Wales

Proposed Letter to Lawyers' Associations

Dear [Name],

Re: Investigation into the attack of lawyer Alldo Fellix Januardy

I write to inform you that on the advice of the Human Rights Monitoring Group*, the Law Society of Ontario sent the attached letter to President Joko Widodo, the President of Indonesia, expressing our deep concern the investigation into the attack of lawyer Alldo Fellix Januardy.

We would be very interested in hearing from you in regard to the situation noted in the attached letter, whether your organization has intervened in this matter and whether we have misapprehended any of the facts in this case. Any further information you may have about the case would also be welcome.

Please forward any further correspondence to the attention of Laura Wilson, Associate Counsel, Equity Initiatives, The Law Society of Ontario, 130 Queen St. West, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, M5H 2N6 or to wilson@lso.ca.

I thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Teresa Donnelly Chair, Human Rights Monitoring Group

* The Law Society of Ontario is the governing body for more than 50,000 lawyers and 8,000 paralegals in the province of Ontario, Canada. The Law Society is committed to preserving the rule of law and to the maintenance of an independent Bar. Due to this commitment, the Law Society established a Human Rights Monitoring Group ("Monitoring Group"). The Monitoring Group has a mandate to review information of human rights violations targeting, as a result of the discharge of their legitimate professional duties, members of the legal profession and the judiciary in Canada and abroad. The Human Rights Monitoring Group reviews such information and determines if a response is required of the Law Society.

Letter to be sent to:

Alex Neve, Secretary General, Amnesty International Canada

Andrew Anderson, Executive Director, Front Line Defenders

Emma Achili, Head of European Union Office, Front Line Defenders

Kenneth Roth, Executive Director, Human Rights Watch

Executive Director, Lawyers for Lawyers

David F. Sutherland, Chair, Lawyers' Rights Watch Canada

Hina Jilani, President, Observatory for the Protection of Human Rights Defenders

Michel Forst, Special Rapporteur on the situation of human rights defenders, Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights

Mónica Pinto, Special Rapporteur of the Human Council on the independence of judges and lawyers, Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights

Roberta Taveri, International Human Rights Policy Adviser, The Law Society of England and Wales

PROPOSED PUBLIC STATEMENT

The Law Society of Ontario expresses grave concern about the investigation into the attack of Alldo Fellix Januardy in Indonesia

Toronto, ON — The Law Society of Ontario expresses grave concern over the discontinuation of an investigation into the South Jakarta Police Office in relation to an attack by police against lawyer Alldo Fellix Januardy. When serious issues of apparent injustice to lawyers and the judiciary come to our attention, we speak out.

Alldo Fellix Januardy is an independent public interest lawyer at the Legal Aid Institute in Jakarta. We intervened on his behalf, in our letter to you dated June 7, 2016, shortly after he was attacked by members of the Civil Service Police Unit and the Sub-District Head of Tebet in relation to the forced eviction of his clients from their homes. I enclose a copy of this letter for your ease of reference.

Shortly after the attack on him, Alldo Fellix Januardy filed a police report in relation to this attack which led to a police investigation. The South Jakarta Police recently decided to terminate the police investigation after 1.5 years, despite reports of compelling evidence of maltreatment, including witness reports and publicly released photos relating to the attack on him by state officials.

The Law Society of Ontario is deeply troubled by Alldo Fellix Januardy's situation and urges the Indonesian Government to comply with Indonesia's obligations under international human rights laws, including the United Nations' *Basic Principles on the Role of Lawyers*.

Article 16 of the Basic Principles on the Role of Lawyers states:

Governments shall ensure that lawyers (a) are able to perform all of their professional functions without intimidation, hindrance, harassment or improper interference; (b) are able to travel and to consult with their clients freely both within their own country and abroad; and (c) shall not suffer, or be threatened with, prosecution or administrative, economics or other sanctions for any action taken in accordance with recognized professional duties, standards and ethics.

Article 17 states:

Where the security of lawyers is threatened as a result of discharging their functions, they shall be adequately safeguarded by the authorities.

Article 18 states:

Lawyers shall not be identified with their clients or their clients' causes as a result of discharging their functions.

Furthermore, Article 23 provides:

Lawyers like other citizens are entitled to freedom of expression, belief, association and assembly. In particular, they shall have the right to take part in public discussion of matters concerning the law, the administration of justice and the promotion and protection of human rights and to join or form local, national or international organizations and attend their meetings, without suffering professional restrictions by reason of their lawful action or their membership in a lawful organization.

The Law Society urges the Government of Indonesia to:

- a. resume and/or conduct a fair, impartial and independent investigation into the attack on Alldo Fellix Januardy in order to identify all those responsible, bring them to trial and apply to them civil, penal and/or administrative sanctions provided by law;
- b. ensure in all circumstances respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms in accordance with international human rights standards and international instruments;
- c. guarantee in all circumstances the physical and psychological integrity of Alldo Fellix Januardy;
- d. put an end to all acts of harassment against lawyers in Indonesia;
- e. ensure that all lawyers and judges in Indonesia are adequately safeguarded by the authorities such that they are able to carry out their professional duties and activities free from intimidation, hindrance, harassment, improper interference, the threat of criminalization, or other human rights violations; and
- f. ensure in all circumstances respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms in accordance with international human rights standards and international instruments.

TAB 3.2.4.1



Barreau The Law Society of Upper Canada

June 7, 2016

His Excellency Joko Widodo President of Indonesia Office of the President of the Republic of Indonesia Merdeka Palace Jalan Medan Merdeka Utara Gambir Jakarta 10160, Indonesia Office of the Treasurer

Osgoode Hall 130 Queen Street West Toronto, Ontario M5H 2N6

Tel 416-947-3415 Fax 416-947-7609

Your Excellency:

Re: Maltreatment of Alldo Fellix Januardy

I write on behalf of the Law Society of Upper Canada to voice our grave concern over the maltreatment of lawyer Alldo Fellix Januardy. When serious issues of apparent injustice to lawyers and the judiciary come to our attention, we speak out.

The Law Society has received reports that on 12 January 2016 Alldo Fellix Januardy was attacked by members of the Civil Service Police Unit and the Sub-District Head of Tebet, resulting in several wounds to his face. The alleged attack occurred during a forced eviction in Bukit Duri, Tebet, South Jakarta. According to reports, Alldo Fellix Januardy was attempting to persuade police to wait until the Administrative District Court had ruled on the legality of the eviction order when he was attacked.

The Law Society is deeply concerned that the maltreatment of Alldo Fellix Januardy by the police appears to relate solely to his legitimate actions as a lawyer.

The Law Society of Upper Canada urges Your Excellency to comply with Articles 16 and 23 of the United Nations' *Basic Principles on the Role of Lawyers*.

Article 16 states:

Governments shall ensure that lawyers (a) are able to perform all of their professional functions without intimidation, hindrance, harassment or improper interference; (b) are able to travel and to consult with their clients freely both within their own country and abroad; and (c) shall not suffer, or be threatened with, prosecution or administrative, economic or other sanctions for any action taken in accordance with recognized professional duties, standards and ethics. Moreover, Article 23 states:

Lawyers like other citizens are entitled to freedom of expression, belief, association and assembly. In particular, they shall have the right to take part in public discussion of matters concerning the law, the administration of justice and the promotion and protection of human rights and to join or form local, national or international organizations and attend their meetings, without suffering professional restrictions by reason of their lawful action or their membership in a lawful organization.

The Law Society urges the government of Indonesia to:

- a. conduct a fair, impartial and independent investigation into the attack on Alldo Fellix Januardy in order to identify all those responsible, bring them to trial and apply to them civil, penal and/or administrative sanctions provided by law;
- b. ensure in all circumstances respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms in accordance with international human rights standards and international instruments;
- c. guarantee in all circumstances the physical and psychological integrity of Alldo Fellix Januardy.

Yours very truly,

Janet E. Minor Treasurer

*The Law Society of Upper Canada is the governing body for more than 49,000 lawyers and 7,900 paralegals in the province of Ontario, Canada. The Treasurer is the head of the Law Society.

The mandate of the Law Society is to govern the legal profession in the public interest by upholding the independence, integrity and honour of the legal profession for the purpose of advancing the cause of justice and the rule of law.

CC:

H.E. Mr. Teuku Faizasyah 55 Parkdale Avenue

Ottawa, Ontario Canada, K1Y 1E5

Alex Neve, Secretary General, Amnesty International Canada

Mary Lawlor, Executive Director, Front Line Defenders

Vincent Forest, Head of European Union Office, Front Line Defenders

Kenneth Roth, Executive Director, Human Rights Watch

Prof. Dr. Otto Hasibuan, Advokat Indonesia (PERADI)

Adrie van de Streek, Executive Director, Lawyers for Lawyers

David F. Sutherland, Chair, Lawyers' Rights Watch Canada

Yves Berthelot, President, Observatory for the Protection of Human Rights Defenders

Michel Forst, Special Rapporteur on the situation of human rights defenders, Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights

Gabriela Knaul, Special Rapporteur of the Human Council on the independence of judges and lawyers, Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights

Sarah Smith, Human Rights and Rule of Law Policy Adviser, The Law Society of England and Wales

TAB 3.3

FOR INFORMATION

SNAPSHOTS OF THE PROFESSIONS

91. Professor Michael Ornstein was retained to analyze the 2016 results of the selfidentification questions contained in the Lawyer Annual Report and the Paralegal Annual Report. The snapshots of the professions are presented in English and French at TAB 3.3.1, TAB 3.3.2, TAB 3.3.3, and TAB 3.3.4.

EQUITY LEGAL EDUCATION AND RULE OF LAW SERIES CALENDAR 2018

92. The Equity Legal Education and Rule of Law Series calendar is presented at TAB 3.3.5.



Statistical Snapshot of Lawyers in Ontario from the Lawyer Annual Report (LAR) 2016

RESPONSE RATES

The Law Society of Ontario has been collecting self-identification data in the Lawyer Annual Report since 2009. The structure of the survey at the time permitted the lawyer to opt to pass over the question and provide no response. This option has been modified so that, while a lawyer can still decline to self-identify, the person must now so indicate by expressly entering this response.

EQUITY SURVEY: LAWYER RESPONSE RATE BY QUESTION, 2016*

Source: 2016 Lawyer Annual Report; analysis by Michael Ornstein

Indigenous	92.2%
Racialization	80.9%
Sexual orientation	81.5%
Identify as Francophone	90.3%
Able to Practice in French	90.2%
Have a Disability	85.4%
Total Number	42,434

*There is no missing data for gender, which is obtained from administrative records

Contents

Table I:	Representation of Indigenous and Racialized Persons	2
Table 2:	Detailed Racialization by Age	3
Table 3a:	Detailed Racialization by Year of Call (by number)	4
Table 3b:	Detailed Racialization by Year of Call (by percent, excluding missing)	5
Table 4:	Gender, Sexual Orientation, Francophone Identity,	
	Ability to Practice in French and Disability by Age	6
Table 5a:	Type of Licence by Racialization	7
Table 5b:	Size of Law Firm by Racialization	8
Table 5c:	Region by Racialization	9
Table 6a:	Type of Licence by Gender by Age	10
Table 6b:	Size of Law Firm by Gender	10
Table 6c:	Region by Gender	10
Table 7a:	Type of Licence by Francophone Identity and Ability	
	to Practice in French	11
Table 7b:	Size of Law Firm by Francophone Identity and Ability	
	to Practice in French	
Table 7c:	Region by Francophone Identity and Ability to Practice	
	in French	12
Table 8a:	Type of Licence by Presence of a Disability	13
Table 8b:	Size of Law Firm by Presence of a Disability	13
Table 8c:	Region by Presence of a Disability	13
Table 9a:	Type of Licence by Sexual Orientation	14
Table 9b:	Size of Law Firm by Sexual Orientation	14
Table 9c:	Region by Sexual Orientation	14
Table 10a:	Area of Practice by Racialization and Gender	15
Table 10b:	Area of Practice by Racialization and Gender	16

For more information about the Law Society of Ontario please visit our website at www.lso.ca

FACT SHEET 2

TABLE I: REPRESENTATION OF INDIGENOUS AND RACIALIZED PERSONS

for Ontario Lawyers, 2016, compared to the Ontario Population

				Ontario Population	
Group	Lawy	yers	Everyone, 2016	Persons in the Labour Force, Age 25 or more, 2011	University Graduates in the Labour Force, Age 25 or more, 2011
	Number	Percent, excluding missing		Percent	
Indigenous					
First Nations	330	1.0	1.8	1.2	0.5
Inuk	6	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.01
Métis	177	0.5	0.9	0.6	0.3
Multiple Indigenous			0.04	0.02	0.01
Total Indigenous	513	1.5	2.8	1.9	0.8
Racialized					
Arab	302	0.9	1.6	0.9	1.5
Black	1,083	3.2	4.7	3.8	2.7
Chinese	1,161	3.4	5.7	5.1	8.5
East Asian (includes Japanese, Korean)	430	1.3			
Filipino + Japanese + Korean			3.3	3.2	4.7
Latino	198	0.6	1.5	1.5	1.2
South Asian	2,244	6.5	8.7	7.2	10.8
Southeast Asian	237	0.7	1.0	1.1	0.9
West Asian	373	1.1	1.2	0.8	1.3
Other Visible Minority			0.7	0.6	0.4
Other Indigenous			0.1	0.1	0.3
More than one Racialized Group	168	0.5	1.0	0.5	0.7
Racialized and White	414	1.2			
Total Racialized	6,610	19.3	29.4	24.8	32.7
White	27,192	79.2	67.8	73.4	66.4
Not Indigenous, Declined Racialization Question	3,314				
Declined Indigenous and Racialization Questions	4,805				
Total		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Number	42,434	34,315	13,242,160	5,812,410	1,778,891

Source: 2016 Lawyer Annual Report, 2016 Statistics Canada Census Profiles, 2011 National Household Survey public use microdata file; analysis by Michael Ornstein

FACT SHEET | 3

TABLE 2: DETAILED RACIALIZATION BY AGE

for Ontario Lawyers, 2016

	Under 35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 or more	Under 35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 or more
			Number	į			Percer	nt, excluding mi	issing	
Indigenous										
First Nations and Inuk	68	101	106	51	10	0.8	1.1	1.4	0.8	0.3
Métis	54	58	37	20	8	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.3	0.3
Total Indigenous	122	159	143	71	18	1.5	1.7	1.9	1.2	0.6
Racialized										
Arab	117	112	52	19	2	1.4	1.2	0.7	0.3	0.1
Black	249	381	331	97	25	3.0	4.2	4.3	1.6	0.8
Chinese	433	387	220	89	32	5.3	4.2	2.9	1.4	1.0
East Asian	130	167	100	24	9	1.6	1.8	1.3	0.4	0.3
Latino	66	89	38	4	1	0.8	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
South Asian	846	800	434	123	41	10.3	8.7	5.7	2.0	1.3
Southeast Asian	78	92	48	15	4	0.9	1.0	0.6	0.2	0.1
West Asian	202	130	33	6	2	2.5	1.4	0.4	0.1	0.1
More than one Racialized Group	89	49	25	4	1	1.1	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.0
Racialized and White	169	162	65	16	2	2.1	1.8	0.9	0.3	0.1
Total Racialized	2,501	2,528	1,489	468	137	30.4	27.6	19.5	7.6	4.4
White	5,724	6,617	6,152	5,692	3,007	69.6	72.4	80.5	92.4	95.6
Not Indigenous, Declined Racialization Question	647	833	741	726	367					
Declined Indigenous and Racialization Questions	697	1,023	1,255	1,155	675					
Total	9,569	11,001	9,637	8,041	4,186	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

FACT SHEET | 4

TABLE 3a: DETAILED RACIALIZATION BY YEAR OF CALL

by number, for Ontario Lawyers, 2016

Year of Call	2016	2015	2012-14	2007-11	1997-2006	1986-96	1977-86	Before 1977	Total
					Number			· · ·	
Indigenous									
First Nations and Inuk	16	19	44	53	133	53	14	4	336
Métis	12	14	31	40	48	22	6	4	177
Indigenous Total	28	33	75	93	181	75	20	8	513
Racialized									
Arab	31	21	56	71	85	27	10	1	302
Black	79	74	193	232	374	115	14	2	1,083
Chinese	97	96	205	255	313	145	46	4	1,161
East Asian	28	26	73	102	139	44	14	4	430
Latino	16	17	58	45	49	10	3	0	198
South Asian	218	201	502	513	632	152	22	4	2,244
Southeast Asian	18	29	39	66	67	16	2	0	237
West Asian	45	41	111	94	70	9	2	1	373
More than one Racialized Group	23	23	41	38	37	5	1	0	168
Racialized and White	29	54	84	97	105	38	6	1	414
Racialized Total	584	582	1,362	1,513	1,871	561	120	17	6,610
White	1,134	1,065	2,870	3,821	6,854	5,483	4,246	1,719	27,192
Not Indigenous, Declined Racialization Question	147	136	352	443	842	665	513	216	3,314
Declined Indigenous and Racialization Questions	115	150	423	576	1,189	1,090	888	374	4,805
Total	2,008	1,966	5,082	6,446	10,937	7,874	5,787	2,334	42,434

FACT SHEET 5

TABLE 3b: DETAILED RACIALIZATION BY YEAR OF CALL

by percent, excluding missing, for Ontario Lawyers, 2016

Year of Call	2016	2015	2012-14	2007-11	1997-2006	1986-96	1977-86	Before 1977	Total
				Perc	ent, excluding mis	sing			
Indigenous									
First Nations and Inuk	0.9	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.5	0.9	0.3	0.2	1.0
Métis	0.7	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.5	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.5
Indigenous Total	1.6	2.0	1.7	1.7	2.0	1.2	0.5	0.5	1.5
Racialized									
Arab	1.8	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.0	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.9
Black	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.2	1.9	0.3	0.1	3.2
Chinese	5.6	5.7	4.8	4.7	3.5	2.4	1.0	0.2	3.4
East Asian	1.6	1.5	1.7	1.9	1.6	0.7	0.3	0.2	1.3
Latino	0.9	1.0	1.3	0.8	0.6	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.6
South Asian	12.5	12.0	11.7	9.5	7.1	2.5	0.5	0.2	6.5
Southeast Asian	1.0	1.7	0.9	1.2	0.8	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.7
West Asian	2.6	2.4	2.6	1.7	0.8	0.1	0.0	0.1	1.1
More than one Racialized Group	1.3	1.4	1.0	0.7	0.4	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.5
Racialized and White	1.7	3.2	2.0	1.8	1.2	0.6	0.1	0.1	1.2
Racialized Total	33.4	34.6	31.6	27.9	21.0	9.2	2.7	1.0	19.3
White	64.9	63.4	66.6	70.4	77.0	89.6	96.8	98.6	79.2
Not Indigenous, Declined Racialization Question									
Declined Indigenous and Racialization Questions									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

FACT SHEET | 6

TABLE 4: GENDER, SEXUAL ORIENTATION, FRANCOPHONE IDENTITY,ABILITY TO PRACTICE IN FRENCH AND DISABILITY BY AGE

for Ontario Lawyers, 2016

	Total	Under 35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 or more	Total	Under 35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 or more
	Number Percent, e							ercent, exclu	ccluding missing			
Gender												
Women	18,566	5,198	5,725	4,309	2,815	519	43.8	54.3	52.0	44.7	35.0	12.4
Men	23,868	4,371	5,276	5,328	5,226	3,667	56.2	45.7	48.0	55.3	65.0	87.6
Sexual Orientation												
LGBTQ	1,056	378	279	259	112	28	3.1	4.2	3.1	3.5	1.9	1.1
Not LGBTQ	32,815	8,527	8,783	7,087	5,849	2,569	96.9	95.8	96.9	96.5	98.1	98.9
Declined to Answer	7,498	1,400	1,698	1,934	1,700	766						
Identify as Francophone												
Yes	1,975	555	639	471	239	71	5.2	6.2	6.3	5.5	3.4	2.0
No	36,342	8,406	9,501	8,099	6,818	3,518	94.8	93.8	93.7	94.5	96.6	98.0
Declined to Answer	4,117	608	861	1,067	984	597						
Able to Practise in French												
Can Counsel and Represent	3,375	901	1,058	806	462	148	8.8	10.6	10.6	9.3	6.4	3.8
Can Counsel But Not Represent	1,895	421	585	443	327	119	5.0	5.0	5.8	5.1	4.5	3.1
Cannot	32,995	7,178	8,374	7,416	6,401	3,626	86.2	84.4	83.6	85.6	89.0	93.1
Declined to Answer	4,169	1,069	984	972	851	293						
Have a Disability												
Yes	1,297	252	287	331	317	110	3.6	3.0	3.0	4.1	4.8	3.2
No	34,930	8,273	9,336	7,773	6,247	3,301	96.4	97.0	97.0	95.9	95.2	96.8
Declined to Answer	6,207	1,044	1,378	1,533	1,477	775						
All Lawyers	42,434	9,569	11,001	9,637	8,041	4,186	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

FACT SHEET 7

TABLE 5a: TYPE OF LICENCE BY RACIALIZATION*

for Ontario Lawyers, 2016

	Sole Practit- ioner	Law Firm Partner	Law Firm Associate	Law Firm Employee	Legal Clinic	In House	Govern- ment	Education	Other Employ- ment	Retired or Not Working	Total	Number
						Percent						
Indigenous												
First Nations and Inuk	24	9	13	2	3	7	22	3	7	10	100	334
Métis	22	7	18	3	2	9	25	2	6	6	100	175
Indigenous Total	24	8	14	3	3	8	23	3	6	8	100	509
Racialized												
Arab	20	12	20	4	3	11	13	1	8	10	100	297
Black	31	6	14	3	3	10	18	1	6	9	100	1,050
Chinese	18	8	23	2	2	18	13	0	6	10	100	1,132
East Asian	15	11	19	2	2	18	17	0	7	10	100	425
Latino	22	10	20	4	3	15	14	1	4	8	100	192
South Asian	29	8	19	3	2	12	11	1	6	9	100	2,202
Southeast Asian	28	10	21	2	2	10	10	1	6	9	100	232
West Asian	28	6	26	4	1	15	9	1	3	8	100	363
More than One Group	24	6	24	5	3	8	12	1	8	10	100	157
Racialized and White	12	6	25	4	4	15	20	2	5	9	100	400
Racialized Total	24	8	20	3	2	13	13	1	6	9	100	6,450
White	19	18	18	3	1	12	14	1	6	8	100	26,805
Not Indigenous, Declined Racialization Question	24	17	15	3	1	12	12	1	6	8	100	3,262
Declined Indigenous and Racialization Questions	24	21	14	3	1	10	13	1	6	8	100	4,769
Total	21	17	17	3	1	12	13	1	6	8	100	41,795

*excludes new licensees

FACT SHEET 8

TABLE 5b: SIZE OF LAW FIRM BY RACIALIZATION

for Ontario Lawyers, 2016

	Size of Firm, based on Partners, Associates and Employees										
	Fewer than 5	5-9	10-24	25-49	50-99	100-199	200 or more	Total	Number		
				Pero	cent						
Indigenous											
First Nations and Inuk	47	11	24	6	0	4	8	100	79		
Métis	35	18	27	8	0	4	8	100	49		
Indigenous Total	42	14	25	7	0	4	8	100	128		
Racialized											
Arab	40	8	19	6	7	12	9	100	104		
Black	45	13	13	6	4	7	11	100	232		
Chinese	29	15	14	9	5	12	16	100	372		
East Asian	34	13	12	9	6	13	13	100	134		
Latino	48	9	9	8	3	12	11	100	66		
South Asian	45	15	14	5	2	8	10	100	667		
Southeast Asian	44	19	6	10	4	4	12	100	77		
West Asian	31	22	20	7	2	7	10	100	126		
More than One Group	37	15	13	6	2	9	19	100	54		
Racialized and White	28	14	22	11	1	10	13	100	140		
Racialized Total	39	15	15	7	3	9	12	100	1,972		
White	26	14	16	11	5	14	14	100	10,361		
Not Indigenous, Declined Racialization Question	30	14	15	9	5	13	14	100	1,146		
Declined Indigenous and Racialization Questions	30	15	16	12	5	11	10	100	1,778		
Total	29	14	16	10	5	13	14	100	15,385		

FACT SHEET 9

TABLE 5c: REGION BY RACIALIZATION**

for Ontario Lawyers, 2016

	South West	Central South	Turner	Durham, Halton,	Central North	Niende	0	East	Treat	Nisashaa
	vvest	South	Toronto	Peel, York	Percent	North	Ottawa	East	Total	Number
Indigenous					rereent					
First Nations and Inuk	6	12	31	10	9	14	14	4	100	321
Métis	8	2	36	5	9	14	25	5	100	168
Indigenous Total	7	9	30 32	9	9	11	18	4	100	489
Racialized	,	3	32	9	5	13	10	4	100	405
Arab	9	2	50	15	0	0	22	2	100	295
Black	3	4	56	22	2	1	12	1	100	1,055
Chinese	5	2	68	22	2	0	7	0	100	1,035
East Asian	1	2	72	16	1	0	7	0	100	424
Latino	6	5	54	18	2	1	13	1	100	196
South Asian	2	4	54	34	1	0	6	0	100	2,207
Southeast Asian	2	3	59	24	3	0	9	0	100	232
West Asian	0	2	66	20	1	0	10	1	100	369
More than One Group	3	1	76	14	0	1	4	1	100	161
Racialized and White	3	3	65	12	2	1	13	1	100	405
Racialized Total	2	3	60	24	1	0	9	1	100	6,482
White	6	6	55	12	4	2	12	2	100	26,801
Not Indigenous, Declined Racialization Question	4	6	60	15	2	2	9	2	100	3,276
Declined Indigenous and Racialization Questions	6	7	51	14	4	3	12	3	100	4,723
Total	5	6	55	14	3	2	12	2	100	41,771

**excludes lawyers whose address is outside Ontario

FACT SHEET | 10

TABLE 6a: TYPE OF LICENCE BY GENDER BY AGE*

for Ontario Lawyers, 2016

	Sole Practit- ioner	Law Firm Partner	Law Firm Associate	Law Firm Employee	Legal Clinic	In House	Govern- ment	Education	Other Employ- ment	Retired or Not Working	Total	Number
						Percent						
Women												
Total	14.5	9.3	19.0	2.9	2.1	13.4	18.1	1.8	6.8	12.2	100.0	18,243
under 35	8.0	2.0	43.5	4.4	2.7	10.1	11.9	0.6	5.8	11.0	100.0	4,909
35-44	11.6	9.6	16.8	2.7	2.0	16.7	20.5	1.7	6.6	11.8	100.0	5,704
45-54	18.5	13.3	6.1	2.0	1.5	16.2	22.9	2.4	7.9	9.3	100.0	4,298
55-64	21.1	13.6	3.2	2.2	2.0	9.8	18.2	2.9	8.0	18.9	100.0	2,813
65 or more	38.7	16.6	3.1	2.3	1.3	5.4	10.0	3.1	4.2	15.2	100.0	519
Men												
Total	25.8	22.3	16.3	2.8	0.7	10.5	9.9	0.9	5.8	5.0	100.0	23,552
under 35	12.5	3.5	49.6	4.6	1.5	9.5	8.1	0.3	5.0	5.4	100.0	4,105
35-44	17.0	17.7	20.9	3.2	0.8	16.6	13.8	0.9	5.7	3.5	100.0	5,238
45-54	24.3	28.6	5.5	2.7	0.4	13.5	12.8	1.4	7.3	3.6	100.0	5,324
55-64	30.4	31.0	3.7	1.6	0.6	7.7	9.3	1.1	6.6	8.0	100.0	5,220
65 or more	48.9	28.3	5.9	1.9	0.4	2.7	2.9	0.8	3.4	4.7	100.0	3,665

*excludes new licensees

Source: 2016 Lawyer Annual Report; analysis by Michael Ornstein

TABLE 6b: SIZE OF LAW FIRM BY GENDER

for Ontario Lawyers, 2016

	Size of Firm, based on Partners, Associates and Employees										
	Fewer than 5	5-9	10-24	25-49	50-99	100-199	200 or more	Total	Number		
	Percent										
Women	31.0	13.5	16.2	10.3	4.4	11.4	13.1	100.0	5,666		
Men	27.3	14.7	15.9	10.1	4.8	13.5	13.8	100.0	9,719		
Total	28.6	14.2	16.0	10.2	4.7	12.7	13.5	100.0	15,385		

Source: 2016 Lawyer Annual Report; analysis by Michael Ornstein

TABLE 6c: REGION BY GENDER**

for Ontario Lawyers, 2016

	South West	Central South	Toronto	Durham, Halton, Peel, York	Central North	North	Ottawa	East	Total	Number
					Percent					
Women	4.9	4.9	56.7	13.1	3.2	1.8	13.3	2.1	100.0	17,904
Men	5.7	6.7	54.4	14.6	3.5	2.6	10.2	2.3	100.0	23,270
Total	5.4	5.9	55.4	13.9	3.4	2.3	11.5	2.2	100.0	41,174

**excludes lawyers whose address is outside Ontario

FACT SHEET | II

TABLE 7a: TYPE OF LICENCE BY FRANCOPHONE IDENTITY AND ABILITY TO PRACTICE IN FRENCH*

for Ontario Lawyers, 2016

Group	Sole Practit- ioner	Law Firm Partner	Law Firm Associate	Law Firm Employee	Legal Clinic	In House	Govern- ment	Education	Other Employ- ment	Retired or Not Working	Total	Number
						Percent						
Identify as Fra	ancophone											
Yes	14.6	11.1	14.3	2.8	2.5	10.1	26.8	2.4	7.4	8.0	100.0	1,948
No	20.7	16.5	18.1	2.8	1.3	12.1	12.8	1.3	6.2	8.2	100.0	35,761
Total	20.4	16.2	17.9	2.8	1.4	12.0	13.5	1.3	6.3	8.2	100.0	37,709
Able to Provid	de Service in	French?										
Yes, Represent and Counsel	15.5	10.6	13.6	2.6	2.5	11.5	27.8	1.8	6.2	7.9	100.0	3,325
Counsel, but not Represent	18.8	12.9	15.3	2.2	3.0	12.1	23.9	1.7	3.8	6.3	100.0	1,871
Neither	22.6	18.2	18.3	3.0	1.1	11.7	11.2	1.0	5.4	7.3	100.0	32,495
Total	21.8	17.3	17.7	2.9	1.4	11.7	13.3	1.1	5.4	7.3	100.0	37,691

*excludes new licensees

Source: 2016 Lawyer Annual Report; analysis by Michael Ornstein

TABLE 7b: SIZE OF LAW FIRM BY FRANCOPHONE IDENTITY AND ABILITY TO PRACTICE IN FRENCH for Ontario Lawyers, 2016

		Size of Firm, based on Partners, Associates and Employees										
	Fewer						200 or					
	than 5	5-9	10-24	25-49	50-99	100-199	more	Total	Number			
				Pere	cent							
Identify as Francophone												
Yes	35.2	13.5	16.9	10.7	2.4	8.0	13.3	100.0	549			
No	28.2	14.1	15.8	10.2	4.7	13.2	13.8	100.0	13,366			
Total	28.4	14.1	15.8	10.2	4.6	13.0	13.8	100.0	13,915			
Able to Provide Service in Fr	ench?											
Yes, Represent and Counsel	33.6	14.8	15.7	10.9	3.0	8.9	13.1	100.0	892			
Counsel, but not Represent	29.6	14.6	14.7	9.5	5.1	11.8	14.7	100.0	570			
Neither	28.5	14.4	16.3	10.1	4.6	12.7	13.3	100.0	12,826			
Total	28.9	14.4	16.2	10.2	4.6	12.4	13.4	100.0	14,288			

FACT SHEET | 12

TABLE 7c: REGION BY FRANCOPHONE IDENTITY AND ABILITY TO PRACTICE IN FRENCH** for Ontario Lawyers, 2016

	South West	Central South	Toronto	Durham, Halton, Peel, York	Central North	North	Ottawa	East	Total	Number
					Percent					
Identify as Francophone										
Yes	2.2	2.0	26.7	5.6	1.1	7.4	48.8	6.1	100.0	1,882
No	5.4	6.0	57.3	14.4	3.4	1.9	9.6	2.0	100.0	35,848
Total	5.2	5.8	55.8	13.9	3.3	2.2	11.5	2.2	100.0	37,730
Able to Provide Service in Fr	ench?									
Yes, Represent and Counsel	2.3	2.3	33.8	6.1	1.2	5.4	44.5	4.5	100.0	3,196
Counsel, but not Represent	3.3	3.5	48.7	7.6	1.9	2.7	29.6	2.7	100.0	1,835
Neither	5.9	6.6	57.1	15.3	3.9	2.1	7.1	2.1	100.0	32,681
Total	5.5	6.1	54.7	14.1	3.5	2.4	11.4	2.3	100.0	37,712

**excludes lawyers whose address is outside Ontario

FACT SHEET | 13

TABLE 8a: TYPE OF LICENCE BY PRESENCE OF A DISABILITY*

for Ontario Lawyers, 2016

Group	Sole Practit- ioner	Law Firm Partner	Law Firm Associate	Law Firm Employee	Legal Clinic	In House	Govern- ment	Education	Other Employ- ment	Retired or Not Working	Total	Number
						Percent						
Has a Disability	23.2	8.9	10.3	1.4	3.2	7.4	20.0	2.7	6.4	16.3	100.0	1,279
No Disability	20.4	16.6	18.4	2.9	1.3	12.2	13.1	1.3	6.3	7.7	100.0	34,371
Total	20.5	16.3	18.1	2.8	1.4	12.0	13.3	1.3	6.3	8.0	100.0	35,650

*excludes new licensees

Source: 2016 Lawyer Annual Report; analysis by Michael Ornstein

TABLE 8b: SIZE OF LAW FIRM BY PRESENCE OF A DISABILITY

for Ontario Lawyers, 2016

		Size of Law Firm, based on Partners, Associates and Employees											
	Fewer than 5												
		Percent											
Has a Disability	31.2	16.3	14.8	9.1	6.1	11.4	11.0	100.0	263				
No Disability	28.2	28.2 14.0 15.8 10.2 4.6 13.0 14.1 100.0											
Total	28.3	28.3 14.1 15.8 10.2 4.6 13.0 14.0 100.0											

Source: 2016 Lawyer Annual Report; analysis by Michael Ornstein

TABLE 8c: REGION BY PRESENCE OF A DISABILITY**

for Ontario Lawyers, 2016

	South West	Central South	Toronto	Durham, Halton, Peel, York	Central North	North	Ottawa	East	Total	Number
					Percent					
Has a Disability	5.8	5.7	51.8	11.5	3.5	2.8	16.0	2.9	100.0	1,266
No Disability	5.2	5.7	55.9	14.2	3.3	2.1	11.4	2.2	100.0	34,395
Total	5.2	5.7	55.7	14.1	3.3	2.2	11.5	2.2	100.0	35,661

**excludes lawyers whose address is outside Ontario

TABLE 9a: TYPE OF LICENCE BY SEXUAL ORIENTATION*

for Ontario Lawyers, 2016

Group	Sole Practit- ioner	Law Firm Partner	Law Firm Associate	Law Firm Employee	Legal Clinic	In House	Govern- ment	Education	Other Employ- ment	Retired or Not Working	Total	Number
						Percent						
LBGTQ	15.8	9.5	17.8	2.7	3.2	11.6	20.3	3.3	6.8	9.1	100.0	1,199
Not LBGTQ	20.2	16.5	18.2	2.8	1.3	12.1	13.2	1.3	6.3	8.1	100.0	32,849
Total	20.0	16.3	18.2	2.8	1.3	12.1	13.4	1.3	6.3	8.1	100.0	34,048

*excludes new licensees

Source: 2016 Lawyer Annual Report; analysis by Michael Ornstein

TABLE 9b: SIZE OF LAW FIRM BY SEXUAL ORIENTATION

for Ontario Lawyers, 2016

		Size of Firm, based on Partners, Associates and Employees											
	Fewer than 5												
		Percent											
LBGTQ	29.2	13.1	15.9	7.2	5.0	11.1	18.4	100.0	359				
Not LBGTQ	28.2	14.0	16.1	10.2	4.5	13.1	13.9	100.0	12,309				
Total	28.2	28.2 13.9 16.1 10.1 4.6 13.1 14.1 100.0											

Source: 2016 Lawyer Annual Report; analysis by Michael Ornstein

TABLE 9c: REGION BY SEXUAL ORIENTATION**

for Ontario Lawyers, 2016

	South West	Central South	Toronto	Durham, Halton, Peel, York	Central North	North	Ottawa	East	Total	Number
					Percent					
LBGTQ	2.7	3.3	68.1	7.0	1.9	1.9	13.0	2.0	100.0	1,202
Not LBGTQ	5.4	5.7	55.5	14.4	3.3	2.2	11.4	2.1	100.0	32,857
Total	5.3	5.6	55.9	14.1	3.3	2.2	11.5	2.1	100.0	34,059

**excludes lawyers whose address is outside Ontario

FACT SHEET | 15

TABLE 10a: AREA OF PRACTICE BY RACIALIZATION AND GENDER*

for Ontario Lawyers, 2016

	Indigenous	ADR	Admin- istrative	Bank- ruptcy	Civil Litigation – Plaintiff	Civil Litigation – Defendent	Constr- uction	Corp- orate	Criminal	Employ- ment and Labour	Environ- mental	Family
				Pe	rcentage with	n 30% or more	e of their prac	ctice in this ar	еа			
First Nations	28	0	0	0	0	6	0	10	18	5	0	24
Métis	5	0	5	0	14	14	2	9	18	8	1	11
Arab	5	0	5	0	14	12	2	20	14	6	0	8
Black	1	1	5	0	14	10	1	13	17	6	0	23
Chinese	1	1	8	1	9	10	1	27	5	3	1	6
East Asian	0	0	6	1	8	13	2	25	8	2	0	6
Latino	0	0	4	2	11	11	0	16	16	5	1	15
South Asian	0	1	5	1	12	11	1	17	10	4	0	12
Southeast Asian	0	1	6	1	13	14	1	20	8	5	0	13
West Asian	1	1	5	2	7	16	0	17	9	3	0	11
More than One Racialized Group	0	0	3	1	23	18	1	22	11	4	0	9
Racialized and White	0	0	10	0	10	17	0	17	18	6	1	10
White	1	1	9	1	11	14	2	20	11	6	1	10
Women	1	1	8	1	8	13	1	18	11	7	1	15
Men	1	1	5	1	13	13	2	21	12	5	1	8
Total	1	1	6	1	11	13	1	20	12	6	1	11

 $\ensuremath{^*\!excludes}$ the category for "other" areas of practice and for francise law

continued >

FACT SHEET | 16

TABLE 10b: AREA OF PRACTICE BY RACIALIZATION AND GENDER*

for Ontario Lawyers, 2016 (continued)

	Immi- gration	Intell- ectual Property	Real Estate	Secur- ities	Taxa- tion	Wills	Work- place	NO area 30% or more	ONE area 30% or more	MORETHAN ONE area 30% or more	Total	Number
		Percentage	with 30% or	r more of the	eir practice i	n this area						
First Nations	0	1	6	1	2	1	0	5.1	79.1	15.8	100.0	234
Métis	5	2	10	2	2	5	0	5.3	78.8	15.9	100.0	132
Arab	6	4	11	5	0	5	0	5.6	75.6	18.8	100.0	213
Black	8	1	11	3	1	3	1	5.9	71.9	22.1	99.9	791
Chinese	3	7	21	8	3	3	0	4.7	77.8	17.6	100.1	860
East Asian	3	6	15	10	3	2	0	6.9	73.5	19.6	100.0	321
Latino	7	2	10	6	3	3	1	3.9	77.3	18.8	100.0	154
South Asian	5	2	23	3	2	3	0	4.2	77.7	18.1	100.0	1,660
Southeast Asian	4	4	23	3	1	5	0	3.3	78.8	17.9	100.0	184
West Asian	7	3	15	7	1	1	1	2.9	77.8	19.3	100.0	275
More than One Racialized Group	6	5	10	4	3	1	1	7.9	70.2	21.9	100.0	114
Racialized and White	4	4	4	6	4	4	1	4.6	74.0	21.4	100.0	304
White	1	3	14	5	2	6	1	5.6	74.2	20.3	100.1	21,340
Women	3	3	9	4	2	5	1	6.5	76.6	16.9	100.0	13,341
Men	2	3	19	5	2	6	1	4.8	72.9	22.3	100.0	19,744
Total	2	3	15	5	2	6	1	5.5	74.4	20.1	100.0	33,085

* excludes the category for "other" areas of practice and for franchise law

Source: 2016 Lawyer Annual Report; analysis by Michael Ornstein

NOTE: The 2016 Snapshots include all lawyers except those whose licence is suspended, revoked, surrendered, in abeyance or those who have a status of "not in Ontario" (meaning their business address is listed outside of Ontario) or a status of "Retired" (meaning lawyers who are over 65 years of age and qualify for exemption under By-Law 5).



FICHE D'INFORMATION

Portrait statistique des avocats en Ontario Tiré de la Déclaration annuelle des avocat(e)s (DAA) de 2016

TAUX DE RÉPONSE

Le Barreau de l'Ontario recueille des données d'auto-identification dans la Déclaration annuelle des avocats depuis 2009. La structure du sondage permettait auparavant de ne pas répondre à la question. Cette option a été modifiée pour que, si la personne refuse de répondre, il ou elle doive indiquer ce refus.

TAUX DE RÉPONSE PAR QUESTION AU SONDAGE DE L'ÉQUITÉ, 2016*

Source : Déclaration annuelle des avocats et des parajuristes de 2016 ; analyse faite par Michael Ornstein

Autochtones	92,2 %
Racialisation	80,9 %
Orientation sexuelle	81,5 %
S'identifient comme francophones	90,3 %
Capables d'exercer en français	90,2 %
Handicap	85,4 %
Nombre total	42 434

* Il ne manque aucune donnée sur le genre, ces données ayant été tirées des registres administratifs.

Table des matières

Tableau I :	Représentation des personnes autochtones et racialisées	2
Tableau 2 :	Racialisation selon l'âge	3
Tableau 3a :	Race selon l'année d'admission	4
Tableau 3b :	Race selon l'année d'admission	5
Tableau 4 :	Genre, orientation sexuelle, identification comme francophone, capacité	
	à fournir des services juridiques en français et handicap selon l'âge	6
Tableau 5a :	Type de permis selon la racialisation	7
Tableau 5b :	Taille du cabinet selon la racialisation	8
Tableau 5c :	Région selon la racialisation	9
Tableau 6a :	Type de permis selon le genre et l'âge	10
Tableau 6b :	Taille du cabinet selon le genre	10
Tableau 6c :	Région selon le genre	10
Tableau 7a :	Type de permis par identification comme francophone et capacité d'exercer en français	п
Tableau 7b :	Taille du cabinet par identification comme francophone et capacité d'exercer en français	
Tableau 7c :	Région par identification comme francophone et capacité d'exercer en français	12
Tableau 8a :	Type de permis selon la présence d'un handicap	13
Tableau 8b :	Taille du cabinet selon la présence d'un handicap	13
Tableau 8c :	Région selon la présence d'un handicap	13
Tableau 9a :	Type de permis selon l'orientation sexuelle	14
Tableau 9b :	Taille du cabinet selon l'orientation sexuelle	14
Tableau 9c :	Région selon l'orientation sexuelle	14
Tableau 10a :	Domaine de pratique selon la racialisation et le genre	15
Tableau 10b :	Domaine de pratique selon la racialisation et le genre	16

Pour plus d'information sur le Barreau de l'Ontario, visitez notre site Web au www.lso.ca

FICHE D'INFORMATION | 2

TABLEAU I : REPRÉSENTATION DES PERSONNES AUTOCHTONES ET RACIALISÉES

parmi les avocats en Ontario, en 2016, comparativement à la population de l'Ontario

			Population de l'Ontario					
Groupe	Avo	cats	Tous, 2016	Population active, âgée de 25 ans et plus, 2011	Diplômés universitaires au sein de la population active, âgés de 25 ans et plus, 2011			
	Nombre	Pourcentage moins abstentions		Pourcentage				
Autochtones								
Premières Nations	330	1,0	1,8	1,2	0,5			
Inuit	6	0,02	0,03	0,02	0,01			
Métis	177	0,5	0,9	0,6	0,3			
Multiples identités autochtones			0,04	0,02	0,01			
Total des Autochtones	513	1,5	2,8	1,9	0,8			
Racialisés								
Arabes	302	0,9	1,6	0,9	1,5			
Noirs	1 083	3,2	4,7	3,8	2,7			
Chinois	1 161	3,4	5,7	5,1	8,5			
Asiatiques de l'Est (Japonais, Coréens)	430	1,3						
Philippins + Japonais + Coréens			3,3	3,2	4,7			
Latino-Américains	198	0,6	1,5	1,5	1,2			
Sud-Asiatiques	2 244	6,5	8,7	7,2	10,8			
Asiatiques du Sud-Est	237	0,7	1,0	1,1	0,9			
Asiatiques de l'Ouest	373	1,1	1,2	0,8	1,3			
Autre minorité racialisée			0,7	0,6	0,4			
Autres Autochtones			0,1	0,1	0,1			
Plus d'un groupe racialisé	168	0,5	1,0	0,5	0,7			
Racialisés et Blancs	414	1,2						
Total des racialisés	6 610	19,3	29,4	24,8	32,7			
Blancs	27 192	79,2	67,8	73,4	66,4			
Non-Autochtones, n'ont pas répondu sur la race	3 314							
N'ont pas répondu sur le statut d'Autochtone ni sur la race	4 805							
Total		100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0			
Nombre	42 434	34 315	13 242 160	5 812 410	1 778 891			

Source : Déclaration annuelle des avocat(e)s de 2016, profils du recensement 2016 de Statistiques Canada, fichiers de microdonnées à grande diffusion de l'Enquête nationale auprès des ménages de 2011 ; analyse faite par Michael Ornstein.

FICHE D'INFORMATION | 3

TABLEAU 2 : RACIALISATION SELON L'ÂGE

pour les avocats en Ontario, en 2016

	Moins de 35 ans	35 à 44 ans	45 à 54 ans	55 à 64 ans	65 ans et plus	Moins de 35 ans	35 à 44 ans	45 à 54 ans	55 à 64 ans	65 ans et plus
			Nombre				Pourcente	age moins abs	tentions	
Autochtones										
Premières Nations et Inuit	68	101	106	51	10	0,8	1,1	1,4	0,8	0,3
Métis	54	58	37	20	8	0,7	0,6	0,5	0,3	0,3
Total des Autochtones	122	159	143	71	18	1,5	1,7	1,9	1,2	0,6
Racialisés										
Arabes	117	112	52	19	2	1,4	1,2	0,7	0,3	0,1
Noirs	249	381	331	97	25	3,0	4,2	4,3	1,6	0,8
Chinois	433	387	220	89	32	5,3	4,2	2,9	1,4	1,0
Asiatiques de l'Est	130	167	100	24	9	1,6	1,8	1,3	0,4	0,3
Latino-Américains	66	89	38	4	1	0,8	1,0	0,5	0,1	0,0
Sud-Asiatiques	846	800	434	123	41	10,3	8,7	5,7	2,0	1,3
Asiatiques du Sud-Est	78	92	48	15	4	0,9	1,0	0,6	0,2	0,1
Asiatiques de l'Ouest	202	130	33	6	2	2,5	1,4	0,4	0,1	0,1
Plus d'un groupe racialisé	89	49	25	4	1	1,1	0,5	0,3	0,1	0,0
Racialisés et Blancs	169	162	65	16	2	2,1	1,8	0,9	0,3	0,1
Total des racialisés	2 501	2 528	1 489	468	137	30,4	27,6	19,5	7,6	4,4
Blancs	5 724	6 617	6 152	5 692	3 007	69,6	72,4	80,5	92,4	95,6
Non-Autochtones, n'ont pas répondu sur la race	647	833	741	726	367					
N'ont pas répondu sur le statut d'Autochtone ni sur la race	697	1 023	1 255	1 155	675					
Total	9 569	11 001	9 637	8 041	4 186	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0

FICHE D'INFORMATION | 4

TABLEAU 3a : RACE SELON L'ANNÉE D'ADMISSION

par nombre, pour les avocats en Ontario, en 2016

Année d'admission	2016	2015	2012-2014	2007-2011	1997-2006	1986-1996	1977-1986	Avant 1977	Total
					Nombre			, ,	
Autochtones									
Premières Nations et Inuit	16	19	44	53	133	53	14	4	336
Métis	12	14	31	40	48	22	6	4	177
Total des Autochtones	28	33	75	93	181	75	20	8	513
Racialisés									
Arabes	31	21	56	71	85	27	10	1	302
Noirs	79	74	193	232	374	115	14	2	1 083
Chinois	97	96	205	255	313	145	46	4	1 161
Asiatiques de l'Est	28	26	73	102	139	44	14	4	430
Latino-Américains	16	17	58	45	49	10	3	0	198
Sud-Asiatiques	218	201	502	513	632	152	22	4	2 244
Asiatiques du Sud-Est	18	29	39	66	67	16	2	0	237
Asiatiques de l'Ouest	45	41	111	94	70	9	2	1	373
Plus d'un groupe racialisé	23	23	41	38	37	5	1	0	168
Racialisés et Blancs	29	54	84	97	105	38	6	1	414
Total des racialisés	584	582	1 362	1 513	1 871	561	120	17	6 610
Blancs	1 134	1 065	2 870	3 821	6 854	5 483	4 246	1 719	27 192
Non-Autochtones, n'ont pas répondu sur la race	147	136	352	443	842	665	513	216	3 314
N'ont pas répondu sur le statut d'Autochtone ni sur la race	115	150	423	576	1 189	1 090	888	374	4 805
Total	2 008	1 966	5 082	6 446	10 937	7 874	5 787	2 334	42 434

FICHE D'INFORMATION | 5

TABLEAU 3b : RACE SELON L'ANNÉE D'ADMISSION

par pourcentage moins abstentions, pour les avocats en Ontario, en 2016

Année d'admission	2016	2015	2012-2014	2007-2011	1997-2006	1986-1996	1977-1986	Avant 1977	Total
				Pource	ntage moins abste	entions		ļ ļ	
Autochtones									
Premières Nations et Inuit	0,9	1,1	1,0	1,0	1,5	0,9	0,3	0,2	1,0
Métis	0,7	0,8	0,7	0,7	0,5	0,4	0,1	0,2	0,5
Total des Autochtones	1,6	2,0	1,7	1,7	2,0	1,2	0,5	0,5	1,5
Racialisés									
Arabes	1,8	1,3	1,3	1,3	1,0	0,4	0,2	0,1	0,9
Noirs	4,5	4,4	4,5	4,3	4,2	1,9	0,3	0,1	3,2
Chinois	5,6	5,7	4,8	4,7	3,5	2,4	1,0	0,2	3,4
Asiatiques de l'Est	1,6	1,5	1,7	1,9	1,6	0,7	0,3	0,2	1,3
Latino-Américains	0,9	1,0	1,3	0,8	0,6	0,2	0,1	0,0	0,6
Sud-Asiatiques	12,5	12,0	11,7	9,5	7,1	2,5	0,5	0,2	6,5
Asiatiques du Sud-Est	1,0	1,7	0,9	1,2	0,8	0,3	0,0	0,0	0,7
Asiatiques de l'Ouest	2,6	2,4	2,6	1,7	0,8	0,1	0,0	0,1	1,1
Plus d'un groupe racialisé	1,3	1,4	1,0	0,7	0,4	0,1	0,0	0,0	0,5
Racialisés et Blancs	1,7	3,2	2,0	1,8	1,2	0,6	0,1	0,1	1,2
Total des Racialisés	33,4	34,6	31,6	27,9	21,0	9,2	2,7	1,0	19,3
Blancs	64,9	63,4	66,6	70,4	77,0	89,6	96,8	98,6	79,2
Non-Autochtones, n'ont pas répondu sur la race									
N'ont pas répondu sur le statut d'Autochtone ni sur la race									
Total	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0

FICHE D'INFORMATION | 6

TABLEAU 4 : GENRE, ORIENTATION SEXUELLE, IDENTIFICATION COMME FRANCOPHONE, CAPACITÉ À FOURNIR DES SERVICES JURIDIQUES EN FRANÇAIS ET HANDICAP SELON L'ÂGE

pour les avocats en Ontario, en 2016

	Total	Moins de 35 ans	35 à 44 ans	45 à 54 ans	55 à 64 ans	65 ans et plus	Total	Moins de 35 ans	35 à 44 ans	45 à 54 ans	55 à 64 ans	65 ans et plus
			Non	ıbre				Pou	rcentage mo	oins abstent	ions	
Genre												
Femmes	18 566	5 198	5 725	4 309	2 815	519	43,8	54,3	52,0	44,7	35,0	12,4
Hommes	23 868	4 371	5 276	5 328	5 226	3 667	56,2	45,7	48,0	55,3	65,0	87,6
Orientation sexuelle												
LGBTQ	1 056	378	279	259	112	28	3,1	4,2	3,1	3,5	1,9	1,1
Non LGBTQ	32 815	8 527	8 783	7 087	5 849	2 569	96,9	95,8	96,9	96,5	98,1	98,9
Abstentions	7 498	1 400	1 698	1 934	1 700	766						
S'identifient comme francophone	s											
Oui	1 975	555	639	471	239	71	5,2	6,2	6,3	5,5	3,4	2,0
Non	36 342	8 406	9 501	8 099	6 818	3 518	94,8	93,8	93,7	94,5	96,6	98,0
Abstentions	4 117	608	861	1 067	984	597						
Capables d'exercer en français												
Peuvent fournir des conseils juridiques et agir comme représentants	3 375	901	1 058	806	462	148	8,8	10,6	10,6	9,3	6,4	3,8
Peuvent fournir des conseils juridiques sans agir comme représentants	1 895	421	585	443	327	119	5,0	5,0	5,8	5,1	4,5	3,1
Ne peuvent pas	32 995	7 178	8 374	7 416	6 401	3 626	86,2	84,4	83,6	85,6	89,0	93,1
Abstentions	4 169	1 069	984	972	851	293						
Handicap												
Oui	1 297	252	287	331	317	110	3,6	3,0	3,0	4,1	4,8	3,2
Non	34 930	8 273	9 336	7 773	6 247	3 301	96,4	97,0	97,0	95,9	95,2	96,8
Abstentions	6 207	1 044	1 378	1 533	1 477	775						
Total des avocats	42 434	9 569	11 001	9 637	8 041	4 186	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0

FICHE D'INFORMATION | 7

TABLEAU 5a: TYPE DE PERMIS SELON LA RACIALISATION*

pour les avocats de l'Ontario, en 2016

	Auto- nomes	Associés	Avocats salariés	Employés de cabinet	Cliniques juridiques	En entre- prise	Gouv.	Éducation	Autre emploi	À la retraite ou sans emploi	Total	Nombre
						Pourcentage						
Autochtones												
Premières Nations et Inuit	24	9	13	2	3	7	22	3	7	10	100	334
Métis	22	7	18	3	2	9	25	2	6	6	100	175
Total des Autochtones	24	8	14	3	3	8	23	3	6	8	100	509
Racialisés												
Arabes	20	12	20	4	3	11	13	1	8	10	100	297
Noirs	31	6	14	3	3	10	18	1	6	9	100	1 050
Chinois	18	8	23	2	2	18	13	0	6	10	100	1 132
Asiatiques de l'Est	15	11	19	2	2	18	17	0	7	10	100	425
Latino- Américains	22	10	20	4	3	15	14	1	4	8	100	192
Sud- Asiatiques	29	8	19	3	2	12	11	1	6	9	100	2 202
Asiatiques du Sud-Est	28	10	21	2	2	10	10	1	6	9	100	232
Asiatiques de l'Ouest	28	6	26	4	1	15	9	1	3	8	100	363
Plus d'un groupe racialisé	24	6	24	5	3	8	12	1	8	10	100	157
Racialisés et Blancs	12	6	25	4	4	15	20	2	5	9	100	400
Total des racialisés	24	8	20	3	2	13	13	1	6	9	100	6 450
Blancs	19	18	18	3	1	12	14	1	6	8	100	26 805
Non- Autochtones, n'ont pas répondu sur la race		17	15	3	1	12	12	1	6	8	100	3 262
N'ont pas répondu sur le statut d'Autochtone ni sur la race		21	14	3	1	10	13	1	6	8	100	4 769
Total	21	17	17	3	1	12	13	1	6	8	100	41 795

* n'inclut pas les nouveaux titulaires de permis

FICHE D'INFORMATION | 8

TABLEAU 5b : TAILLE DU CABINET SELON LA RACIALISATION

pour les avocats de l'Ontario, en 2016

			Taille du c	abinet, par ass	ociés, avocats	s salariés et ei	mployés		
	Moins de 5	5 à 9	10 à 24	25 à 49	50 à 99	100 à 199	200 ou plus	Total	Nombre
				Pourcent	tage				
Autochtones									
Premières Nations et Inuit	47	11	24	6	0	4	8	100	79
Métis	35	18	27	8	0	4	8	100	49
Total des Autochtones	42	14	25	7	0	4	8	100	128
Racialisés									
Arabes	40	8	19	6	7	12	9	100	104
Noirs	45	13	13	6	4	7	11	100	232
Chinois	29	15	14	9	5	12	16	100	372
Asiatiques de l'Est	34	13	12	9	6	13	13	100	134
Latino-Américains	48	9	9	8	3	12	11	100	66
Sud-Asiatiques	45	15	14	5	2	8	10	100	667
Asiatiques du Sud-Est	44	19	6	10	4	4	12	100	77
Asiatiques de l'Ouest	31	22	20	7	2	7	10	100	126
Plus d'un groupe racialisé	37	15	13	6	2	9	19	100	54
Racialisés et Blancs	28	14	22	11	1	10	13	100	140
Total des racialisés	39	15	15	7	3	9	12	100	1 972
Blancs	26	14	16	11	5	14	14	100	10 361
Non-Autochtones, n'ont pas répondu sur la race		14	15	9	5	13	14	100	1 146
N'ont pas répondu sur le statut d'Autochtone ni sur la race		15	16	12	5	11	10	100	1 778
Total	29	14	16	10	5	13	14	100	15 385

FICHE D'INFORMATION | 9

TABLEAU 5c : RÉGION SELON LA RACIALISATION**

pour les avocats de l'Ontario, en 2016

	Sud-ouest	Centre- sud	Toronto	Durham, Halton, Peel, York	Centre- nord	Nord	Ottawa	Est	Total	Nombre
	Sud-Ouest	suu	TOFOILO	<i>*</i>	Pourcentage	INOFU	Ottawa	ESL	IOLAI	nombre
Autochtones					rourcentage					
Premières Nations et Inuit	6	12	31	10	9	14	14	4	100	321
Métis	8	2	36	5	9	11	25	5	100	168
Total des Autochtones	7	9	32	9	9	13	18	4	100	489
Racialized										
Arabes	9	2	50	15	0	0	22	2	100	295
Noirs	3	4	56	22	2	1	12	1	100	1 055
Chinois	1	2	68	21	1	0	7	0	100	1 138
Asiatiques de l'Est	1	3	72	16	1	0	7	0	100	424
Latino-Américains	6	5	54	18	2	1	13	1	100	196
Sud-Asiatiques	2	4	54	34	1	0	6	0	100	2 207
Asiatiques du Sud-Est	2	3	59	24	3	0	9	0	100	232
Asiatiques de l'Ouest	0	2	66	20	1	0	10	1	100	369
Plus d'un groupe racialisé	3	1	76	14	0	1	4	1	100	161
Racialisés et Blancs	3	3	65	12	2	1	13	1	100	405
Total des racialisés	2	3	60	24	1	0	9	1	100	6 482
Blancs	6	6	55	12	4	2	12	2	100	26 801
Non-Autochtones, n'ont pas répondu sur la race		6	60	15	2	2	9	2	100	3 276
N'ont pas répondu sur le statut d'Autochtone ni sur la race		7	51	14	4	3	12	3	100	4 723
Total	5	6	55	14	3	2	12	2	100	41 771

** n'inclut pas les avocats qui résident à l'extérieur de l'Ontario

FICHE D'INFORMATION | 10

TABLEAU 6a : TYPE DE PERMIS SELON LE GENRE ET L'ÂGE*

pour les avocats de l'Ontario, en 2016

	Auto-		Avocats	Employés de	Cliniques	En entre-		ć	Autre	À la retraite ou		
	nomes	Associés	salariés	cabinet	juridiques	prise Pourcentage	Gouv.	Éducation	emploi	sans emploi	Total	Nombre
Femmes						Fourcentuge						
Total	14,5	9,3	19,0	2,9	2,1	13,4	18,1	1,8	6,8	12,2	100,0	18 243
Moins de 35 ans	8,0	2,0	43,5	4,4	2,7	10,1	11,9	0,6	5,8	11,0	100,0	4 909
35 à 44 ans	11,6	9,6	16,8	2,7	2,0	16,7	20,5	1,7	6,6	11,8	100,0	5 704
45 à 54 ans	18,5	13,3	6,1	2,0	1,5	16,2	22,9	2,4	7,9	9,3	100,0	4 298
55 à 64 ans	21,1	13,6	3,2	2,2	2,0	9,8	18,2	2,9	8,0	18,9	100,0	2 813
65 ans et plus	38,7	16,6	3,1	2,3	1,3	5,4	10,0	3,1	4,2	15,2	100,0	519
Hommes												
Total	25,8	22,3	16,3	2,8	0,7	10,5	9,9	0,9	5,8	5,0	100,0	23 552
Moins de 35 ans	12,5	3,5	49,6	4,6	1,5	9,5	8,1	0,3	5,0	5,4	100,0	4 105
35 à 44 ans	17,0	17,7	20,9	3,2	0,8	16,6	13,8	0,9	5,7	3,5	100,0	5 238
45 à 54 ans	24,3	28,6	5,5	2,7	0,4	13,5	12,8	1,4	7,3	3,6	100,0	5 324
55 à 64 ans	30,4	31,0	3,7	1,6	0,6	7,7	9,3	1,1	6,6	8,0	100,0	5 220
65 ans et plus	48,9	28,3	5,9	1,9	0,4	2,7	2,9	0,8	3,4	4,7	100,0	3 665

* n'inclut pas les nouveaux titulaires de permis

Source : Déclaration annuelle des avocat(e)s de 2016 ; analyse faite par Michael Ornstein

TABLEAU 6b : TAILLE DU CABINET SELON LE GENRE

pour les avocats de l'Ontario, en 2016

	Taille du cabinet, par associés, avocats salariés et employés											
	Moins de 5	5 à 9	10 à 24	25 à 49	50 à 99	100 à 199	200 ou plus	Total	Nombre			
	Pourcentage											
Femmes	31,0	13,5	16,2	10,3	4,4	11,4	13,1	100,0	5 666			
Hommes	27,3	14,7	15,9	10,1	4,8	13,5	13,8	100,0	9 719			
Total	28,6	14,2	16,0	10,2	4,7	12,7	13,5	100,0	15 385			

Source : Déclaration annuelle des avocat(e)s de 2016 ; analyse faite par Michael Ornstein

TABLEAU 6c : RÉGION SELON LE GENRE**

pour les avocats de l'Ontario, en 2016

	Sud-ouest	Centre- sud	Toronto	Durham, Halton, Peel, York	Centre- nord	Nord	Ottawa	Est	Total	Nombre
					Pourcentage					
Femmes	4,9	4,9	56,7	13,1	3,2	1,8	13,3	2,1	100,0	17 904
Hommes	5,7	6,7	54,4	14,6	3,5	2,6	10,2	2,3	100,0	23 270
Total	5,4	5,9	55,4	13,9	3,4	2,3	11,5	2,2	100,0	41 174

** n'inclut pas les avocats qui résident à l'extérieur de l'Ontario

FICHE D'INFORMATION | II

TABLEAU 7a : TYPE DE PERMIS PAR IDENTIFICATION COMME FRANCOPHONE ET CAPACITÉ

D'EXERCER EN FRANÇAIS* pour les avocats de l'Ontario, en 2016

Groupe	Auto- nomes	Associés	Avocats salariés	Employés de cabinet	Cliniques juridiques	En entre- prise	Gouv.	Éducation	Autre emploi	À la retraite ou sans emploi	Total	Nombre
						Pourcentage						
S'identifient c	omme fran	cophones										
Oui	14,6	11,1	14,3	2,8	2,5	10,1	26,8	2,4	7,4	8,0	100,0	1 948
Non	20,7	16,5	18,1	2,8	1,3	12,1	12,8	1,3	6,2	8,2	100,0	35 761
Total	20,4	16,2	17,9	2,8	1,4	12,0	13,5	1,3	6,3	8,2	100,0	37 709
Capables d'ex	ercer en fra	nçais ?										
Oui, représentation et conseils	15,5	10,6	13,6	2,6	2,5	11,5	27,8	1,8	6,2	7,9	100,0	3 325
Conseils, sans représentation	18,8	12,9	15,3	2,2	3,0	12,1	23,9	1,7	3,8	6,3	100,0	1 871
Ni l'un ni l'autre	22,6	18,2	18,3	3,0	1,1	11,7	11,2	1,0	5,4	7,3	100,0	32 495
Total	21,8	17,3	17,7	2,9	1,4	11,7	13,3	1,1	5,4	7,3	100,0	37,691

* n'inclut pas les nouveaux titulaires de permis

Source : Déclaration annuelle des avocat(e)s de 2016 ; analyse faite par Michael Ornstein

TABLEAU 7b : TAILLE DU CABINET PAR IDENTIFICATION COMME FRANCOPHONE ET CAPACITÉ

D'EXERCER EN FRANÇAIS

pour les avocats de l'Ontario, en 2016

	Taille du cabinet, par associés, avocats salariés et employés										
	Moins de 5	5 à 9	10 à 24	25 à 49	50 à 99	100 à 199	200 ou plus	Total	Nombre		
	Pourcentage										
S'identifient comme francop	S'identifient comme francophones										
Oui	35,2	13,5	16,9	10,7	2,4	8,0	13,3	100,0	549		
Non	28,2	14,1	15,8	10,2	4,7	13,2	13,8	100,0	13 366		
Total	28,4	14,1	15,8	10,2	4,6	13,0	13,8	100,0	13 915		
Capables d'exercer en frança	ais ?										
Oui, représentation et conseils	33,6	14,8	15,7	10,9	3,0	8,9	13,1	100,0	892		
Conseils, sans représentation	29,6	14,6	14,7	9,5	5,1	11,8	14,7	100,0	570		
Ni l'un ni l'autre	28,5	14,4	16,3	10,1	4,6	12,7	13,3	100,0	12 826		
Total	28,9	14,4	16,2	10,2	4,6	12,4	13,4	100,0	14 288		

FICHE D'INFORMATION | 12

TABLEAU 7c : RÉGION PAR IDENTIFICATION COMME FRANCOPHONE ET CAPACITÉ D'EXERCER EN FRANÇAIS**

pour les avocats de l'Ontario, en 2016

	Sud- ouest	Centre- sud	Toronto	Durham, Halton, Peel, York	Centre- nord	Nord	Ottawa	Est	Total	Nombre
					Pourcentage					
S'identifient comme francop	ohones									
Oui	2,2	2,0	26,7	5,6	1,1	7,4	48,8	6,1	100,0	1 882
Non	5,4	6,0	57,3	14,4	3,4	1,9	9,6	2,0	100,0	35 848
Total	5,2	5,8	55,8	13,9	3,3	2,2	11,5	2,2	100,0	37 730
Capables d'exercer en frança	ais ?									
Oui, représentation et conseils	2,3	2,3	33,8	6,1	1,2	5,4	44,5	4,5	100,0	3 196
Conseils, sans représentation	3,3	3,5	48,7	7,6	1,9	2,7	29,6	2,7	100,0	1 835
Ni l'un ni l'autre	5,9	6,6	57,1	15,3	3,9	2,1	7,1	2,1	100,0	32 681
Total	5,5	6,1	54,7	14,1	3,5	2,4	11,4	2,3	100,0	37 712

** n'inclut pas les avocats qui résident à l'extérieur de l'Ontario

FICHE D'INFORMATION | 13

TABLEAU 8a : TYPE DE PERMIS SELON LA PRÉSENCE D'UN HANDICAP*

pour les avocats de l'Ontario, en 2016

Groupe	Auto- nomes	Associés	Avocats salariés	Employés de cabinet	Cliniques juridiques	En entre- prise	Gouv.	Éducation	Autre emploi	À la retraite ou sans emploi	Total	Nombre
						Pourcentage						
Handicap	23,2	8,9	10,3	1,4	3,2	7,4	20,0	2,7	6,4	16,3	100,0	1 279
Pas de handicap	20,4	16,6	18,4	2,9	1,3	12,2	13,1	1,3	6,3	7,7	100,0	34 371
Total	20,5	16,3	18,1	2,8	1,4	12,0	13,3	1,3	6,3	8,0	100,0	35 650

* n'inclut pas les nouveaux titulaires de permis

Source : Déclaration annuelle des avocat(e)s de 2016 ; analyse faite par Michael Ornstein

TABLEAU 8b : TAILLE DU CABINET SELON LA PRÉSENCE D'UN HANDICAP

pour les avocats de l'Ontario, en 2016

	Taille du cabinet, par associés, avocats salariés et employés											
	Moins de 5	oins de 5 5 à 9 10 à 24 25 à 49 50 à 99 100 à 199 200 ou plus Total										
		Pourcentage										
Handicap	31,2	16,3	14,8	9,1	6,1	11,4	11,0	100,0	263			
Pas de handicap	28,2	28,2 14,0 15,8 10,2 4,6 13,0 14,1 100,0										
Total	28,3 14,1 15,8 10,2 4,6 13,0 14,0 100,0											

Source : Déclaration annuelle des avocat(e)s de 2016 ; analyse faite par Michael Ornstein

TABLEAU 8c : RÉGION SELON LA PRÉSENCE D'UN HANDICAP**

pour les avocats de l'Ontario, en 2016

	Sud- ouest	Centre- sud	Toronto	Durham, Halton, Peel, York	Centre- nord	Nord	Ottawa	Est	Total	Nombre
					Pourcentage					
Handicap	5,8	5,7	51,8	11,5	3,5	2,8	16,0	2,9	100,0	1 266
Pas de handicap	5,2	5,7	55,9	14,2	3,3	2,1	11,4	2,2	100,0	34 395
Total	5,2	5,7	55,7	14,1	3,3	2,2	11,5	2,2	100,0	35 661

** n'inclut pas les avocats qui résident à l'extérieur de l'Ontario

FICHE D'INFORMATION | 14

TABLEAU 9a : TYPE DE PERMIS SELON L'ORIENTATION SEXUELLE*

pour les avocats de l'Ontario, en 2016

Groupe	Auto- nomes	Associés	Avocats salariés	Employés de cabinet	Cliniques juridiques	En entre- prise	Gouv.	Éducation	Autre emploi	À la retraite ou sans emploi	Total	Nombre
						Pourcentage						
LBGTQ	15,8	9,5	17,8	2,7	3,2	11,6	20,3	3,3	6,8	9,1	100,0	1 199
Non LBGTQ	20,2	16,5	18,2	2,8	1,3	12,1	13,2	1,3	6,3	8,1	100,0	32 849
Total	20,0	16,3	18,2	2,8	1,3	12,1	13,4	1,3	6,3	8,1	100,0	34 048

* n'inclut pas les nouveaux titulaires de permis

Source : Déclaration annuelle des avocat(e)s de 2016 ; analyse faite par Michael Ornstein

TABLEAU 9b : TAILLE DU CABINET SELON L'ORIENTATION SEXUELLE

pour les avocats de l'Ontario, en 2016

	Taille du cabinet, par associés, avocats salariés et employés											
	Moins de 5	oins de 5 5 à 9 10 à 24 25 à 49 50 à 99 100 à 199 200 ou plus Total										
				Pource	entage							
LBGTQ	29,2	13,1	15,9	7,2	5,0	11,1	18,4	100,0	359			
Non LBGTQ	28,2	14,0	16,1	10,2	4,5	13,1	13,9	100,0	12 309			
Total	28,2 13,9 16,1 10,1 4,6 13,1 14,1 100,0											

Source : Déclaration annuelle des avocat(e)s de 2016 ; analyse faite par Michael Ornstein

TABLEAU 9c : RÉGION SELON L'ORIENTATION SEXUELLE**

pour les avocats de l'Ontario, en 2016

	Sud- ouest	Centre- sud	Toronto	Durham, Halton, Peel, York	Centre- nord	Nord	Ottawa	Est	Total	Nombre
					Pourcentage					
LBGTQ	2,7	3,3	68,1	7,0	1,9	1,9	13,0	2,0	100,0	1 202
Non LBGTQ	5,4	5,7	55,5	14,4	3,3	2,2	11,4	2,1	100,0	32 857
Total	5,3	5,6	55,9	14,1	3,3	2,2	11,5	2,1	100,0	34 059

** n'inclut pas les avocats qui résident à l'extérieur de l'Ontario

FICHE D'INFORMATION | 15

TABLEAU 10a : DOMAINE DE PRATIQUE SELON LA RACIALISATION ET LE GENRE*

pour les avocats de l'Ontario, en 2016

	Autoch- tone	MARC	Admi- nistratif	Faillite	Litiges civils – Demandeur	Litiges civils – Défendeur	Cons- truction	Sociétés	Criminel	Emploi et Travail	Environ- nement	Famille
			P	Pourcentage p	our ceux don	t au moins 30	% de la prati	que provient	de ce domain	е		
Premières Nations	28	0	0	0	0	6	0	10	18	5	0	24
Métis	5	0	5	0	14	14	2	9	18	8	1	11
Arabes	5	0	5	0	14	12	2	20	14	6	0	8
Noirs	1	1	5	0	14	10	1	13	17	6	0	23
Chinois	1	1	8	1	9	10	1	27	5	3	1	6
Asiatiques de l'Est	0	0	6	1	8	13	2	25	8	2	0	6
Latino- Américains	0	0	4	2	11	11	0	16	16	5	1	15
Sud- Asiatiques	0	1	5	1	12	11	1	17	10	4	0	12
Asiatiques du Sud-Est	0	1	6	1	13	14	1	20	8	5	0	13
Asiatiques de l'Ouest	1	1	5	2	7	16	0	17	9	3	0	11
Plus d'un groupe racialisé	0	0	3	1	23	18	1	22	11	4	0	9
Racialisés et Blancs	0	0	10	0	10	17	0	17	18	6	1	10
Blancs	1	1	9	1	11	14	2	20	11	6	1	10
Femmes	1	1	8	1	8	13	1	18	11	7	1	15
Hommes	1	1	5	1	13	13	2	21	12	5	1	8
Total	1	1	6	1	11	13	1	20	12	6	1	11

* n'inclut pas la catégorie pour les « autres » domaines de pratique et pour le droit des franchises

Suite >

FICHE D'INFORMATION | 16

TABLEAU 10b : DOMAINE DE PRATIQUE SELON LA RACIALISATION ET LE GENRE*

pour les avocats de l'Ontario, en 2016 (suite)

	Immi- gration	Propriété intellec- tuelle	Immobi- lier	Titres	Taxation	Testa- ments	Lieu de travail	Pourcentage – aucun domaine 30 % ou plus	Pourcentage – UN domaine 30 % ou plus	Pourcentage – PLUS D'UN domaine 30 % ou plus	Total	Nombre
	Pourcenta	ge pour ceux	dont au mo	ins 30 % de l	la pratique p	rovient de ce	e domaine					
Premières Nations	0	1	6	1	2	1	0	5,1	79,1	15,8	100,0	234
Métis	5	2	10	2	2	5	0	5,3	78,8	15,9	100,0	132
Arabes	6	4	11	5	0	5	0	5,6	75,6	18,8	100,0	213
Noirs	8	1	11	3	1	3	1	5,9	71,9	22,1	99,9	791
Chinois	3	7	21	8	3	3	0	4,7	77,8	17,6	100,1	860
Asiatiques de l'Est	3	6	15	10	3	2	0	6,9	73,5	19,6	100,0	321
Latino- Américains	7	2	10	6	3	3	1	3,9	77,3	18,8	100,0	154
Sud- Asiatiques	5	2	23	3	2	3	0	4,2	77,7	18,1	100,0	1 660
Asiatiques du Sud-Est	4	4	23	3	1	5	0	3,3	78,8	17,9	100,0	184
Asiatiques de l'Ouest	7	3	15	7	1	1	1	2,9	77,8	19,3	100,0	275
Plus d'un groupe racialisé	6	5	10	4	3	1	1	7,9	70,2	21,9	100,0	114
Racialisés et Blancs	4	4	4	6	4	4	1	4,6	74,0	21,4	100,0	304
Blancs	1	3	14	5	2	6	1	5,6	74,2	20,3	100,1	21 340
Femmes	3	3	9	4	2	5	1	6,5	76,6	16,9	100,0	13 341
Hommes	2	3	19	5	2	6	1	4,8	72,9	22,3	100,0	19 744
Total	2	3	15	5	2	6	1	5,5	74,4	20,1	100,0	33 085

* n'inclut pas la catégorie pour les « autres » domaines de pratique et pour le droit des franchises

NOTE : Tous les avocats sont inclus dans les portraits statistiques de 2016, sauf ceux dont le permis est suspendu, révoqué, remis ou en suspens et ceux exerçant sous le statut « hors de l'Ontario » (signifiant que leur adresse commerciale est inscrite en dehors de l'Ontario) ou sous le statut « retraité » (signifiant que l'avocat est âgé de plus de 65 ans et bénéficie d'une exonération selon le Règlement administratif n° 5).



Statistical Snapshot of Paralegals in Ontario from the Paralegal Annual Report (PAR) 2016

RESPONSE RATES

The Law Society of Ontario has been collecting self-identification data in the Paralegal Annual Report since 2009. The structure of the survey at the time permitted the paralegal to opt to pass over the question and provide no response. This option has been modified so that, while a paralegal can still decline to self-identify, the person must now so indicate by expressly entering this response.

EQUITY SURVEY: PARALEGAL RESPONSE RATE BY QUESTION, 2016*

Source: 2016 Paralegal Annual Report; analysis by Michael Ornstein

Indigenous	92.9%
Racialization	83.4%
Sexual orientation	83.5%
Identify as Francophone	90.7%
Able to Practice in French	87.7%
Have a Disability	88.0%
Total Number	7,211

*There is no missing data for gender, which is obtained from administrative records

Contents

Table I:	Representation of Indigenous and Racialized Persons	2
Table 2:	Detailed Racialization by Age	3
Table 3:	Gender, Sexual Orientation, Francophone Identity, Ability to Practice in French and Disability by Age	4
Table 4a:	Type of Licence by Racialization	5
Table 4b:	Region by Racialization	6
Table 5a:	Type of Licence by Gender by Age	7
Table 5b:	Region by Gender	7

FACT SHEET | 2

TABLE I: REPRESENTATION OF INDIGENOUS AND RACIALIZED PERSONS

among Ontario Paralegals, 2016, compared to the Ontario Population

				Ontario Population	
Group	Para	legal	Everyone, 2016	Persons in the Labour Force, Age 25 or more, 2011	University Graduates in the Labour Force, Age 25 or more, 2011
	Number	Percent, excluding missing		Percent	
Indigenous					
First Nations	73	1.1	1.8	1.2	0.5
Inuk	1	0.0	0.03	0.02	0.01
Métis	61	0.9	0.9	0.6	0.3
Multiple Indigenous			0.04	0.02	0.01
Total Indigenous	135	2.1	2.8	1.9	0.8
Racialized					
Arab	93	1.4	1.6	0.9	1.5
Black	465	7.2	4.7	3.8	2.7
Chinese	357	5.5	5.7	5.1	8.
East Asian (includes Japanese, Korean)	74	1.1			
Filipino + Japanese + Korean			3.3	3.2	4.7
Latino	234	3.6	1.5	1.5	1.7
South Asian	609	9.4	8.7	7.2	10.
Southeast Asian	184	2.8	1.0	1.1	0.5
West Asian	171	2.6	1.2	0.8	1.
Other Visible Minority			0.7	0.6	0
Other Indigenous			0.1	0.1	0.
More than one Racialized Group	50	0.8	1.0	0.5	0.
Racialized and White	72	1.1			
Total Racialized	2,309	35.6	29.4	24.8	32.7
White	4,045	62.3	67.8	73.4	66.4
Not Indigenous, Declined Racialization Question	509				
Declined Indigenous and Racialization Questions	685				
Total		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Number	7,683	6,489	13,242,160	5,812,410	1,778,891

Source: 2016 Paralegal Annual Report, 2016 Statistics Canada Census Profiles, 2011 National Household Survey public use microdata file; analysis by Michael Ornstein

FACT SHEET | 3

TABLE 2: DETAILED RACIALIZATION BY AGE

for Ontario Paralegals, 2016

	20-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60 or more	20-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60 or more
			Number					nt, excluding m		
Indigenous										
First Nations and Inuk	20	20	15	15	4	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.4	0.6
Métis	18	10	20	9	4	1.0	0.6	1.6	0.8	0.6
Total Indigenous	38	30	35	24	8	2.0	1.8	2.8	2.2	1.3
Racialized										
Arab	35	27	20	9	2	1.9	1.7	1.6	0.8	0.3
Black	105	145	107	81	27	5.6	8.9	8.5	7.3	4.4
Chinese	74	100	104	52	27	4.0	6.1	8.2	4.7	4.4
East Asian	15	31	14	11	3	0.8	1.9	1.1	1.0	0.5
Latino	58	70	59	36	11	3.1	4.3	4.7	3.3	1.8
South Asian	199	144	114	98	54	10.6	8.8	9.0	8.9	8.7
Southeast Asian	52	63	33	30	6	2.8	3.9	2.6	2.7	1.0
West Asian	38	64	39	22	8	2.0	3.9	3.1	2.0	1.3
More than one Racialized Group	24	12	9	5	0	1.3	0.7	0.7	0.5	0.0
Racialized and White	36	23	7	5	1	1.9	1.4	0.6	0.5	0.2
Total Racialized	636	679	506	349	139	34.0	41.5	40.1	31.6	22.5
White	1,198	926	720	730	471	64.0	56.6	57.1	66.2	76.2
Not Indigenous, Declined Racialization Question	154	132	99	84	40					
Declined Indigenous and Racialization Questions	142	194	144	122	83					
Total	2,168	1,961	1,504	1,309	741	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

FACT SHEET | 4

TABLE 3: GENDER, SEXUAL ORIENTATION, FRANCOPHONE IDENTITY, ABILITY TO PRACTICE IN FRENCH AND DISABILITY BY AGE

for Ontario Paralegals, 2016

	Total	20-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60 or more	Total	20-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60 or more
		Number						Pe	ercent, exclu	ding missing	3	
Gender												
Women	4,851	1,684	1,391	882	688	206	63.1	77.7	70.9	58.6	52.6	27.8
Men	2,832	484	570	622	621	535	36.9	22.3	29.1	41.4	47.4	72.2
Sexual Orientation												
LGBTQ	180	75	51	20	27	7	2.8	4.0	3.1	1.6	2.4	1.1
Not LGBTQ	6,315	1,787	1,579	1,254	1,079	616	97.2	96.0	96.9	98.4	97.6	98.9
Declined to Answer	1,188	306	331	230	203	118						
Identify as Francophone												
Yes	201	54	46	41	44	16	2.9	2.7	2.6	3.0	3.7	2.4
No	6,811	1,958	1,743	1,320	1,132	658	97.1	97.3	97.4	97.0	96.3	97.6
Declined to Answer	671	156	172	143	133	67						
Able to Practise in French												
Can Counsel and Represent	206	50	47	46	47	16	3.0	2.7	2.7	3.3	3.9	2.4
Can Counsel But Not Represent	91	24	22	11	16	18	1.3	1.3	1.3	0.8	1.3	2.7
Cannot	6,500	1,754	1,651	1,319	1,140	636	95.6	96.0	96.0	95.9	94.8	94.9
Declined to Answer	886	340	241	128	106	71						
Have a Disability												
Yes	392	69	81	88	93	61	5.8	3.5	4.7	6.6	8.3	9.8
No	6,425	1,931	1,659	1,245	1,027	563	94.2	96.6	95.3	93.4	91.7	90.2
Declined to Answer	866	168	221	171	189	117						
All Paralegals	7,683	2,168	1,961	1,504	1,309	741	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

FACT SHEET | 5

TABLE 4a: TYPE OF LICENCE BY RACIALIZATION*

for Ontario Paralegals, 2016

	Sole Practit- ioner	Partner	Associate	Employee	Legal Clinic	In House	Govern- ment	Education	Other Employ- ment	Retired or Not Working	Total	Number
	ioner	T al clici	7133001400	Employee	Cinne	Percent	mene	Education	mene	TTOT KING	Iotai	Humber
Indigenous												
First Nations and Inuk	21	4	3	5	7	1	8	0	25	26	100	73
Métis	39	0	4	4	4	5	12	0	23	11	100	57
Indigenous Total	28	2	3	5	5	3	10	0	24	19	100	130
Racialized												
Arab	24	1	5	6	1	1	6	0	34	22	100	87
Black	22	1	1	6	1	5	8	1	28	27	100	447
Chinese	25	1	1	13	1	2	4	1	29	23	100	341
East Asian	21	6	6	8	0	2	5	2	30	21	100	66
Latino	20	2	2	13	4	5	7	1	26	20	100	220
South Asian	24	2	2	7	1	2	4	1	32	27	100	580
Southeast Asian	16	1	2	9	0	5	4	0	35	28	100	174
West Asian	28	1	2	10	1	0	4	0	24	31	100	156
More than One Group	13	2	0	2	9	2	13	4	32	23	100	47
Racialized and White	20	5	3	11	0	6	11	0	27	17	100	64
Racialized Total	23	2	2	8	1	3	6	1	29	25	100	2,312
White	20	2	3	12	2	7	9	1	29	16	100	3,842
Total	22	2	2	11	2	5	7	1	28	20	100	7,291

*excludes new licensees

FACT SHEET | 6

TABLE 4b: REGION BY RACIALIZATION**

for Ontario Paralegals, 2016

	South West	Central South	Toronto	Durham, Halton, Peel, York	Central North	North	Ottawa	East	Total	Number
					Percent					
Indigenous										
First Nations and Inuk	12	7	27	26	14	4	4	5	100	73
Métis	22	7	23	8	12	15	8	5	100	60
Indigenous Total	17	7	26	18	13	9	6	5	100	133
Racialized										
Arab	14	4	37	29	2	0	12	1	100	92
Black	1	3	54	35	2	0	5	0	100	463
Chinese	2	1	61	34	1	0	2	0	100	354
East Asian	1	0	62	34	1	0	1	0	100	74
Latino	4	7	51	34	1	0	2	0	100	233
South Asian	0	2	38	57	1	0	1	0	100	604
Southeast Asian	1	5	61	30	1	0	2	0	100	184
West Asian	1	3	54	38	0	0	3	1	100	171
More than One Group	0	6	60	32	0	0	2	0	100	50
Racialized and White	4	1	51	29	3	3	6	3	100	70
Racialized Total	3	3	49	39	2	1	3	1	100	2,428
White	10	10	33	27	9	3	5	4	100	4,023
Total	7	7	39	32	6	2	4	2	100	7,641

**excludes paralegals whose address is outside Ontario

FACT SHEET | 7

TABLE 5a: TYPE OF LICENCE BY GENDER BY AGE*

for Ontario Paralegls, 2016

	Sole Practit- ioner	Partner	Associate	Employee	Legal Clinic	In House	Govern- ment	Education	Other Employ- ment	Retired or Not Working	Total	Number
						Percent						
Women												
Total	12.8	1.3	2.3	11.1	2.0	4.7	8.5	0.9	34.6	21.7	100.0	4,568
20-29	3.8	0.4	2.4	9.8	0.7	2.6	7.2	0.5	52.1	20.5	100.0	1,511
30-39	10.7	1.2	2.5	13.0	1.6	4.4	9.1	0.6	32.9	23.9	100.0	1,334
40-49	20.8	2.5	2.3	11.2	2.5	6.5	8.5	1.3	25.2	19.4	100.0	852
50-59	23.6	1.9	1.3	10.3	4.3	7.2	10.9	2.1	17.6	20.7	100.0	670
60 or more	25.4	2.5	2.5	10.0	5.0	7.0	7.0	1.0	10.0	29.9	100.0	201
Men												
Total	36.4	3.9	2.7	9.6	0.6	6.6	5.7	0.3	17.9	16.2	100.0	2,723
20-29	16.3	2.3	3.5	10.0	0.5	2.8	4.7	0.0	34.9	25.1	100.0	430
30-39	28.5	2.3	3.6	13.0	0.4	5.6	7.7	0.7	21.1	17.1	100.0	555
40-49	36.4	4.8	3.0	10.4	0.7	7.2	6.4	0.2	16.1	14.8	100.0	607
50-59	40.7	4.0	1.8	7.8	0.7	7.3	6.0	0.5	14.9	16.4	100.0	604
60 or more	56.4	5.5	1.9	7.0	0.9	9.5	3.0	0.2	6.3	9.3	100.0	527

*excludes new licensees

Source: 2016 Paralegal Annual Report; analysis by Michael Ornstein

TABLE 5b: REGION BY GENDER**

for Ontario Paralegals, 2016

	South West	Central South	Toronto	Durham, Halton, Peel, York	Central North	North	Ottawa	East	Total	Number
					Percent					
Women	7.0	7.4	39.4	30.6	6.6	2.0	4.3	2.6	100.0	4,826
Men	6.6	6.9	38.9	35.1	5.0	1.3	4.1	2.1	100.0	2,815
Total	6.9	7.2	39.2	32.3	6.0	1.7	4.2	2.4	100.0	7,641

**excludes paralegals whose address is outside Ontario

Source: 2016 Paralegal Annual Report; analysis by Michael Ornstein

NOTE: The 2016 Snapshots include all paralegals except those whose licence is suspended, revoked, surrendered, in abeyance or those who have a status of "not in Ontario" (meaning their business address is listed outside of Ontario) or a status of "Retired" (meaning paralegals who are over 65 years of age and qualify for exemption under By-Law 5).



FICHE D'INFORMATION

Portrait statistique des parajuristes en Ontario Tiré de la Déclaration annuelle des parajuristes (DAP) de 2016

TAUX DE RÉPONSE

Le Barreau de l'Ontario recueille des données d'auto-identification dans la Déclaration annuelle des parajuristes depuis 2009. La structure du sondage permettait auparavant de ne pas répondre à la question. Cette option a été modifiée pour que, si la personne refuse de répondre, il ou elle doive indiquer ce refus.

TAUX DE RÉPONSE PAR QUESTION AU SONDAGE DE L'ÉQUITÉ, 2016

Source : Déclaration annuelle des avocats et des parajuristes de 2016 ; analyse faite par Michael Ornstein

Autochtones	92,9 %
Racialisation	83,4 %
Orientation sexuelle	83,5 %
S'identifient comme francophones	90,7 %
Capables d'exercer en français	87,7 %
Handicap	88,0 %
Nombre total	7 211

* Il ne manque aucune donnée sur le genre, ces données ayant été tirées des registres administratifs

Table des matières

Tableau I : Tableau 2 :	Représentation des personnes autochtones et racialisées Racialisation selon l'âge	2 3
Tableau 3 :	Genre, orientation sexuelle, identification comme francophone, capacité à fournir des services juridiques en français et handicap selon l'âge	4
Tableau 4a :	Type de permis selon la racialisation	5
Tableau 4b :	Region selon la racialisation	6
Tableau 5a :	Type de permis selon le genre et l'âge	7
Tableau 5b :	Région selon le genre	7

FICHE D'INFORMATION | 2

TABLEAU I : REPRÉSENTATION DES PERSONNES AUTOCHTONES ET RACIALISÉES

parmi les parajuristes en Ontario, en 2016, compararativement à la population de l'Ontario

				Population de l'Ontario	,
Groupe	Paraju	ristes	Tous, 2016	Population active, âgée de 25 ans et plus, 2011	Diplômés universitaires au sein de la population active, âgés de 25 ans et plus, en 2011
	Nombre	Pourcentage moins abstentions		Pourcentage	
Autochtones					
Premières Nations	73	1,1	1,8	1,2	0,5
Inuit	1	0,0	0,03	0,02	0,01
Métis	61	0,9	0,9	0,6	0,3
Multiples identités autochtones			0,04	0,02	0,01
Total des Autochtones	135	2,1	2,8	1,9	0,8
Racialisés					
Arabes	93	1,4	1,6	0,9	1,5
Noirs	465	7,2	4,7	3,8	2,7
Chinois	357	5,5	5,7	5,1	8,5
Asiatiques de l'Est (Japonais, Coréens)	74	1,1			
Philipins + Japonais + Coréens			3,3	3,2	4,7
Latino-Américains	234	3,6	1,5	1,5	1,2
Sud-Asiatiques	609	9,4	8,7	7,2	10,8
Asiatiques du Sud-Est	184	2,8	1,0	1,1	0,9
Asiatiques de l'Ouest	171	2,6	1,2	0,8	1,3
Autre minorité racialisée			0,7	0,6	0,4
Autres Autochtones			0,1	0,1	0,1
Plus d'un groupe racialisé	50	0,8	1,0	0,5	0,7
Racialisés et Blancs	72	1,1			
Total des racialisés	2 309	35,6	29,4	24,8	32,7
Blancs	4 045	62,3	67,8	73,4	66,4
Non-Autochtones, n'ont pas répondu sur la race					
N'ont pas répondu sur le statut d'Autochtone ni sur la race					
Total		100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0
Nombre	7 683	6 489	13 242 160	5 812 410	1 778 891

Source : Déclaration annuelle des parajuristes de 2016, profils du recensement 2016 de Statistiques Canada, fichiers de microdonnées à grande diffusion de l'Enquête nationale auprès des ménages de 2011 ; analyse faite par Michael Ornstein.

FICHE D'INFORMATION | 3

TABLEAU 2: RACIALISATION SELON L'ÂGE

pour les parajuristes en Ontario, en 2016

	20 à 29 ans	30 à 39 ans	40 à 49 ans	50 à 59 ans	60 ans et plus	20 à 29 ans	30 à 39 ans	40 à 49 ans	50 à 59 ans	60 ans et plus
			Nombre				Pourcent	age moins abs	tentions	
Autochtones										
Premières Nations et Inuit	20	20	15	15	4	1,1	1,2	1,2	1,4	0,6
Métis	18	10	20	9	4	1,0	0,6	1,6	0,8	0,6
Total des Autochtones	38	30	35	24	8	2,0	1,8	2,8	2,2	1,3
Racialisés										
Arabes	35	27	20	9	2	1,9	1,7	1,6	0,8	0,3
Noirs	105	145	107	81	27	5,6	8,9	8,5	7,3	4,4
Chinois	74	100	104	52	27	4,0	6,1	8,2	4,7	4,4
Asiatiques de l'Est	15	31	14	11	3	0,8	1,9	1,1	1,0	0,5
Latino-Américains	58	70	59	36	11	3,1	4,3	4,7	3,3	1,8
Sud-Asiatiques	199	144	114	98	54	10,6	8,8	9,0	8,9	8,7
Asiatiques du Sud-Est	52	63	33	30	6	2,8	3,9	2,6	2,7	1,0
Asiatiques de l'Ouest	38	64	39	22	8	2,0	3,9	3,1	2,0	1,3
Plus d'un groupe racialisé	24	12	9	5	0	1,3	0,7	0,7	0,5	0,0
Racialisés et Blancs	36	23	7	5	1	1,9	1,4	0,6	0,5	0,2
Total des racialisés	636	679	506	349	139	34,0	41,5	40,1	31,6	22,5
Blancs	1 198	926	720	730	471	64,0	56,6	57,1	66,2	76,2
Non-Autochtones, n'ont pas répondu sur la race	154	132	99	84	40					
N'ont pas répondu sur le statut d'Autochtone ni sur la race	142	194	144	122	83					
Total	2 168	1 961	1 504	1 309	741	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0

FICHE D'INFORMATION | 4

TABLEAU 3 : GENRE, ORIENTATION SEXUELLE, IDENTIFICATION COMME FRANCOPHONE, CAPACITÉ À FOURNIR DES SERVICES JURIDIQUES EN FRANÇAIS ET HANDICAP SELON L'ÂGE

pour les parajuristes en Ontario, en 2016

	Total	20 à 29 ans	30 à 39 ans	40 à 49 ans	50 à 59 ans	60 ans et plus	Total	20 à 29 ans	30 à 39 ans	40 à 49 ans	50 à 59 ans	60 ans et plus		
			Non	nbre				Pourcentage moins abstentions						
Genre														
Femmes	4 851	1 684	1 391	882	688	206	63,1	77,7	70,9	58,6	52,6	27,8		
Hommes	2 832	484	570	622	621	535	36,9	22,3	29,1	41,4	47,4	72,2		
Orientation sexuelle														
LGBTQ	180	75	51	20	27	7	2,8	4,0	3,1	1,6	2,4	1,1		
Non LGBTQ	6 315	1 787	1 579	1 254	1 079	616	97,2	96,0	96,9	98,4	97,6	98,9		
Abstentions	1 188	306	331	230	203	118								
S'identifient comme francophones	5													
Oui	201	54	46	41	44	16	2,9	2,7	2,6	3,0	3,7	2,4		
Non	6 811	1 958	1 743	1 320	1 132	658	97,1	97,3	97,4	97,0	96,3	97,6		
Abstentions	671	156	172	143	133	67								
Capables d'exercer en français														
Peuvent fournir des conseils juridiques et agir comme représentants	206	50	47	46	47	16	3,0	2,7	2,7	3,3	3,9	2,4		
Peuvent fournir des conseils juridiques sans agir comme représentants	91	24	22	11	16	18	1,3	1,3	1,3	0,8	1,3	2,7		
Ne peuvent pas	6 500	1 754	1 651	1 319	1 140	636	95,6	96,0	96,0	95,9	94,8	94,9		
Abstentions	886	340	241	128	106	71								
Handicap														
Oui	392	69	81	88	93	61	5,8	3,5	4,7	6,6	8,3	9,8		
Non	6 425	1 931	1 659	1 245	1 027	563	94,2	96,6	95,3	93,4	91,7	90,2		
Abstentions	866	168	221	171	189	117								
Total des parajuristes	7 683	2 168	1 961	1 504	1 309	741	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0		

FICHE D'INFORMATION | 5

TABLEAU 4a: TYPE DE PERMIS SELON LA RACIALISATION

pour les parajuristes en Ontario, en 2016

	Auto- nomes	Associés	Para- juristes salariés	Employés de cabinet	Cliniques juridiques	En entre- prise	Gouv.	Éducation	Autre emploi	À la retraite ou sans emploi	Total	Nombre
						Pourcentage						
Autochtones												
Premières Nations et Inuit	21	4	3	5	7	1	8	0	25	26	100	73
Métis	39	0	4	4	4	5	12	0	23	11	100	57
Total des Autochtones	28	2	3	5	5	3	10	0	24	19	100	130
Racialisés												
Arabes	24	1	5	6	1	1	6	0	34	22	100	87
Noirs	22	1	1	6	1	5	8	1	28	27	100	447
Chinois	25	1	1	13	1	2	4	1	29	23	100	341
Asiatiques de l'Est	21	6	6	8	0	2	5	2	30	21	100	66
Latino- Américains	20	2	2	13	4	5	7	1	26	20	100	220
Sud- Asiatiques	24	2	2	7	1	2	4	1	32	27	100	580
Asiatiques du Sud-Est	16	1	2	9	0	5	4	0	35	28	100	174
Asiatiques de l'Ouest	28	1	2	10	1	0	4	0	24	31	100	156
Plus d'un groupe racialisé	13	2	0	2	9	2	13	4	32	23	100	47
Racialisés et Blancs	20	5	3	11	0	6	11	0	27	17	100	64
Total des racialisés	23	2	2	8	1	3	6	1	29	25	100	2 312
Blancs	20	2	3	12	2	7	9	1	29	16	100	3 842
Total	22	2	2	11	2	5	7	1	28	20	100	7 291

* n'inclut pas les nouveaux titulaires de permis

FICHE D'INFORMATION | 6

TABLEAU 4b : REGION SELON LA RACIALISATION**

pour les parajuristes en Ontario, en 2016

	Sud- ouest	Centre- sud	Toronto	Durham, Halton, Peel, York	Centre- nord	Nord	Ottawa	Est	Total	Nombre			
		Pourcentage											
Autochtones													
Premières Nations et Inuit	12	7	27	26	14	4	4	5	100	73			
Métis	22	7	23	8	12	15	8	5	100	60			
Total des Autochtones	17	7	26	18	13	9	6	5	100	133			
Racialisés													
Arabes	14	4	37	29	2	0	12	1	100	92			
Noirs	1	3	54	35	2	0	5	0	100	463			
Chinois	2	1	61	34	1	0	2	0	100	354			
Asiatiques de l'Est	1	0	62	34	1	0	1	0	100	74			
Latino-Américains	4	7	51	34	1	0	2	0	100	233			
Sud-Asiatiques	0	2	38	57	1	0	1	0	100	604			
Asiatiques du Sud-Est	1	5	61	30	1	0	2	0	100	184			
Asiatiques de l'Ouest	1	3	54	38	0	0	3	1	100	171			
Plus d'un groupe racialisé	0	6	60	32	0	0	2	0	100	50			
Racialisés et Blancs	4	1	51	29	3	3	6	3	100	70			
Total des racialisés	3	3	49	39	2	1	3	1	100	2 428			
Blancs		10	33	27	9	3	5	4	100	4 023			
Total		7	39	32	6	2	4	2	100	7 641			

** n'inclut pas les parajuristes qui résident à l'extérieur de l'Ontario

FICHE D'INFORMATION | 7

TABLEAU 5a : TYPE DE PERMIS SELON LE GENRE ET L'ÂGE*

pour les parajuristes en Ontario, en 2016

	Auto- nomes	Associés	Para- juristes salariés	Employés de cabinet	Cliniques juridiques	En entre- prise	Gouv.	Éducation	Autre emploi	À la retraite ou sans emploi	Total	Nombre		
						Pourcentage								
Femmes	Femmes													
Total	12,8	1,3	2,3	11,1	2,0	4,7	8,5	0,9	34,6	21,7	100,0	4 568		
20 à 29 ans	3,8	0,4	2,4	9,8	0,7	2,6	7,2	0,5	52,1	20,5	100,0	1 511		
30 à 39 ans	10,7	1,2	2,5	13,0	1,6	4,4	9,1	0,6	32,9	23,9	100,0	1 334		
40 à 49 ans	20,8	2,5	2,3	11,2	2,5	6,5	8,5	1,3	25,2	19,4	100,0	852		
50 à 59 ans	23,6	1,9	1,3	10,3	4,3	7,2	10,9	2,1	17,6	20,7	100,0	670		
60 ans et plus	25,4	2,5	2,5	10,0	5,0	7,0	7,0	1,0	10,0	29,9	100,0	201		
Hommes														
Total	36,4	3,9	2,7	9,6	0,6	6,6	5,7	0,3	17,9	16,2	100,0	2 723		
20 à 29 ans	16,3	2,3	3,5	10,0	0,5	2,8	4,7	0,0	34,9	25,1	100,0	430		
30 à 39 ans	28,5	2,3	3,6	13,0	0,4	5,6	7,7	0,7	21,1	17,1	100,0	555		
40 à 49 ans	36,4	4,8	3,0	10,4	0,7	7,2	6,4	0,2	16,1	14,8	100,0	607		
50 à 59 ans	40,7	4,0	1,8	7,8	0,7	7,3	6,0	0,5	14,9	16,4	100,0	604		
60 ans et plus	56,4	5,5	1,9	7,0	0,9	9,5	3,0	0,2	6,3	9,3	100,0	527		

* n'inclut pas les nouveaux titulaires de permis

Source : Déclaration annuelle des parajuristes de 2016 ; analyse faite par Michael Ornstein

TABLEAU 5b : RÉGION SELON LE GENRE**

pour les parajuristes en Ontario, en 2016

	Sud- ouest	Centre- sud	Toronto	Durham, Halton, Peel, York	Centre- nord	Nord	Ottawa	Est	Total	Nombre
	Pourcentage									
Femmes	7,0	7,4	39,4	30,6	6,6	2,0	4,3	2,6	100,0	4 826
Hommes	6,6	6,9	38,9	35,1	5,0	1,3	4,1	2,1	100,0	2 815
Total	6,9	7,2	39,2	32,3	6,0	1,7	4,2	2,4	100,0	7 641

** n'inclut pas les parajuristes qui résident à l'extérieur de l'Ontario

Source : Déclaration annuelle des parajuristes de 2016 ; analyse faite par Michael Ornstein

NOTE : Tous les parajuristes sont inclus dans les portraits statistiques de 2016, sauf ceux dont le permis est suspendu, révoqué, remis ou en suspens et ceux exerçant sous le statut « hors de l'Ontario » (signifiant que leur adresse commerciale est inscrite en dehors de l'Ontario) ou sous le statut « retraité » (signifiant que l'avocat est âgé de plus de 65 ans et bénéficie d'une exonération selon le Règlement administratif n° 5).

TAB 3.3.5

EQUITY LEGAL EDUCATION AND RULE OF LAW SERIES CALENDAR

Spring/Summer 2018

PUBLIC EVENTS

1. ASIAN-SOUTH ASIAN HERITAGE MONTH PROGRAM

Thursday, May 24, 2018

Presentations: approximately 5:30 – 7:15 p.m.* **Reception:** approximately 7:15 – 8:30 p.m.

Description: May is Asian and South Asian Heritage Month. To celebrate the occasion, the Law Society in partnership with the Federation of Asian Canadian Lawyers and South Asian Bar Association will hold an event featuring a networking workshop led by Keya Dasgupta. The evening will also feature remarks by the Honourable Madam Justice Maryka Omatsu.

Note: the exact timing of the program is subject to change.

*This program is also available as a live webcast.

Additional details about this program will follow.

2. NATIONAL ACCESS AWARENESS WEEK PROGRAM

Tuesday, June 5, 2018

Presentations / Panel Discussion: approximately 5:30 – 7:15 p.m.* **Reception:** approximately 7:15 – 8:30 p.m.

Description: The ARCH Disability Law Centre and Law Society will host their annual event in honour of National Access Awareness Week.

Note: the exact timing of the program is subject to change.

*This program is also available as a live webcast.

Additional details about this program will follow.

3. PRIDE MONTH PROGRAM

Wednesday, June 20, 2018

Presentations / Panel Discussion: approximately 5:30 – 7:15 p.m.* **Reception:** approximately 7:15 – 8:30 p.m.

Description: The Law Society and the Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity Section (SOGIC) of the Ontario Bar Association will be hosting their annual Pride Month discussion and reception.

Note: the exact timing of the program is subject to change.

*This program is also available as a live webcast.

Additional details about this program will follow.